Volume 9

Pages 2009 - 2330

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BEFORE THE HONORABLE VAUGHN R. WALKER

KRISTIN M. PERRY, SANDRA B. STIER, PAUL T. KATAMI, and JEFFREY J. ZARRILLO, Plaintiffs, VS.) NO. C 09-2292-VRW ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, in his official capacity as Governor of California; EDMUND G. BROWN, JR., in his official capacity as Attorney General of California; MARK B. HORTON, in his official capacity as Director of the California Department of Public Health and State Registrar of Vital Statistics; LINETTE SCOTT, in her official capacity as Deputy) Director of Health Information & Strategic Planning for the California Department of Public Health; PATRICK O'CONNELL, in his official capacity as Clerk-Recorder for the County of Alameda; and DEAN C. LOGAN, in his) official capacity as Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk for the County of Los Angeles,) San Francisco, California Defendants.) Friday) January 22, 2010

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

Reported By: Katherine Powell Sullivan, CRR, CSR 5812

Debra L. Pas, CRR, CSR 11916

Official Reporters - U.S. District Court

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiffs:

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036-5306

BY: THEODORE B. OLSON, ESQUIRE MATTHEW D. MCGILL, ESQUIRE

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, California 90071-3197

BY: THEODORE J. BOUTROUS, JR., ESQUIRE CHRISTOPHER D. DUSSEAULT, ESQUIRE SCOTT MALZAHN, ESQUIRE THEANE KAPUR, ESQUIRE

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 555 Mission Street, Suite 3000 San Francisco, California 94105-2933

BY: ETHAN D. DETTMER, JR., ESQUIRE ENRIQUE A. MONAGAS, ESQUIRE SARAH. E. PIEPMEIER, ESQUIRE

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP 333 Main Street Armonk, New York 10504

BY: DAVID BOIES, ESQUIRE

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP 575 Lexington Avenue, 7th Floor New York, New York 10022

BY: JOSHUA I. SCHILLER, ESQUIRE

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP 1999 Harrison Street, Suite 900 Oakland, California 94612

BY: JEREMY MICHAEL GOLDMAN, ESQUIRE STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN, ESQUIRE

For Plaintiff-Intervenor: CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY One Drive Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, California 94102-4682

BY: DANNY CHOU, DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

(APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE)

APPEARANCES (CONTINUED):

For Defendant MENNEMEIER, GLASSMAN & STROUD Gov. Schwarzenegger: 980 9th Street, Suite 1700
Sacramento, California 95814-2736

BY: ANDREW WALTER STROUD, ESQUIRE

For Defendant STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE

Edmund G. Brown Jr.: 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000

San Francisco, California 94102-7004

BY: TAMAR PACHTER, DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL

For Defendant-COOPER & KIRK

Intervenors: 1523 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20036

BY: CHARLES J. COOPER, ESQUIRE

DAVID H. THOMPSON, ESQUIRE

HOWARD C. NIELSON, JR., ESQUIRE

NICOLE MOSS, ESQUIRE PETER PATTERSON, ESQUIRE

ALLIANCE DEFENSE FUND 15100 North 90th Street Scottsdale, Arizona 85260

BY: BRIAN W. RAUM, SENIOR COUNSEL

JAMES A. CAMPBELL, ESQUIRE JORDAN LORENCE, ESQUIRE DALE SCHOWENGERDT, ESQUIRE

ALLIANCE DEFENSE FUND

101 Parkshore Drive, Suite 100

Folsom, California 95630

BY: TIMOTHY D. CHANDLER, ESQUIRE

ALLIANCE DEFENSE FUND

801 G Street NW, Suite 509 Washington, D.C. 90001

BY: AUSTIN R. NIMOCKS, SENIOR LEGAL COUNSEL

For James Garlow, Miles McPherson:

AMERICAN CENTER FOR LAW & JUSTICE

11 West Chestnut Hill Road Litchfield, Connecticut 06759

BY: VINCENT P. MCCARTHY, ESQUIRE

(APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE)

APPEARANCES (CONTINUED):

ANDREW PERRY PUGNO, ESQUIRE For Dennis

Hollingsworth:

Folsom, California 95630-4726

For Proposed ADVOCATES FOR FAITH AND FREEDOM

BY: ROBERT H. TYLER, ESQUIRE

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 **JANUARY 22, 2010** 8:32 A.M. 3 4 THE COURT: Very well. Good morning, Counsel. 5 (Counsel greet the Court.) 6 THE COURT: Any matters to take up before we hear the 7 next witness? 8 Mr. Boutrous. 9 MR. BOUTROUS: Yes, Your Honor. I had a couple of things. 10 11 First, wanted to inquire of the Court in terms of the Court's expectations and wishes regarding closing arguments, in 12 13 terms of timing and time, and how you'd like to proceed in that regard for planning purposes. 14 15 THE COURT: Sure. I think that would be well to discuss. 16 17 My thinking is this. Given the volume of material that has been submitted, I think it would be well, at the 18 conclusion of the evidence, to take a break, to schedule 19 2.0 closing argument sometime in the future, probably weeks in the future. 21 22 I think closing argument would be much more 23 productive and useful if I have a chance to go over all of this

evidence, think about it, tease out some questions that we can

24

25

discuss in closing argument.

So postpone closing argument until sometime in the 1 2 future that we can work out consistent with your respective 3 schedules. So that's what I would prefer to do, rather than 4 have closing argument at the close of evidence. 5 MR. BOUTROUS: Thank you, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Does anybody have a problem with that? 7 MR. OLSON: No, Your Honor. That's fine with us. MR. COOPER: That's fine with the 8 defendant-intervenor. 10 THE COURT: All right. MR. BOUTROUS: And the -- quick matter, just an 11 exhibit. 12 13 As you'll recall, Your Honor, we had played portions of Dr. Tam's deposition earlier in the case. And I had vowed 14 15 to provide the court reporter and the Court with the excerpts and then the DVD copy of what was played, to enter into the 16 record as exhibits. The DVD is PX2542, and the transcript 17 18 excerpts are PX2543. So I would like to have those admitted into evidence 19 2.0 and made part of the record. And I will give the --21 THE COURT: Very well. (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2542 and 2543 received in 22 23 evidence.) 24 MR. BOUTROUS: And then -- one last matter, Your 25 Honor.

The various exhibits that we had entered into 1 2 evidence during Professor Segura's testimony, we had further discussions with the defendant-intervenors about redactions. 3 4 And, as the Court may recall, as to Exhibit 2389, which was 5 the -- the e-mail from Mr. Dolejsi, we went ahead and redacted 6 it further, to take into account some of the issues raised. 7 And then Mr. Pugno asked that we add back in a paragraph. So I now have a version that the parties have agreed 8 9 to. It's got a lot of redactions. But the parts that we had read into the record are now revealed in the document. 10 THE COURT: Let's see. This is the document we were 11 dealing with yesterday? 12 13 MR. BOUTROUS: This was Wednesday, the first day of Professor Segura's testimony. 14 15 THE COURT: I see. All right. MR. BOUTROUS: So I would like to provide that to the 16 Court, and have that admitted into evidence in that version. 17 We will provide electronic copies of that, as well. 18 And I believe that's it, in terms of exhibits. 19 We 2.0 may have a few more we are still talking about, to get 21 agreement on. THE COURT: I suspect that both sides will want to 22 23 review the situation with respect to exhibits, before we 24 formally close the book on exhibits. 25 MR. BOUTROUS: Thank you, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Cooper. 2 MR. COOPER: Just one other similar type of item to bring forward to the Court's attention. 3 4 We have now done our counterdesignations with respect 5 to the Nathanson and Young depositions, and the deposition 6 excerpts that were played the day before yesterday in court, 7 and just want the Court to be alerted to that fact, and our readiness to present those -- those counter --8 9 THE COURT: Counterdesignations. MR. COOPER: Yes, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Mr. Boies. 11 MR. BOIES: Yes, Your Honor. We have just received 12 13 those. I'm looking through them now. I know that there will be some portions that we will object to as being outside of the 14 15 scope of any conceivable expertise that the witness has. And we can present those to the Court, probably, 16 anytime after the luncheon recess. I'll be finished looking at 17 them by then. 18 THE COURT: Very well. That will be fine. 19 2.0 But I gather, Mr. Cooper, you're still not going to call those witnesses, Mr. Nathanson and Dr. Young. 21 22 MR. COOPER: No, Your Honor, we are not. 23 And we're going to offer these from their depositions 24 in reaction and opposition to the excerpts that were offered by 25 the plaintiffs, essentially calling these experts as their own.

1 So that will be our -- that will be our purpose. 2 THE COURT: That's -- that can be done, and been done 3 before. 4 All right. Now, are we ready for a witness? 5 MR. BOUTROUS: Yes, Your Honor. Plaintiffs now call 6 Dr. Herek. And Mr. Dettmer will be conducting the examination. 7 THE COURT: Very well. MR. DETTMER: Good morning, Your Honor. Ethan --8 9 THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Dettmer. MR. DETTMER: 10 Thank you. THE CLERK: Raise your right hand, please. 11 GREGORY M. HEREK, 12 13 called as a witness for the Plaintiffs herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 14 15 THE WITNESS: I do. THE CLERK: Thank you. State your name, please. 16 THE WITNESS: My name is Gregory M. Herek, H-e-r-e-k. 17 THE CLERK: Thank you. 18 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. DETTMER: 2.0 Good morning, Professor Herek. 21 Q. 22 Good morning. 23 Can you please describe for the Court your educational 24 background. 25 Yes. I received my doctorate in social psychology from

- 1 the University of California in 1983.
- $2 \| \mathbf{Q}_{\bullet} \|$ I'm sorry, what was the field in which you received your
- 3 || doctorate?
- $4 \parallel \mathbf{A}$. In social psychology, a subdiscipline of psychology.
- $5 \parallel \mathbf{Q}$. Can you describe for the Court, briefly, what is social
- 6 | psychology?
- 7 | A. Social psychology is actually a field that intersects
- 8 psychology and sociology. It's the study of human behavior and
- 9 experiences within a social context.
- 10 Q. And can you describe for the Court, what was the subject
- 11 of your dissertation?
- 12 | A. My dissertation focused on heterosexuals attitudes towards
- 13 | lesbians and gay men.
- 14 Q. When did you received your Ph.D.?
- 15 **A.** In 1983.
- 16 Q. Did you continue your research after you received your
- 17 | Ph.D.?
- 18 A. Yes. After receiving my Ph.D., I received the
- 19 | postdoctoral fellowship at Yale University, and I worked there
- 20 | for two years.
- 21 Q. What was the subject of your research at Yale?
- 22 | A. Well, at Yale, I continued my research from my graduate
- 23 school dissertation on attitudes towards lesbians and gay men.
- 24 | I also expanded my research in looking at attitudes
- 25 and the stigma attached to HIV and AIDS, which at that time was

a relatively new phenomenon in the United States.

And I also studied some other areas as well.

- **Q.** And what did you do after you left Yale?
- $4 \parallel A$. Well, actually, I said I was there for two years. That
- 5 was for my postdoc. I was invited to stay on for a year after
- 6 I completed my postdoc, and I taught classes at Yale for one
- 7 | year.

2

- 8 After that, I was appointed as an assistant professor
- 9 at the City University of New York's graduate school, in their
- 10 program in social and personality psychology.
- 11 Q. And how long were you at the City University of New York?
- 12 **A.** Three years.
- 13 \mathbb{Q} . And after that, what was your next employment?
- 14 A. After that, I returned to California. And I took a
- 15 position as an associate research psychologist at the
- 16 University of California.
- 17 The research psychologist position is in a series
- 18 | that's parallel to the professor series, but there's no
- 19 | teaching involved. It focuses entirely on research.
- 20 **Q.** And did you ever receive tenure at Davis?
- 21 | A. Well, I was an associate research psychologist, and then
- 22 promoted to full research psychologist.
- 23 And then in 1999, I was appointed as a tenured full
- 24 | professor at UC Davis. And that's been my position since then.
- 25 Q. And what sort of courses do you teach at Davis?

Right now, I regularly teach a course called "Sexual Orientation and Prejudice," which is a course that deals with a 2 3 variety of topics related to sexual orientation, as well as to societal stigma and individual prejudice based on sexual orientation. 5 6 I also regularly teach a graduate methods course, in 7 which I teach students the methodological techniques associated with conducting survey and questionnaire research. 8 I also teach an undergraduate version of that course. 10 And I teach occasional seminars on various topics, 11 including topics relating to sexual orientation and to stigma and prejudice. 12 13 Now, do you have exhibit binders up there? I'm sorry? Q. I don't believe I do. 14 15 0. I'm sorry. 16 MR. DETTMER: Your Honor, may I approach? 17 THE COURT: You may. 18 MR. DETTMER: My apologies, Your Honor. 19 BY MR. DETTMER: Professor Herek, if you could please turn to 2326 in that 2.0 binder. 21 22 MR. DETTMER: It's in the second volume, Your Honor. 23 **THE COURT:** What's the number, again? 24 MR. DETTMER: 2326.

25

THE COURT:

2326?

1 MR. DETTMER: Yes, sir. Yes, Your Honor. BY MR. DETTMER: 2 Professor Herek, what is that document, Exhibit 2326? 3 Q. 4 This is my curriculum vitae. 5 Q. Okay. 6 MR. DETTMER: Your Honor, we would move Exhibit 2326 7 into evidence. MR. NIELSON: No objection. 8 9 THE COURT: Very well. (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2326 received in evidence.) 10 11 BY MR. DETTMER: 12 Now, Professor Herek, have you served on the editorial board of any peer-reviewed journals? 13 Yes, I serve on several editorial boards. 14 15 What type of journals do you serve on the editorial board? Well, there are a variety. And they are listed in the 16 17 vitae. For example, I'm on the editorial board of a journal 18 called Basic and Applied Social Psychology, which, as the name 19 2.0 suggests is a social psychological research journal. I am on the board of the Journal of Sex Research, 21 22 which is an interdisciplinary research journal devoted to 23 issues around sexuality. 24 And there are several others that are also listed in

25

the vitae.

- 1 \mathbb{Q} . Are you a member of any professional associations?
- $2 \parallel \mathbf{A}$. Yes. I'm a member and a fellow of the American
- 3 | Psychological Association, the Association for psychological
- 4 | Science, the Society for Experimental Social Psychology, and
- 5 several other associations that are also listed in my vitae.
- 6 Q. Professor Herek, have you authored any scholarly writing
- 7 || in your subject matter?
- 8 A. Yes, I have.
- 9 Q. Can you describe, briefly, the types of writings you've
- 10 | authored.
- 11 | A. Well, I've published approximately 100 articles and
- 12 chapters in journals and edited volumes that cover topics
- 13 related to sexual orientation, stigma, prejudice, and related
- 14 | topics.
- 15 \mathbb{Q} . Have you received grant funding for your research?
- 16 **A.** Yes, I have.
- 17 | Q. About how much and from where?
- 18 | A. Well, over the course of my career, I've received in
- 19 | excess of \$5 million in grant funding. Most of it from the
- 20 | National Institutes of Health.
- 21 | MR. DETTMER: Your Honor, the plaintiffs would tender
- 22 Dr. Herek as an expert on social psychology, with a focus on
- 23 | sexual orientation and stigma.
- 24 | THE COURT: Very well. Any voir dire?
- 25 MR. NIELSON: No objection.

1 THE COURT: Very well. You may proceed, Mr. Dettmer. 2 MR. DETTMER: Thank you, Your Honor. 3 BY MR. DETTMER: 4 Professor Herek, do you intend to offer any opinions in 5 this matter? 6 A. Yes, I do. 7 And what opinions do you intoned offer? I intend to offer opinions concerning the nature of sexual 8 orientation and how it is understood in the fields of psychology and psychiatry today; about the amenability of 10 11 sexual orientation to change through interventions and -through various intervention techniques; and the nature of 12 13 stigma and prejudice as they relate to sexual orientation and as they are related to Proposition 8. 14 15 Now, Professor Herek, I've given the court reporter a copy of a list of the exhibits that are in your binders there. 16 MR. DETTMER: And I'd like, if I may, Your Honor, to 17 18 offer you a copy as well. And if I may approach Dr. Herek. 19 Your Honor, may I approach? 2.0 THE COURT: You may. MR. DETTMER: I've handed Professor Herek a list of 21 exhibits that are in the binders in front of him. 22 23 And just for the sake of efficiency, I've run these 24 exhibits by Mr. Nielson. And I understand that they will have

no objection to the admission of these documents.

1 MR. NIELSON: That is correct, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Very well. Appreciate your cooperation. 3 (Plaintiffs' Exhibits 764, 884, 885, 886, 887, 888, 4 889, 894, 898, 901, 902, 903, 906, 907, 911, 912, 5 915, 916, 917, 918, 919, 920, 924, 925, 928, 929, 930, 931, 932, 933, 936, 940, 945, 946, 947, 949, 6 7 953, 954, 956, 957, 958, 959, 963, 970, 971, 2265, 2326, 2338, 2350, 2563, 2564, 2565 and 2567 received 8 9 in evidence.) MR. DETTMER: Thank you, Your Honor. 10 BY MR. DETTMER: 11 12

- Q. Now, Professor Herek, I know you've had a chance to look at this list before, but with the exception of five exhibits listed there, 2265, 2563, 2564, 2565 and 2567, are those exhibits documents or studies that you've relied on in reaching your opinions in this case?
- 17 A. I believe so. But could you say the numbers one more 18 time.
- 19 Q. Certainly. The exhibits I'm excepting are 2265, 2563 --
- 20 **A.** 2265. Oh, I see. Okay. Sorry.

13

14

15

- 21 | Q. That's quite all right. 2564, 2565 and 2567.
- With the exception of those five documents, are the exhibits listed there the exhibits among the documents you've relied on in reaching your opinions in this case?
- 25 **A.** I believe 2350 is not one that I relied on.

- Q. Thank you. Any other exceptions to that?
- $2 \| \mathbf{A}_{\bullet} \|$ I don't think so.

- 3 Q. Now, turning to your opinions, Dr. Herek, can you describe
- 4 | for the Court, what is sexual orientation?
- 5 \mathbf{A} . Sexual orientation is a term that we use to describe an
- 6 | enduring sexual, romantic, or intensely affectional attraction
- 7 to men, to women, or to both men and women.
- 8 It's also used to refer to an identity or a sense of
- 9 self that is based on one's enduring patterns of attraction.
- 10 | And it's also sometimes used to describe an enduring pattern of
- 11 | behavior. So, sexual behaviors with men, with women, or with
- 12 both men and women.
- 13 Q. You've described three different aspects of that
- 14 definition. Can you give examples of how those aspects are
- 15 | used in different context?
- 16 A. Well, researchers use them in different context depending
- 17 upon their focus in a particular research study.
- So, for example, in public health research, it's
- 19 often the case that the focus is on, say, sexually-transmitted
- 20 diseases or other aspects of sexual behavior. So in that
- 21 | context, sexual orientation is often defined in operational
- 22 terms, according to patterns of sexual behavior.
- 23 In other areas we might be interested in looking at,
- 24 | for example, the effects of discrimination on people who are
- 25 | lesbian, gay, or bisexual. In that context, we would probably

focus on identity, on terms that people use to identify themselves in terms of their sexual orientation.

So it really varies, somewhat, depending upon the research context.

- Q. Now, that's a definition used by researchers and experts in the field.
- Do you, in your research, ask ordinary laypeople about their own sexual orientation?
- 9 A. Yes. I've done that frequently.
- **Q.** Do you find that ordinary people have an understanding of their own sexual orientation?
 - A. Well, when -- when I ask the question and when other researchers ask the question in surveys, we typically don't use terms like "sexual orientation" because that has a technical quality to it. And we don't expect people to necessarily know that specific term.
 - So what we typically do is to ask people if they are heterosexual or straight, gay, lesbian, or bisexual. And that's a question people generally are able to answer fairly easily.
 - THE COURT: I gather, when you say "ordinary people" you mean people without professional training?
- 23 MR. DETTMER: That's correct, Your Honor. People 24 outside the field of Professor Herek's expertise.

BY MR. DETTMER:

female.

10

11

12

- 2 Q. Professor Herek, where do relationships fit into what 3 we've been discussing?
- A. Well, you know, really, sexual orientation is at its heart
 a relational construct, because it is all about a relationship
 of some sort between one individual and another, and a
 relationship that is defined by the sex of the two persons
 involved, whether male and female, male and male, female and
 - It is -- whether we are talking about behavior or attraction or identity, it is really about the fundamental relationships that people form to meet their needs for intimacy and attachment.
- 14 | Q. And why are these issues important, in your view?
- 15 A. Well, because those -- those sorts of relationships, that
 16 need for intimacy, that need for attachment, is a very core
 17 part of the human experience, and a very fundamental need that
 18 people have.
- 19 **Q.** Switching to a different topic, is homosexuality 20 considered a mental disorder?
- 21 **A.** No.
- 22 **Q.** And why do you say that?
- 23 **A.** Well, the American Psychiatric Association, the American Psychological Association, and other of the -- of the major
- 25 professional mental health associations, have all gone on

- record affirming that homosexuality is a normal expression of sexuality, that it is not in any way a form of pathology. 2
 - Does homosexuality have any impact on one's ability to contribute to society?
- There's no inherent relationship between a person's sexual orientation and their ability to be productive and contributing 7 members of society, to be happy, to lead a fulfilling life.
 - Has homosexuality ever, in the past, been seen as a mental disorder?
- Yes. 10 Α.

4

5

6

8

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- Okay. And can you describe the history of that? 11
- Well, if we go back to the early part of the 20th century, 12 13 we see that there was a great deal of discussion and debate.

In 1952, the American Psychiatric Association created its first official roster of mental illnesses. It was called the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, or the DSM for short.

And homosexuality was included in that first edition of the DSM. Over time, that inclusion was disputed, and there were many challenges to it such that by 1973, just about 20 years later, the American Psychiatric Association removed homosexuality from its DSM.

And shortly after that, the American Psychological Association went on record strongly supporting the decision by the Psychiatric Association.

Q. Thank you.

- 2 If I could ask you, in the first volume of your
- 3 exhibits there, to turn to Exhibit 885. That's PX885. Can you
- 4 please tell the Court what this document is?
- 5 **A.** This is a copy of that first Diagnostic and Statistical
- 6 | Manual of Mental Disorders, that was published in 1952.
- 7 \mathbf{Q} . Could you please turn to pages 38 and 39. Under the
- 8 | heading -- sorry. I'll wait until you get there.
- 9 Under the heading "Sexual Deviation" is that what
- 10 | you've been referring to?
- 11 A. Right. This is one of the subheadings under "Sociopathic
- 12 Personality Disturbance, and sexual deviation includes
- 13 | homosexuality as a diagnosis.
- 14 Q. Now, if I could ask you, then, to turn earlier in that
- 15 | same volume to page 76 -- I'm sorry, to Exhibit 764.
- 16 THE COURT: 74?
- 17 MR. DETTMER: 764, Your Honor.
- 18 BY MR. DETTMER:
- 19 $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$ Professor Herek, if you could please describe for the
- 20 | Court what this document is.
- 21 $\|\mathbf{A}_{\bullet}\|$ Well, this is the policy statement that I was referring to
- 22 earlier, when I said that the American Psychological
- 23 | Association had endorsed the action by the psychiatrists.
- 24 | This was their resolution that was passed by the
- 25 | council of representatives, which is their legislative body, in

```
1975, affirming that:
 2
              "Homosexuality per se implies no impairment
 3
              in judgment, stability, reliability, or
 4
              general social and vocational capabilities."
 5
              And it goes on to say that:
 6
              "The American Psychological Association urges
 7
              all mental health professionals to take the
              lead in removing the stigma of mental illness
 8
 9
              that has long been associated with homosexual
10
              orientations."
11
         And do you understand that position that you've just read
   to still be the position of the American Psychological
12
13
   Association?
               In fact, the APA has reaffirmed that position in
14
         Yes.
15
   several subsequent resolutions.
16
   Q.
         Now --
17
              THE COURT: What led to the change?
              THE WITNESS: To the change in 1973?
18
19
              THE COURT: Yes.
2.0
              THE WITNESS: With the psychiatrists? Well, that's a
21
    long story, I think.
22
              THE COURT: Well, we're here for those.
23
              (Laughter)
24
              THE WITNESS: Okay.
25
              There was a great deal of debate and argument about
```

it. It's, perhaps, instructive to ask how homosexuality got in the DSM in the first place. And it turns out that there was not a great deal of empirical data to support it.

It actually reflected more common knowledge and assumption among many psychiatrists in the 1940s and 1950s.

2.0

In the -- by the 1970s, that common wisdom was being challenged both in the form of empirical research that was showing that there certainly were people who were homosexual, who were very well-adjusted; and other than the label of being homosexual, showed no indication that they were suffering from any sort of mental disorder.

And there was also a change in the culture that was leading many individuals and institutions to rethink their previous positions about homosexuality. And that included psychiatrists and psychologists.

Those events and patterns sort of culminated in 1973, when the board of directors of the American Psychiatric

Association voted to -- to remove homosexuality from the DSM based on their understanding of the empirical research as it existed at that time.

THE COURT: So you're saying that the position that's reflected in the first DSM, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 885, was not based upon empiricism, but that the change reflected in Exhibit 764, the statement in 1975, was based upon empirical evidence; is that a fair statement?

THE WITNESS: That's a fair statement. And I would say that it was not only empirical data. There were -- the times had changed. And there was a new perspective that no longer viewed homosexuality as this necessarily bad thing, this thing that was necessarily indicative of mental illness.

But there were also empirical studies that had been conducted, that had failed to support the view of homosexuality as a mental illness.

I would also just point out, this resolution is the one passed by the psychologists in '75. They were endorsing the resolution by the psychiatrists, which was in '73.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Dettmer.

MR. DETTMER: Thank you, Your Honor.

14 BY MR. DETTMER:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

- Q. Moving to a slightly different topic, Professor Herek.
- Do people choose their sexual orientation?
- 17 A. Well, I've conducted research that -- in which I found
- 18 that the vast majority of lesbians and gay men, and most
- 19 | bisexuals as well, when asked if they feel that they -- how
- 20 | much choice they've had been their sexual orientation, about
- 21 | being gay or lesbian or bisexual, say that they have
- 22 experienced no choice or very little choice about that.
- 23 | Q. Are you familiar with the terms "reparative therapy" or
- 24 | "sexual-orientation-change therapy"?
- 25 $| A \cdot | A \cdot |$

- Q. Can you explain what those terms mean?
- 2 **A.** Well, those are terms that are used to refer to various
- 3 | types of interventions that are intended to alter a person's
- 4 | sexual orientation, to change them from becoming homosexual
- 5 | into heterosexual.

- 6 Q. Have those types of therapies been found to be effective?
- 7 | A. Uhm, well, before I answer that, it's -- it's useful,
- 8 maybe, to say what constitutes effectiveness or how we think
- 9 about effectiveness.
- 10 When we use the word "effective" in connection with
- 11 therapeutic interventions, what we mean is -- excuse me, what
- 12 we mean is that an intervention or a therapy has been shown to
- 13 consistently work, to consistently produce the outcome that
- 14 | it's supposed to produce in the population for which it is
- 15 designed, and that it does so without causing harm to the
- 16 | individuals involved.
- 17 And with those standards, no, various reparative
- 18 | therapies or sexual-orientation-change therapies have not been
- 19 | found to be effective.
- 20 $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$ Has the American Psychological Association taken a stand
- 21 on these types of therapies?
- 22 A. Yes, it has.
- 23 \mathbf{Q} . And can you describe how it came to take a stand on those.
- $24 \parallel A$. Well, these therapies have been around for a long time.
- 25 And there's been a great deal of discussion and debate about

them.

2.0

The APA has considered them a number of times. Most recently, it convened a task force. I believe the task force was convened in 2008 or 2009. It produced its report in 2009.

But this was a task force that was given the task of evaluating the current status of these therapies, and to produce a report advising the Association on their effectiveness, their safety, and -- and whether or not they should be used.

- **Q.** And what were the conclusions reached as a result of those studies?
- A. Well, the -- the task force did a very thorough review of the research literature, and, first of all, found that there were actually not very many high-quality studies that had been conducted that could actually speak to the effectiveness of these therapies.

But when one looks at the studies that are available and that have used the methods of sufficient quality, what one finds is that they are of very limited effectiveness and are also potentially associated with some harms to individuals.

- Q. If I could ask you to turn to Exhibit 888 in your first binder.
- MR. DETTMER: And, also, if we could have -- I'd like to publish a first demonstrative, Your Honor.

(Document displayed)

BY MR. DETTMER:

- 2 Q. Professor Herek, could you please tell the Court what
- 3 Exhibit 888 is.
- $4 \parallel \mathbf{A}$. Well, this is the cover of the report from the task force
- 5 | that I just mentioned.
- 6 Q. And 888 in your binder is -- can you describe what that --
- $7 \parallel A.$ I'm sorry. It's the report of the American Psychological
- 8 | Association Task Force on Appropriate Therapeutic Responses to
- 9 | Sexual Orientation. And, yes, the entire report is here in the
- 10 | binder.
- 11 Q. Okay. If we could move to the next demonstrative, please.
- 12 If you look on pages 2 to 3 of Exhibit 888, do you
- 13 | find the conclusion here of -- of the task force?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$ And do you mind reading that, please, into the record.
- 16 | It's also on your screen there.
- 17 **A.** Okay. It's easier to read it from the screen.
- 18 | "Enduring change to an individual's sexual
- 19 | orientation is uncommon. The participants in
- 20 this body of research continued to experience
- 21 same-sex attractions following SOCE" -- an
- 22 acronym for sexual-orientation-change
- 23 efforts -- "and did not report significant
- 24 change to other-sex attractions that could be
- 25 empirically validated, though some showed

2.0

lessened physiological arousal to all sexual stimuli. Compelling evidence of decreased same-sex sexual behavior and of engagement in sexual behavior with the other sex was rare.

"Few studies provided strong evidence that any changes produced in laboratory conditions translated to daily life. Thus, the results of scientifically valid research indicate that it is unlikely that individuals will be able to reduce same-sex attractions or increase other-sex sexual attractions through SOCE."

Q. Thank you.

Are these conclusions consistent with your own opinion?

- **A.** Yes.
 - Q. Do you know whether the task force made any conclusions with respect to the safety of these types of therapies?
 - A. Well, the task force pointed out that just as there are problems with the research on the effectiveness which make it difficult to say that there is a cause-and-effect relationship between any changes that occurred in -- and the actual participation in the therapy, they also found that there were many anecdotal reports of individuals who felt that they had experienced harm related to these therapies.

There were some instances in those rigorous experimental studies that did document individuals experiencing harm in the form of, say, depression or anxiety problems.

There were also self-reports of individuals who said that they felt that they had been harmed by the therapies. But I would hasten to point out that those are self-reports of people's perceptions. And so to show that they actually experienced those harms as a result of the therapy is somewhat tenuous. We can't assume that that's absolutely true. But there have been those reports of harm.

- Q. Is a mental health professional or a scholar in the mental health field more concerned about self-reports of harm as opposed to self-reports of other types of instances?
- A. Well, I would say we give great weight to concerns about harm.

One of the first things you want to do with any sort of intervention, whether it's pharmaceutical or therapy, is to make sure that it doesn't harm people. So, in a sense, I would say there's a lower bar for concerns about harm to individuals.

And so we would take very seriously those self-reports of people feeling that they had experienced harm as a result of these therapies, and would certainly want to evaluate them carefully.

Q. Do you know whether the American Psychological Association adopted any resolutions based on this work?

- A. Yes, there was a resolution that was adopted in response to the task force report.
- Q. I'd ask you to turn to page 120 of this exhibit, 888.

 (Document displayed.)

And on page 120, do you see that these are resolutions that you were referring to?

- A. Yes. Yes. This is the text of the resolution that was adopted by the American Psychological Association.
- Q. And, just for the record, could you read the -- the two operative resolutions there, that are on the screen?
- **A.** (As read)

2.0

2.1

"Be it further resolved that, the American Psychological Association reaffirms its position that homosexuality per se is not a mental disorder, and opposes portrayals of sexual minority youths and adults as mentally ill due to their sexual orientation.

"Be it further resolved that, the American

Psychological Association concludes that there is insufficient evidence to support the use of psychological interventions to change sexual orientation."

- Q. Now, are these conclusions consistent with your own opinions?
- $25 \parallel \mathbf{A}_{\bullet} \quad \text{Uhm, yes.}$

- Q. Are you aware of any other major mental health organizations that have endorsed the use of these therapies?
- **A.** That have endorsed them, no.

2.0

- Q. Are there specific concerns surrounding these types of therapies when -- when used with adolescents?
- A. I think there's a concerned when they're used with anyone, but adolescents are a special case for a variety of reasons.

Adolescents are at a very formative stage in life where they are typically just developing their sexual -- their sexuality. And, also, adolescents are frequently in a fairly vulnerable situation, in terms of not being in complete control of their lives.

And there's a concern that has been expressed by the American Psychological Association in, actually, a previous resolution to this one, that they were concerned that adolescents who went through these interventions might often not be able to provide true informed consent; that they might actually be coerced into going through these interventions; and that that could be especially harmful to the adolescent person.

Also, it's important to realize that the underlying assumption of these therapies tends to be that there's something wrong; that homosexuality is a mental illness; that it's something that needs to be cured or something that needs to be fixed or repaired.

And that, of course, is completely inconsistent with

the stance of the American Psychological Association, the
American Psychiatric Association, and other professional
organizations in this area.

And so they have pointed out that this message might be especially harmful to transmit to adolescents who are just in the formative stage of their sexuality.

Q. Could I ask you to turn to Exhibit 2338, which is in the smaller binder there.

(Document displayed.)

10 Do you know what that document is, Professor Herek?

- 11 A. Yes. This is a pamphlet called, "Just the Facts about
- 12 | Sexual Orientation and Youth: A Primer for Principals,
- 13 | Educators and School Personnel, " that was created by and
- 14 cosponsored by a number of mental health associations, as well
- 15 | as some teacher and school professional associations.
- 16 Q. All right. And looking at the first page of that
- 17 document, it lists the -- the organizations that endorse this
- 18 | publication --
- 19 **A.** Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

- 20 **Q.** -- is that right?
- 21 The American Academy of Pediatrics. American
- 22 Association of School Administrators. American Counseling
- 23 Association. American Federation of Teachers. American
- 24 Psychological Association. American School Counselor
- 25 | Association. American School Health Association. Interfaith

- 1 | Alliance Foundation. National Association of School
- 2 | Psychologists. National Association of Secondary School
- 3 | Principals. National Association of Social Workers. National
- 4 | Education Association. And the School Social Work Association
- 5 of America.
- 6 Is that consistent with your understanding of who's
- 7 | endorsed this particular publication?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And if you turn to page 14 of this document. I'm sorry,
- 10 | it's not page 14.
- 11 (Document displayed)
- 12 A. No, I don't think it's 14.
- 13 $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$ My apologies. Page 1 of this document -- my apologies.
- 14 | I'm going to start over. Page 5 lists the conclusion here, and
- 15 the findings of these organizations.
- 16 MR. DETTMER: Excuse me, Your Honor.
- 17 BY MR. DETTMER:
- 18 Q. Could you read, please, the findings of these
- 19 organizations as set forth in this document.
- 20 **A.** (As read)
- 21 || "Despite the general consensus of major
- 22 medical, health, and mental health
- 23 professions that both heterosexuality and
- 24 homosexuality are normal expressions of human
- 25 sexuality, efforts to change through therapy

have been adopted by some political and religious organizations and aggressively promoted to the public. However, such efforts have serious potential to harm young people because they present the view that the sexual orientation of lesbian, gay, and bisexual youth is a mental illness or disorder, and they often frame the inability to change one's sexual orientation as a personal and moral failure."

- Q. Are these findings consistent with your own opinion?
- 12 **A.** Yes.

10

11

14

15

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

13 | Q. Now, I'd like to change to a different topic.

Do you understand, Professor Herek, that gay men and lesbians can actually get married in California today?

- 16 A. Well, they can marry someone of the other sex.
- 17 \mathbb{Q} . Is that a realistic option for gay men or lesbians?
- 18 A. For the vast majority of gay men and lesbians, that's not 19 a realistic option.
 - **Q.** And why do you say that?
 - A. Well, as I said earlier, sexual orientation is really about the relationships that an individual forms. It really defines the universe of people with whom one is going to be able to form the sort of intimate, committed relationship that would be the basis for marriage.

- Q. Despite that, have gay men and lesbians gotten married to people of the opposite sex?
- **A.** Yes, yes they have.

2.0

- Q. Do you know of reasons why that happens?
- A. Well, there's a variety of reasons why it's happened.

We know that, in some cases, people have gotten married at a time in life when they really hadn't quite understood their own sexuality, and it was only after being married sometime later that they realized that they themselves were gay or lesbian.

In other cases, people might have known or at least had strong suspicions that they were gay or lesbian at the time that they married, but they married because they were subjected to intense social pressures to do so, because they hoped that, perhaps, by marrying this would change them, they would become heterosexual as a result; that this would somehow, you know, help them to not be gay anymore.

There are a variety of reasons. Those are just a few examples.

- Q. Is this a problem with those sorts of marriages, with gay men and lesbians marrying somebody of the opposite sex?
- A. Well, it's certainly not the case that all of those
 marriages dissolve. But many of them do. And many of them
 experience considerable problems, just because of the fact that
 one of the partners is -- is gay or lesbian.

It certainly -- especially, if it's something which is typically the case, that the spouse, the other spouse, did not know when they went into the marriage.

It is likely to create a great deal of conflict and tension in the relationship and -- and is just going to create many, many problems. Not only for the couple, but, also, if they have children, perhaps for the children, for the other members of the -- of their extended families, for their friends. It's a ripple effect. It can create problems for all sorts of different people.

- Q. Now, do you understand that lesbians and gay men in California who are in a same-sex relationship can enter into domestic partnerships?
- **A.** Yes.

- **Q.** Do you understand that domestic partnerships have most of the same rights and privileges as marriage?
- 17 A. It's my understanding that domestic partners in California
 18 have virtually all the same rights and privileges as married
 19 couples.
- 20 | Q. So is all we're talking about a word? Is the only
 21 | difference that we're talking about here between the word
 22 | "marriage" and "domestic partnership"?
- 23 A. I would say it's not simply a word, no.
- 24 Q. Why do you say that?
- 25 | A. Well, I think that if we -- if we look at, for example,

public opinion data, what we see is that there's a sizable proportion of the public, both in California and in the United States, who say that they are willing to let same-sex couples have domestic partnerships or civil unions or some -- something that gives them the benefits of marriage without calling it marriage.

And, yet, they are not -- they are willing to extend those benefits, but they are not willing to extend marriage to same-sex couples, which suggests that in the minds of a large number of -- of Americans, there is some distinction between domestic partnerships and marriage; that it's not simply a word, that there's more to it than that.

I think, also, if we look at the recent history of California, when it became possible for same-sex couples to marry, thousands of them did. And many of those who did were domestic partners. So, clearly, they thought there was something different about getting married.

And I would say that just the fact that we're here today suggests that this is more than just a word; that there's, clearly, a great deal of strong feeling and emotion about the difference between marriage and domestic partnerships.

- Q. Does marriage encourage the stability of a relationship?
- 24 A. Uhm, yes, it does.

2.0

 \mathbf{Q} . And why do you say that?

A. Well, researchers who look at -- at committed
relationships usually think of -- of the factors that
contribute to long-term commitment and stability in terms of
both the rewards that the relationship has and the barriers to
leaving, barriers to dissolution.

So, on the one hand, people are brought together and stay together for positive reasons. They -- they like and love their partner. They enjoy being with their partner. They experience many positive things as a result of being with that person.

But when people are married, there are also a number of barriers that make it not an easy thing to simply dissolve the marriage. And these refer to both legal barriers as well as to social barriers.

For example, the expectations of family and friends, the idea that their -- the members of their community, the members of their family, their friends circles are likely to be hoping and pulling for a couple to stay together, to stay married.

There are -- there are many other sorts of barriers to dissolution that exist with marriage. And the point about that is that we -- we know that relationships are more likely to be stable and enduring when they are based primarily on rewards, on those positive things that people get.

But the barriers can be an incentive or encouragement

for individuals who might be going through a rough patch to try to resolve those problems, to try to work things out rather 2 3 than separating. And it may well be the case that they do get 4 through that rough patch, and they are able to maintain the 5 marriage over the long-term. And so, in that sense, these 6 barriers can be helpful in maintaining the stability of the

Do domestic partnerships create those same barriers? 8

7

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

relationship.

- Uhm, I -- we're -- we're lacking in a great deal of Α. empirical data. But I would say, no, domestic partnerships are not perceived in the same way as marriage is, in terms of those barriers. 12
- 13 And have you seen illustrations of that observation with respect to domestic partnerships in California? 14
 - Well, I think one -- one interesting example that illustrates that fact is that in 2004, the California legislature enacted legislation that -- that increased the benefits and responsibilities associated with domestic partnership. And what that meant was that there were going to be changes of all sorts associated with being a domestic partner.

And in 2004 -- that law was going to go into effect the beginning of 2005.

In 2004, the California Secretary of State mailed a letter to all registered domestic partners in the state,

advising them of these changes, and, in essence, saying you should consider whether or not you want to dissolve your domestic partnership in light of these changes that are going to occur in the law, which may have an impact on you, especially a financial impact.

I find it difficult to imagine that if there were changes in the tax laws that were going to affect married couples, that you would have the state government sending letters to people suggesting that they consider whether or not they want to get divorced before this new law goes into effect.

I think that -- that letter just illustrates the way in which domestic partnerships are viewed differently than marriage.

Q. If I could ask you to turn to Exhibit 2265 in your binder, please. It's the second binder.

Is that the letter you're referring to, Professor Herek?

A. Yes.

- 19 Q. Do you know whether domestic partnerships were, in fact, 20 dissolved in California as a result of this?
- 21 A. Well, yes. The -- some researchers at UCLA were tracking 22 the number of dissolutions of domestic partnerships.

And it's very interesting. What they found was that there was an increase in domestic partnership dissolutions leading up to the end of 2004.

And, in fact, in December of 2004, there was a huge spike in the number of domestic partnerships that were dissolved in California, presumably in anticipation of this new law, and perhaps in response to this letter that was sent from the Secretary of State.

- 6 Q. Do you know whether there's empirical study of that?
- **A.** Yeah, the UCLA researchers that I was mentioning had 8 actually tracked that.
- 9 Q. Could you turn to Exhibit 909, please, in your binder.
- **A.** Okay.

- **Q.** Is this the study you are referring to?
- **A.** Yes.

2.0

- 13 | (Document displayed)
- **Q.** And figure 9 in this exhibit, which is on page 15, do you 15 know what that -- what that figure portrays?
- 16 A. Yeah. This is -- this is a bar chart that -- that shows
 17 what I was describing a minute ago.
 - What you see is that -- these are the -- the number of monthly dissolutions of domestic partnerships in California through the last half of 2004, and the beginning of 2005.
 - And where you see that -- that very tall bar, with "1188" above it, that was the number of dissolutions in December, right before the new law went into effect.
- And what you see is, it's a pretty dramatic spike right there.

- Q. Now, are you aware of any studies that have been done of the effect of actually getting married for same-sex couples?
- A. Well, you know, this is a fairly new phenomenon. And so there -- there isn't a great deal of empirical data on married same-sex couples.

I am familiar with one study that was conducted by the Massachusetts Department of Public Health or the state Department of Public Health, in which they asked a number of questions of Massachusetts married couples, same-sex married couples, about their experiences and their impressions of their marriage.

- Q. Do you know what the conclusions were of that --
- 13 A. Well, I think that one -- there were a number of different conclusions in the study.

I think the one that was very interesting, and perhaps relevant to what I was just saying, is that most of the couples, in excess of 70 percent of the couples, said that as a result of getting married they felt that their commitment to their relationship had strengthened.

- Q. Now, switching topics, are you familiar with the term "stigma"?
- 22 | A. Yes, I'm very familiar with that term.
- $\|Q$. What does that term mean?

2.0

- 24 | A. Well, stigma is a kind of shared cultural knowledge.
- 25 | It's knowledge about particular characteristics or

attributes or groups that are viewed negatively by the society,
such that the members of those groups or individuals with those
characteristics or attributes are devalued. They're looked
down upon. And they're treated differently, such that they end
up having less control over the course of their own lives, less
influence over others, less access to the valued resources of
society, all of which are what we think of as power. So they

- 9 Q. Are you familiar with the term "structural stigma"?
- \mathbf{A} . Yes.

2.0

11 | Q. And what does that term mean?

have less power than other individuals.

A. Well, structural stigma is a term that we use in contrast to individual manifestations of stigma. So those -- that cultural belief, that shared knowledge about certain groups being devalued can be expressed by individuals through ostracism, negative statements, even violence and discrimination.

But there are also -- stigma is also manifested in the institutions of society, in which case it doesn't matter who the particular individuals are who are inhabiting that institution at the time. This is just part of the institution itself.

So, for example, a good example of structural stigma is the law, the legal institutions that designate certain groups as lacking certain resources relative to others. That's

an instance of structural stigma.

- Q. Are gay men and lesbians stigmatized today?
- $3 | \mathbf{A}. \quad \text{Yes.}$

2.0

- **Q.** Why do you say that?
- **A.** Well, we have a great deal of research showing that 6 lesbians and gay men face stigma.

We know that, looking at national survey data, that there are large numbers of people who will say that they have negative feelings towards lesbians and gay men; that they -- even that they feel disgusted by lesbians and gay men.

We have instances of discrimination and violence against people who are lesbian and gay.

The FBI and the State of California both track hate crimes perpetrated against people because of their sexual orientation.

In a national survey study that I conducted with a nationally representative sample of lesbian, gay, and bisexual adults, I found that roughly one in five of people in the sample had experienced some sort of violence based on their sexual orientation in the course of their lifetime.

And a slightly lower percentage of lesbians and gay men had experienced some sort of discrimination, for example, in employment, at some time during their lifetime.

We see instances of violence and harassment in the public schools against children and youth who are perceived as

being gay or lesbian.

context.

And I think, too, at a sort of intuitive level, I think that most people understand that if two men were to walk down the street holding hands, in many places that that would elicit a great deal of negative reaction. And that's an instance of the sort of stigma that I think everyone is aware of, that is faced by people who are lesbian or gay.

- Q. How is structural stigma related to the instances of discrimination or harassment or violence that you've mentioned?
- A. Well, as I mentioned a moment ago, those are -- those are individual manifestations, individuals carrying out those acts. But they do that within a context, within a broader social

And structural stigma basically provides that context. It identifies which members of society are devalued. And, in a sense, it gives a level of permission to denigrate or attack particular groups, or members who are perceived to be members of particular groups in society.

- Q. Does the stigma that you've described extend beyond just gay men and lesbians, to same-sex relationships?
- A. Oh, yes, yes. And, in fact, in some psychological research, where for various methodological reasons the researchers wanted to ascertain reactions based on visual images rather than on words or descriptions, they have sometimes used photographs of same-sex couples, and used that

1 as a way of conveying the idea of homosexuality or gay men and 2 lesbians.

And what they found is that they get substantially more negative reactions to those photographs of same-sex couples than they do to photographs of people in different-sex couples.

- Q. Is Proposition 8 an instance of structural stigma?
- 8 A. Well, by definition, it is, yes. It's part of the legal
- 9 system. And it differentiates people in same-sex relationships
- 10 from -- from those in heterosexual relationships. So in a
- 11 definitional sense, yes.
- 12 Q. Now, I want to go back, actually, to some of your earlier
- 13 testimony and ask you a couple of follow-up questions about
- 14 | just one -- one aspect of your testimony earlier.
- Do you remember earlier I had asked you if people
- 16 chose their sexual orientation?
- 17 **A.** Yes.

3

4

5

6

- 18 $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$ And you had described some research that you did
- 19 | surrounding that.
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 Q. Can I ask you a couple of follow-up questions about that?
- 22 **A.** Sure.
- 23 Q. Could you look at Exhibit 928 in your binder.
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Can you explain what this document is?

- Well, this is a paper that I published with two colleagues in the Journal of Counseling Psychology in 2009.
- And does this document contain some of the findings that 4 you had described about people's perception of their own sexual orientation?
 - Yeah. As -- as part of this, the study that's described in this paper, we asked members of a community sample that we had collected in the Sacramento area -- it was approximately 2200 people. Among other things, we asked them questions about the extent to which they -- how much choice they felt they had about being lesbian or gay or bisexual.

And on page 39 of the paper, there is a table that reports the frequencies of responses to this. And so, for purposes of the study, these were referred to as essentialist beliefs.

(Reporter interrupts.)

Essentialist beliefs. Α.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

And what you see there is that, among the gay men, 87 percent said they experienced no choice or only a little choice about their sexual orientation, compared to 13 percent who said they felt they had some choice, a fair amount of choice, or a lot of choice.

Among lesbians, it was 70 percent who said no choice or very little.

Among bisexual men, it was 59 percent who said no

 $\mathsf{L} \mid \mathsf{choice}$ or a little.

2 And among bisexual women, it was 45 percent.

Q. Thank you.

And you also mentioned that you have a more recent study that addresses the same topic; is that right?

6 **A.** Yes.

3

4

- 7 \mathbf{Q} . And can you turn to Exhibit 930 in your binder.
- 8 **A.** Yes.
- 9 Q. Is that the more recent study that you've referred to?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And can you describe, briefly, the findings on that topic
- 12 | in this -- in this study.
- 13 \mathbf{A} . Yes. This is a -- by the way, this is a study that has
- 14 been accepted for publication, but it hasn't yet been
- 15 published. So this is sort of a manuscript version of it.
- But on page 33 of the manuscript -- oops, no, sorry, that's the wrong page.
- On page 27 of the manuscript, you see that the
- 19 percentages are reported there for a similar question. In that
- 20 group, in that -- in that table, you see that 88 percent of
- 21 men -- of gay men said they had no choice at all, with
- 22 approximately 7 percent saying they had a small amount of
- 23 | choice.
- For lesbians, it's about 68 percent saying no choice
- 25 at all, and another 15 percent saying a small amount of choice.

For bisexual men, it's about 38 percent and 22 percent.

And for bisexual women, it's about 40 percent and 15 percent, saying no choice or a small amount of choice.

- Q. Now, are you aware of any empirical studies in which heterosexual men and women were asked about their sexual orientation?
- $8 \mid \mathbf{A}$. Uhm, no, I'm not.

2.0

- Q. Do you have any sense, based on your research, of what heterosexual men and women do believe about their sexual orientation?
- A. Well, I think it would be a reasonable hypothesis to say that, probably, most heterosexual men and women, if they were asked the question and if they thought about it, would probably report that they similarly don't experience -- they don't feel that they made a choice to be heterosexual.

But that's a hypothesis. I don't have data that would show that.

Q. Now, let me just finish, turning back to the topic we were last on, regarding structural stigma in Proposition 8. And I'm sorry to go out of order here.

There was testimony in this case -- and I'll ask to have it put up on the screen -- from a woman who was married in San Francisco in 2004, and whose marriage was later invalidated.

(Document displayed)

2.0

I'll just read it for the record. This is the testimony of Helen Zia in this trial. It says:

"We -- for a brief moment in time, we

experienced a feeling of what equality is.

But instead of having to go to the fountain that is just for gay and lesbian people, here we could go to the fountain that formerly said heterosexuals only. And we tasted the water that was sweeter there. And our families experienced that."

Does this testimony speak to the effect of stigma, in your view?

A. Well, I think that what she highlights there is this sense of having felt different.

One of the leading writers in the area of stigma, characterized stigma as an undesired differentness. And I would say that this -- this is an illustration of how someone who is in a stigmatized group has that feeling of being different in an undesired way.

And what she seems to be expressing here is that there was a brief time, in 2004, when she felt that that difference had been removed. And -- and -- and that felt, obviously, very good to her.

MR. DETTMER: Your Honor, I have no more questions at

```
this time.
 2
              THE COURT: Very well. Mr. Nielson, would you like
 3
   to cross-examine?
 4
             MR. NIELSON: Yes, Your Honor.
 5
              Your Honor, I believe that our paralegal is getting
   the witness binders, if you could --
 6
 7
              THE COURT: More binders.
              MR. NIELSON: Yes, more binders.
 8
 9
              Your Honor, permission to approach.
              THE COURT: Yes, indeed.
10
             MR. NIELSON: Thank you.
11
             Good morning, Your Honor.
12
13
                           CROSS EXAMINATION
   BY MR. NIELSON:
14
15
        Good morning, Professor Herek.
      Good morning.
16
17
        I would first like to -- Professor Herek, I would first
   Q.
   like to discuss your opinion regarding the definition of sexual
18
19
   orientation --
2.0
        Okay.
   Α.
21
   Q. -- you've offered.
              Now, social scientists conceive of sexual orientation
22
23
   as a complex, multi-faceted phenomenon in --
24
              (Reporter interrupts.)
25
              THE COURT: Keep your voice up, Counsel.
```

1 MR. NIELSON: Yes. I apologize.

BY MR. NIELSON:

2

5

7

8

11

13

14

15

16

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

Professor Herek, social scientists conceive of sexual 3 orientation as a complex, multi-faceted phenomenon, and

operationalize it in a variety of ways, correct?

- That is correct. 6
 - And most social and behavioral research has assessed sexual orientation in terms of attraction, behavior, or identity, or some combination of these constructs, correct?
- 10 That is correct. Α.
- Now, in this case, you have said that, as commonly used, sexual orientation refers to an enduring pattern or disposition 12 to experience sexual, affectional, or romantic desires for and attractions to men, women, or both sexes, correct?
 - It also refers to patterns of sexual behavior and identities. But, yes, also the patterns of attraction.
- 17 Q. Okay. Thank you.
 - And, specifically with respect to the enduring pattern of affections, an "enduring pattern" refers to something that goes beyond a momentary experience that is not repeated again in an individual's life.
 - So we are talking about something that has at least some consistency over a period of time, correct?
- That is correct. 24
- 25 But how long a period of time is not something that you

1 think is specified by people who use that term, correct?

- 2 A. I would say that there's a general understanding that
- 3 | we're not talking about fleeting experiences, or experiences
- 4 | that are specific to a very particular situation; but something
- 5 that constitutes an important period of one's life.
- 6 Q. So you think what you see is that when you actually look
- 7 | at people's behavior and experiences, these are feelings and
- 8 attractions that endure over a significant portion of time,
- 9 | beyond a few days, beyond a week, some period of time in the
- 10 | individual's life, correct?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. And the term "sexual orientation" is also used to refer to
- 13 an individual's sense of personal and social identity, based on
- 14 | those desires and attractions, behaviors expressing them, and
- 15 membership in the community of others who share them, correct?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 Q. And there may be a distinction between social and personal
- 18 | identity, correct?
- 19 || **A.** Uhm, yes. Sometimes we think of personal identity as
- 20 | being something that is -- well, actually, it's easier to start
- 21 | with social identity.
- 22 Social identity is an identity that is based on a
- 23 | collective membership, a membership in a larger community.
- 24 Personal identity can focus more on an individual's
- 25 own personal life, on their idiosyncratic characteristics, on

their specific relationships with other people.

And so both of those types of identity can be involved in sexual orientation.

Q. All right. Thank you.

So, specifically, it's also possible with a personal identity that an individual does not really feel that he or she is part of this larger collectivity, and that simply not -- this is simply not a group with which he or she identifies, correct?

- A. Some people can experience their sexual orientation as a purely personal thing, and not feel a great sense of membership in or affinity with a larger gay or lesbian or bisexual community.
- Q. So, in that case, the individual might feel that he or she doesn't want to be treated as a member of the group; that he or she wants to be understood as an individual. But, nevertheless, has a personal identity, that it's related to his or her sexual orientation, correct?
- A. Some people don't feel comfortable being subsumed into a collectivity and so they -- they prefer to be thought of just as an individual, yes.
- Q. Thank you.

Now, you use the word "gay" to refer collectively to men and women whose social identity is based on their homosexual orientation; that is, their sexual, affectional, or

I romantic attraction primarily to members of their own sex.

Correct?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

A. I frequently use the word "gay."

Sometimes, in talking about women who are homosexual in their sexual orientation, I use the word "lesbian." That is sometimes a preferred term for women.

And sometimes I and other writers or researchers in this area will refer to "lesbian and gay" to make it explicit that we're including both men and women in that group.

- Q. Right. Other than preference of the individual, do you have a distinction between gay and lesbian, other than the preference and the fact that "gay" could be used to refer to both sexes?
- 14 A. Well, "gay" can be used to refer to both sexes.

There are some women who are lesbians who prefer not to use that term for themselves. But it is sometimes used generically, yes.

Q. Thank you.

And an individual can label himself "gay" and yet not necessarily feel that he is part of what is sometimes called the gay community, correct?

- A. Uhm, that would be an instance where the individual feels a personal identity based on his presumed homosexuality, but doesn't have that sense of collective belonging.
- 25 Q. Okay. Thank you.

And it could be that an individual's social identity
is very much tied to being gay. But it may be that it is not,
correct?

- A. I'm sorry. Could you -- I didn't quite understand that question.
- Q. Yes. It could be that an individual's social identity is very much tied to being gay. But it could be that it is not, correct?
 - A. So, some individuals do have a very strong social identity as part of -- being a part of that collectivity, and others do not. That's correct.
- **Q.** Thank you.

2.0

And although it's often discussed in terms of three categories, sexual orientation ranges along a continuum from exclusively heterosexual to exclusively homosexual, correct?

A. Well, this is a way of thinking about sexual orientation or, rather, sexuality, that goes back at least to the days of Alfred Kinsey, in which he talked about a continuum, ranging from exclusively homosexual in behaviors or attractions, to exclusively heterosexual in behaviors and attractions.

And, so, it's generally assumed that that continuum exists; although, in practice, we generally refer to three distinct groups: Homosexuals, heterosexual, and bisexuals.

Q. All right. But do you believe that sexual orientation ranges along a continuum, from exclusively heterosexual to

```
exclusively homosexual?
```

- 2 Well, I believe that can be a very useful way of thinking 3 about sexual orientation, yes.
- 4 Okay. Thank you.
- 5 Could you turn to tab 1 in the witness binder I gave 6 you.
- 7 Now, this is a copy of the expert report that you filed in this case, correct? 8
- 9 Yes. Α.
- 10 And could you turn to paragraph 21. It's on page 6. Q. And 11 in the third sentence of paragraph 21 you write, quote:
- 12 "Although sexual orientation ranges along a 13 continuum from exclusively heterosexual to exclusively homosexual, it is use usually 14
- And then you list the categories of: heterosexual, 16 homosexual, and bisexual, correct?

discussed in terms of three categories."

- That's correct. 18 Α.
- So you explicitly did offer that opinion in this case, 19
- 2.0 correct?

15

- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Okay. Thank you. Q.
- Now, sexual orientation is always defined in 23 24 relational terms, and necessarily involves relationships with other individuals, correct? 25

A. Yes.

- $2 \parallel \mathbf{Q}$. And sexual orientation is generally a characteristic that
- 3 | is not immediately obvious from simply looking at a person,
- 4 | correct?
- 5 A. In most instances, a person's sexual orientation is not
- 6 readily apparent just from looking at them. Unless, of course,
- 7 the person is wearing some item of apparel or a button or
- 8 | something that identifies them.
- 9 But, in general, no, it's not immediately evident
- 10 | what a person's sexual orientation is.
- 11 Q. All right. Thank you.
- 12 Professor Herek, could you please turn to tab 5 in
- 13 the witness binder. And you'll find a document that's
- 14 premarked PX0198. Could you identify this document?
- 15 $\|\mathbf{A}_{\bullet}\|$ Yes. This is an entry I wrote for the Encyclopedia of
- 16 | Psychology on the term "homosexuality."
- 17 Q. Thank you.
- 18 MR. NIELSON: And, Your Honor, this was on the list,
- 19 | I believe, of exhibits that plaintiff offered. So I believe
- 20 | it's already in evidence.
- 21 | THE COURT: Very well.
- 22 BY MR. NIELSON:
- 23 $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$ All right. On page 149, at the very beginning of the
- 24 | article you write, quote:
- 25 | "Homosexuality encompasses a variety of

1 phenomena related to same-sex sexual orientation. Although definitions of the 2 3 term often focus mainly on sexual acts and 4 attractions between persons of the same 5 biological sex, homosexuality also refers to 6 patterns of same-sex romantic and emotional 7 bonding, identities and communities based on same-sex desires and relationships, and the 8 9 shared culture created by those communities." 10 Correct? 11 That's what I wrote. 12 And do you consider -- did you consider these definitions 13 of homosexuality in forming your opinion regarding the nature and definition of sexual orientation in this case? 14 15 I'm sorry, did I --Did you consider these definitions of homosexuality in 16 forming your opinions that you've offered in this case? 17 Uhm, yes. 18 A. 19 Okay. Thank you. Q. Now, in the next column on page 149, you write: 2.0 "Homosexuality has at least five different 2.1 22 components." 23 Correct? Correct. 24

And then you list these. And they are in the bold

- 1 | headings continuing down the page as, first, "Sexual Attraction
- 2 and Desire, "then "Sexual Behavior, "then "Identities, "then,
- 3 | turning on the next page, "Relationships and Families," and,
- 4 | then, on the next page "Communities," correct?
- 5 A. Right.
- 6 Q. And did you consider these components of homosexuality
- 7 | when forming your opinions regarding the nature and definition
- 8 of sexual orientation in this case?
- 9 A. Yes, I did.
- 10 Q. All right. Now, homosexuality is usually understood as a
- 11 | counterpart to heterosexuality, with bisexual applied to
- 12 | individuals who manifest both heterosexual and homosexual
- 13 | behavior or attraction, correct?
- 14 A. Yes. And usually we reserve that for people who manifest
- 15 | what is called a significant amount of attraction or behavior
- 16 | with both sexes.
- 17 \mathbb{Q} . All right. Thank you for that clarification.
- 18 And such labels, referring back to heterosexuality,
- 19 | bisexuality, and homosexuality, represent an
- 20 | oversimplification, correct?
- 21 **A.** Well, they can in some cases, yes.
- 22 Q. All right. Please look at page 149 of this exhibit, in
- 23 | the second paragraph. And the first two sentences, the first
- 24 | sentence reads:
- 25 | "Homosexuality is usually understood as the

1 counterpart to heterosexuality, with 2 bisexuality applied to individuals who manifest both heterosexual and homosexual 3 4 behavior or attraction. Such labels, 5 however, represent an oversimplification." 6 Correct, that's what you wrote in this article? 7 And then I went on to explain that although most people are consistent or display consistency in terms of their 8 behaviors and attractions and identities, there are some people who don't. 10 So we do see instances where people may express a 11 particular attraction, but don't necessarily have sexual 12 13 behavior that conforms to that attraction. Or there are other ways in which those don't always overlap. 14 So not all people display consistency among their sexual 15 feelings, behavior, and identity, correct? 16 17 That is correct. Α. 18 All right. Professor Herek, please turn to tab 6 in the witness binder. 19 You will find here a exhibit premarked PX919. And 2.0 21 can you identify this document? 22 This is another encyclopedia entry. This is from The Corsini Encyclopedia of Psychology and Behavioral Science, the 23

Thank you. And, again, this is one you wrote?

third edition.

24

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Α.

MR. NIELSON: Your Honor, again, I believe this is on the plaintiffs' list that they offered this morning. So I believe it's already in.

THE COURT: Very well.

BY MR. NIELSON:

- Q. Now, at the start of the document you write, quote: "Homosexuality refers to sexual behaviors, desires, attractions, and relationships among people of the same sex, as well as to the culture, identities and communities associated with them."

Correct.

Correct?

- 15 **Q.** Now, not all people with homosexual attractions develop a 16 gay or lesbian identity, correct?
- 17 **A.** Not all people -- correct, not all people with homosexual attractions identify as lesbian or gay.
- 19 \mathbf{Q} . Thank you.

20 And not all people who identify themselves as gay
21 engage in homosexual acts, correct?

- 22 **A.** This is true for both heterosexuals and homosexuals and bisexuals.
- Q. Many men, for example, regularly have sex with other men, but, nevertheless, label themselves as gay or -- excuse me.

1 Many men, for example, regularly have sex with other men, but never label themselves as gay or bisexual, correct? 2 3 Α. This is a phenomenon that has been observed, yes. 4 Q. Thank you. 5 Please turn to tab 7 in the witness binder. You'll 6 find a document premarked PX926. Professor Herek, can you 7 identify this document? This is a -- an entry or a paper that I coauthored with 8 Dr. Linda Garnets, for the Annual Review of the Clinical Psychology. The title is "sexual Orientation and Mental 10 11 Health." 12 Q. Thank you. 13 MR. NIELSON: Now, Your Honor, I believe PX926 is already in evidence, but if it is not I would like to offer it. 14 15 THE COURT: I assume without objection. MR. DETTMER: We have no objection, Your Honor. 16 17 THE COURT: Very well. 18 MR. NIELSON: Okay. Thank you. 19 BY MR. NIELSON: 2.0 On page 355, under the heading "Historical Background," it 21 says, quote: "Historically speaking, sexual orientation is 22 23 a fairly new construct. Although heterosexual and homosexual behaviors have 24 25 ubiquitous across human societies, notions of

'the homosexual' and 'the heterosexual' - as

well as the very idea that individuals can be

defined in terms of their sexual attractions

and behaviors - emerged in medical discourse

only in the 19th century."

Is that correct?

2.0

- A. If we go back in time, what we see is that these ideas of homosexuality and heterosexuality are probably -- did probably emerge in medical discourse in the 19th century. They may have existed somewhat earlier than that, in other types of -- of understanding. But, yeah, that is where we see them emerge in the medical discourse.
- Q. All right. Now, as we discussed earlier, in most empirical research, sexual orientation has been defined in terms of sexual attraction, sexual behavior, or self-labeling as gay, lesbian, or bisexual, correct?
- **A.** Or, perhaps, as a combination of those in various ways.
- 18 Q. Or some combination. Thank you.

And because individuals are not always consistently heterosexual or homosexual across these dimensions, research participants who are categorized as heterosexual by one criterion might have been classified as homosexual or bisexual if a different operational definition had been employed correct?

A. The data indicates that there are at least some people for

whom that would be the case, that -- that we -- although, we
see that the vast majority of people are consistent in their
behavior, their identity, and their attractions, it is the case
that there are some who are not.

And, so, it's true that there would be some differences in classification for that -- that small group of individuals.

Q. All right. Thank you.

Please turn to 362. And it's the very bottom of the page. And I'm going to read there, starting at the bottom and then continuing over to 363. And, in fact, it's the sentence that starts at the very bottom of the second column. Can you see that? I'll read it.

A. Okay.

2.0

15 | **Q.** It is:

"Indeed, two leading researchers in this area estimated that only half of the individuals who report sexual contact with a same-sex adult actually identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual."

Correct?

A. I was pointing that out in the context of saying that there are -- there can be limitations for research that combines all sexual minority respondents into just one group of non-heterosexual, because that undifferentiated category

- inevitably includes not only individuals who have this

 collective sexual orientation identity, but it also includes

 people who regard their sexuality in purely personal terms, and

 perhaps only who engage occasionally in homosexual behavior,

 without having any corresponding identity.
- 6 Q. And that would be personal identity or social identity;
 7 | would it not?
- $8 \parallel \mathbf{A}$. Possibly.
- 9 Okay. And in the next sentence you write: 0. "Consistent with this observation, the 10 proportion of adults who identified as gay, 11 lesbian, or bisexual in a 2000 national 12 13 probability sample was roughly the same as the proportion who reported same-sex behavior 14 15 but identified as heterosexual." Correct? 16
 - **A.** That's what I wrote.

17

24

- Q. So, essentially, equal-size groups of adults reporting same-sex behavior, half of them identifying as gay, lesbian, or bisexual, half identifying as heterosexual, correct?
- 21 A. Uhm, I believe so. I have to say I -- this is a study by
 22 Drabble, et al. And I haven't looked at that study recently,
 23 but I assume that I was -- that I said it correctly when we
- 25 **Q.** All right. Thank you.

wrote it here.

And did you consider these statistics in forming your opinions in this case?

- 3 **A.** This -- which statistics?
- $4 \parallel \mathbf{Q}$. The ones we just read.
- $5 \parallel \mathbf{A}$. About the relative numbers of people in various groups?
- 6 Q. Correct.
- 7 A. They -- I certainly am aware of them. I'm not recalling a
- 8 specific instance in which I used them in writing my report,
- 9 | for example.
- 10 Q. All right. So you may not have cited them, but you were
- 11 | aware of them?
- 12 **A.** I have been aware of them, yes.
- 13 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- Now, the -- now, the world in which today's sexual minority youth come to understand their sexual orientation is
- 16 vastly different from that of previous generations, correct?
- 17 **A.** I would say that the world is vastly different from
- 18 previous generations in all sorts of respects.
- 19 Q. All right. Thank you.
- 20 And, indeed, not all manifestations of same-sex
- 21 | sexuality among contemporary youth are adequately accounted for
- 22 by the labels "gay," "lesbian" and "bisexual," and the
- 23 | identities associated with them, correct?
- 24 $\|\mathbf{A}_{\bullet}\|$ Well, for example, among youth, we see an embracing of the
- 25 | term "queer." And people will use that as a self-descriptor.

And that, of course, was a label of derision that was frequently used against a person who was perceived to be homosexual.

Younger people have embraced that term, and use it now as an identity label. So that's an instance where a term like "gay," "lesbian" or "bisexual" may not be so applicable if an individual self-identifies as "queer."

- Q. So do you agree with the statement that not all manifestations of same-sex sexuality among contemporary youth are adequately accounted for by the labels "gay," "lesbian," and "bisexual"?
- $\|\mathbf{A}$. I believe I wrote that statement, yes.
- \mathbf{Q} . Okay. Thank you.

2.0

All right. Please turn to tab 8 in the witness binder. And you will find a document premarked PX917. And, Professor Herek, can you identify this document?

A. This is a chapter from a book that I co-edited back in the 1990s. The book was on social science research relative to the question of lesbian and gay personnel in the U.S. military.

And this was a chapter that I wrote, "Why Tell If You're Not asked? Self-Disclosure, Intergroup Contact, and Heterosexuals' Attitudes Towards Lesbians and Gay Men."

MR. NIELSON: Thank you.

Your Honor, again, I believe this is on the list that plaintiffs submitted this morning, so I believe it's already

```
been admitted.
 2
             THE COURT: But if not, I assume there is no
 3
   objection.
 4
             MR. DETTMER: No objection.
 5
             MR. NIELSON: If not, I would like to move it into
 6
   evidence, yes.
 7
             THE COURT: Very well.
   BY MR. NIELSON:
 8
   Q. Now, please turn to page 201. And there's a heading in
10
   the second column called, "Sexual Orientation, Heterosexuality,
11
   and Homosexuality."
12
             THE COURT: By the way, 926 was not offered, I
1.3
   believe.
14
             MR. NIELSON: I believe 926 was admitted a previous
15
   day.
16
             THE COURT: Oh.
17
             MR. NIELSON: If it was not, I would like to move
   into evidence.
18
19
              THE COURT: Not with this witness.
             THE WITNESS: Not with this witness, correct.
20
             THE COURT: All right.
21
22
             MR. NIELSON: And I apologize for not clarifying
23
   that.
  BY MR. NIELSON:
24
25
   Q.
        So --
```

1 THE COURT: Sorry for the interruption. 2 MR. NIELSON: No, no. Thank you for the -- for that. 3 BY MR. NIELSON: 4 Q. All right. On the second column you write: 5 "Although heterosexual and homosexual 6 behaviors alike have been common throughout 7 human history the ways in which cultures" --(Reporter interrupts.) 8 9 Okay. "Although heterosexual and homosexual 10 behaviors alike have been common throughout 11 human history, ways in which cultures have 12 13 made sense of these behaviors and the rules governing them have varied widely." 14 15 Is that correct? I would say that, as with all sorts of phenomena, race, 16 ethnicity, social class, and sexuality, that that's correct. 17 18 Q. Okay. Thank you. And in the United States today, human sexuality has 19 been popularly understood in terms of the dichotomy between two 2.0 types of people: those who are attracted to their same gender, 21 22 homosexuals, and those who are attracted to the other gender, 23 heterosexuals, correct? 24 Well, except I believe that the sentence began, "For at least a century in the United States and Europe..." 25

- 1 **Q.** Okay.
- $2 \, || \mathbf{A}_{\bullet}$ And then the part you read.
- 3 Q. But do you agree that in the United States today, that's
- 4 | true?
- 5 A. Well, I would say that the dichotomy has yielded to
- 6 something of a trichotomy, in that bisexuals are now much more
- 7 | widely recognized, really, just in the last few decades, than
- 8 was the case before that.
- 9 Q. All right. Thank you for that clarification.
- 10 Now, this classification system differs from other
- 11 possible ways of understanding sexuality, in that its focus is
- 12 on the individual rather than the behavior, correct?
- 13 **A.** I'm sorry. I lost my place here.
- 14 Q. This classification system differs from other possible
- 15 | ways --
- 16 **A.** Okay.
- 17 Q. -- of understanding sexuality in that its focus is on the
- 18 | individual rather than the behavior, correct?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- 20 Q. And instead of conceiving of people as capable of a wide
- 21 range of sexual attractions and behaviors, the
- 22 | heterosexual-homosexual dichotomy creates two ideal types that,
- 23 depending on the individual, correspond more or less to actual
- 24 | experience and behavior, correct?
- 25 | A. Well, again, I would say that there has been the expansion

- 1 in the last few decades of a greater recognition of bisexuality
- 2 | being another -- another type, if you will, that is related to
- 3 | sexuality.
- $4 \parallel \mathbf{Q}$. All right. Would you agree with the statement that the
- 5 | heterosexual-bisexual-homosexuality trichotomy creates three
- 6 | ideal types that, depending on the individual, corresponds more
- 7 or less to actual experience and behavior?
- 8 A. And I would point out, I'm using "type" here or "ideal
- 9 | type --"
- 10 **Q.** Yes.
- 11 | A. -- in the social science sense, which means that this is
- 12 a -- something of a construct. This is a category. And, so,
- 13 | yes.
- 14 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 15 And by a "construct" or a "category," that's what you
- 16 mean when you say that, depending on the individual, it may
- 17 correspond more or less to actual experience and behavior,
- 18 | correct?
- 19 A. By saying "ideal type," I didn't want you to think that I
- 20 | was somehow saying this is how it should be, or this is the
- 21 | ideal we should strive for.
- But, rather, these are -- there's a sort of clean,
- 23 | clear distinction between all individuals according to this
- 24 | dichotomy or trichotomy. And that's what's meant by "ideal
- 25 | type."

Q. Thank you.

1

- Now, defining oneself personally and socially as
- 3 | "gay" or "lesbian," or more recently "queer," provides entry to
- 4 | alternative communities that have developed in the
- 5 United States and elsewhere, correct?
- 6 A. I'm sorry. Are you still reading from this? I lost where
- 7 | you are, if you are, if you're reading from it.
- 8 Q. Well, I'm not reading from that paragraph.
- 9 **A.** Okay.
- 10 $||\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}||$ I'm asking you a question.
- 11 | A. I'm sorry. I thought we were just reading through. I'm
- 12 | sorry, could you --
- 13 Q. Defining oneself personally and socially as "gay" or
- 14 | "lesbian," or more recently "queer," provides entry into
- 15 | alternative communities that have developed in the
- 16 United States and elsewhere, correct?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 \mathbf{Q} . Thank you.
- Now, would I -- if you would, please, turn to tab 10
- 20 | in the witness binder, Professor Herek.
- 21 | And you'll see here in the binder select pages from a
- 22 | book that we have designated as DIX2654. And I have a physical
- 23 copy of the book here in the courtroom, if you would like to
- 24 | look at that. But these are the pages that I'm going to look
- 25

at.

```
1
             MR. NIELSON: Your Honor, should I -- do I need to
 2
   show him the book, or is this sufficient?
 3
              THE COURT: Well, let's let the witness make that
 4
   decision.
              THE WITNESS: I would like to see the book because,
 5
 6
   actually, I don't recognize this.
 7
             MR. NIELSON: All right. Just a moment, if you
   would.
8
 9
              (Pause)
             Your Honor, permission to approach the witness.
10
11
              THE COURT: Of course.
             MR. DETTMER: Your Honor, if we could get a copy, as
12
13
   well.
14
             THE COURT: Do you have a copy for counsel?
15
             MR. NIELSON: I don't have an extra copy. The
   discussion that we are going to use is all reproduced in the
16
17
   exhibit book.
             THE COURT: Well, let's try a question, and take it
18
19
   one step at a time.
20
             MR. NIELSON: Okay. Thank you.
   BY MR. NIELSON:
21
        Can you identify that document, Professor Herek?
22
23
        No, I can't. I've never seen it before.
24
        Are you familiar with Professor Badgett?
        I know Professor Badgett.
25
```

- 1 \mathbb{Q} . And she is the editor of this book, correct?
- $2 \parallel A$. It's edited by M.V. Lee Badgett and Jefferson Frank.
- $3 \parallel \mathbf{Q}$. And that Lee Badgett is Professor Badgett that we are
- 4 | speaking of, correct?
- $5 \, | \, \mathbf{A} \cdot \, \,$ Yes.
- 6 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 7 And if you turn to page 21, it's reproduced in the
- 8 | binder. Or you can turn in the book, if you would prefer.
- 9 We are in a chapter of that book that's written by
- 10 | Professor Badgett called "Discrimination Based on Sexual
- 11 Orientation. A Review of the Literature in Economics and
- 12 Beyond. Can you see that?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- 14 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 15 And as, again, you're familiar with
- 16 | Professor Badgett?
- 17 A. I am familiar with Professor Badgett. I have never read
- 18 this article, to the best of my knowledge.
- 19 MR. NIELSON: Your Honor, I would like to offer this
- 20 | into evidence, Exhibit DIX2654.
- 21 THE COURT: It's under tab 10?
- 22 MR. NIELSON: That's correct.
- 23 THE COURT: Well, why don't you ask a question,
- 24 since --
- 25 MR. NIELSON: All right.

1 **THE COURT:** -- this hasn't, apparently, been provided to your opposing counsel before now. Why don't we --2 3 MR. NIELSON: We designated this as an exhibit some 4 time ago. And we've -- with books on both sides we've -- we've 5 identified the books, I believe. 6 For copyright reasons, we haven't attempted to make 7 complete photocopies of the books, and just give them as PDFs. And I believe that's true across the board for both sides, Your 8 Honor. THE COURT: Fair enough. Let's try a question --10 11 MR. NIELSON: Okay. THE COURT: -- and see whether we can put this 12 particular book or document or excerpt to a question to the 13 witness. 14 MR. NIELSON: All right. We will do that. 15 BY MR. NIELSON: 16 Please look at page 21. Professor Badgett writes, on --17 it's on the first full paragraph: 18 "The first complication is defining what one 19 20 means by 'sexual orientation' or being gay, lesbian, bisexual, or heterosexual. 21 22 Sexuality encompasses several potentially distinct dimensions of human behavior, 23 24 attraction, and personal identity, as decades 25 of research on human sexuality has shown."

1 Do you agree that sexual orientation encompasses several dimensions of behavior, attraction, and identity? 2 3 Well, as I said earlier, I -- the definition encompasses those three different dimensions. 5 Do you agree with the characterization of -- in the 6 sentence, of "several potentially distinct dimensions"? 7 Well, there's certainly a difference between attraction and behavior. So, in that sense, I -- I guess so. You can --8 these are different sorts of dimensions. Q. All right. Thank you. 10 And later down on the page, again 21, you'll see in 11 the second sentence Professor Badgett writes: 12 13 "One approach that I have used with data from the General Social Survey (Badgett 1995, 14 2001) involves identifying" --15 Wait a minute. I'm sorry. I don't see that citation. 16 17 Okay. It's in the second sentence. Q. 18 Oh, okay. Okay. I see. You are way down at the bottom. 19 Okay. 2.0 Do you see that? Q. 21 A. Yes. 22 Thank you. Q. "One approach that I have used with data from 23 24 the General Social Survey (Badgett 1995,

2001) involves identifying LGBT people based

on the relative lifetime frequency of

same-sex sexual behavior. In other words, I

classify a person as LGBT if he or she has

had at least same-sex partners as

different-sex partners since the age of 18."

Do you believe that's a reasonable way to classify

individuals as LGB?

2.0

A. Well, Professor Badgett, if I understand this in the context in which it's done, in her studies she was dealing with the question of how to draw some conclusions from national survey data that didn't actually ask people if they were gay, or lesbian, or bisexual, or heterosexual.

A bit earlier on the page she refers to the Laumann study of the National Health and Social Life Survey, in which they found that although about 90 percent of the people in the sample were consistently heterosexual in their behavior and attractions and identity, and a core group of probably 1 to 2 percent of the sample were consistently lesbian, gay, or bisexual in their attractions, behavior, and identity, there were some individuals who were not consistent in those -- in those different ways.

And so I believe that what Professor Badgett is doing here -- and, of course, I've never seen this before, so this is the first I've seen it. But I would assume what she's trying to do is explain that, in the absence of better measures which

weren't available through this General Social Survey, better measures of sexual orientation, she chose to look at behavior 2 3 as a proxy variable for sexual orientation. And I would assume

- 4 that she's explaining the rationale for doing that.
 - Q. And do you agree with her rationale?
- 6 I read it.

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- 7 All right. Do you agree that, depending on the data available, classifying a person as LGB if he or she has had at 8 least as many same-sex partners as different-sex partners since the age of 18 might be a reasonable approach?
 - Well, you know, what we have to do as social scientists is, we frequently end up having to make a variety of assumptions in operationalizing our variables.

(Reporter interrupts.)

I'm sorry. We frequently make a variety of assumptions when we operationalize our variables.

And one of the rules that we live by is, we explain the assumptions that we've made, and we explain what we've done, so that other social scientists can evaluate that.

And, so, Professor Badgett, in looking at the survey data from the General Social Survey, ended up having to count as lesbian, gay, or bisexual, individuals who reported having at least as much sexual contact with someone of the same sex as with someone of the other sex.

Now, I would say that some researchers would suggest

that that might not be an ideal approach to operationalizing lesbian, gay, or bisexual individuals. It's what she did in this study. And I believe that in other studies there have been other approaches to operationalizing them.

So what's important is that she's spelling out what

So what's important is that she's spelling out what she did and what assumptions she made, and so when we evaluate her data we will know that and we will evaluate it with that information in mind.

Q. Thank you.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

And you said you know Professor Badgett. Are you aware of her reputation as a scholar in her field?

A. Yes. I would say that Professor Badgett, to my knowledge, is well regarded in her field. In the area of studies related to sexual orientation, she is certainly well regarded.

As a non-economist, I can't speak to how she is regarded among economists.

Q. All right. Thank you.

MR. NIELSON: I believe that's ample foundation. I can move this into evidence.

THE COURT: What are you moving into evidence?

MR. NIELSON: I'm moving in the book.

THE COURT: The whole book?

MR. DETTMER: Your Honor, we would like an opportunity to look at the book, so maybe we can have a chance to look at that.

1	THE COURT: Reserving that objection, but I will
2	admit the portion that witness was examined about.
3	MR. NIELSON: Yes, your Honor, if for some reason
4	opposing counsel has an objection to the book as a whole, I
5	would at least like to admit the chapter in the book that these
6	pages are drawn from.
7	MR. DETTMER: Your Honor, the chapter that we have
8	been discussing was, obviously, the only one that Professor
9	Herek has made any statements about. So I don't know that
10	there is anything else he can add to the rest of the book.
11	THE COURT: I certainly will admit the excerpts
12	excerpt singular, that is contained in tab 10.
13	With respect to the whole chapter or the entire book,
14	let's reserve on that and see whether there is an objection;
15	and if there i, is I will rule on that.
16	MR. NIELSON: All right. And, in fact, I would like
17	to modify my request to limit it to the chapter. I believe
18	opposing counsel made a reasonable point.
19	MR. DETTMER: That's fine, your Honor.
20	THE COURT: So there is no objection to admitting the
21	chapter; is that it, Mr. Dettmer?
22	MR. DETTMER: I'm sorry. I didn't realize, we don't
23	even have the whole chapter here.
24	MR. NIELSON: I believe that opposing counsel is
25	requesting the opportunity to inspect the chapter and the

```
actual physical copy of the book first.
 2
              THE COURT: That's what I first understood, but it
 3
   seemed to change.
 4
              MR. DETTMER: I'm sorry, your Honor. I was under the
 5
    impression that we had the whole chapter here, and I understand
 6
   now we have only an excerpt of the chapter, as opposed to --
 7
              THE COURT: There's only two pages.
              I will admit the two pages, pages 021 and he --
 8
 9
              MR. NIELSON: Your Honor --
              THE COURT: Well, I guess it's 23, 21 -- I see how
10
11
    this is. It's pages 20 to 23. That will be admitted. We will
   reserve on the entire chapter and give counsel an opportunity
12
13
    to review it, and then we'll deal with the matter.
              (Defendants' Exhibit 2654, Pages 20-23, received in
14
15
              evidence)
16
             MR. NIELSON: Thank you, your Honor.
   BY MR. NIELSON:
17
        And, again, I would like to -- Professor Herek, could you
18
    turn to tab nine in the witness binder?
19
              (Witness complied.)
2.0
21
        And, again, you will find selected pages from a book we
   Q.
22
   have designated as DIX-950.
23
              And, again, I have a physical copy of the book here
24
   that I can provide, if you would like to inspect that.
25
              Are you familiar with this book, Professor Herek?
```

- 1 A. I'm somewhat familiar with this book. I have read
- 2 portions of it, I believe.
- 3 $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$ And, in fact, if we look at -- again, this is by Professor
- 4 | Badgett, correct?
- $5 \| \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A} \|$ I believe so, yes. In my binder it doesn't actually have
- 6 a full...
- 7 \mathbf{Q} . The very first page, the cover of the book is reproduced.
- 8 A. It's black.
- 9 \mathbf{Q} . Is that right? I apologize.
- 10 $\|\mathbf{A}_{\bullet}\|$ I can see the title in white and that's all.
- 11 MR. NIELSON: Your Honor, may I approach the witness?
- 12 THE COURT: You may.
- 13 (Whereupon, document was tendered
- to the witness.)
- 15 $\|\mathbf{A}_{\bullet}\|$ I see. Only the subtitle was visible on this. Yes
- 16 BY MR. NIELSON:
- 17 **Q.** Could you read the title and the subtitle?
- 18 A. It's called Money, Myths and Change, The Economic Lives of
- 19 Lesbians and Gay Men, M.V. Lee Badgett.
- 20 Q. All right. Thank you.
- 21 And if you could turn to the first page of the
- 22 | acknowledgments, it's reproduced in the binder.
- 23 **A.** Uh-huh.
- 24 | Q. And in the middle it says:
- 25 | "Over the years I have also been lucky to

have received suggestions, ideas and much 1 2 support from..." It lists a number of names, including "Greg Herek." 3 4 Do you believe she is referring to you, Professor Herek? I believe so. 5 Α. 6 Q. Thank you. 7 Okay. Please look at page four in the book. And, again, that's reproduced in the binder. 8 9 (Witness complied.) And in the second full paragraph you will see that it 10 Q. 11 says, quote: 12 "Defining the book's boundary around the 13 sexual orientation of gay men and lesbians does not address exactly what gay or lesbian 14 15 means, however. Does it mean someone who engages in same-sex sexual behavior? Someone 16 17 who fantasizes about such acts? Someone who will identify himself or herself as gay or 18 19 lesbian?" 2.0 Do you believe those are all reasonable ways to define gay or lesbian? 21 Well, I believe that earlier I said that we define it in 22 terms of attractions, behaviors and identities. And I would 23 24 say that that's the -- those are the three dimensions that 25 she's describing in these questions.

All right. Thank you. 2 And, please, turn to page seven. That's, again, also 3 reproduced in the binder. 4 (Witness complied.) 5 And in the second full paragraph on that page, the first 6 paragraph being the one that starts at the top and this being 7 the next one, she says: "All of these historical analyses suggest 8 9 that what it means to be gay or lesbian in the United States in the 20th century has 10 been shaped by a broad social context that 11 12 includes economic development." 13 Do you believe that what it means to be gay or lesbian in the United States today has been shaped by a broad 14 15 social context that includes economic development? I would say that everyone in the United States, that their 16 understanding of themselves has been shaped by a broad social 17 context that includes economic development. 18 19 Thank you. 2.0 And please turn to page 29. Again, that's as reproduced. 21 22 (Witness complied.) 23 And the sentence that starts at the very bottom of that 24 page and carries over on to the next page says:

"As mentioned in chapter one, the sexual

1 orientation definition issue has provoked a heated theoretical debate about how to think 2 3 about the meaning of sexual orientation and 4 who we think of as being gay." 5 Do you agree with that statement? 6 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Where on page 29? 7 MR. NIELSON: It's the very bottom. The sentence that starts about halfway on the last line and carries over on 8 to the next page, your Honor. THE COURT: Ahh. 10 11 Well, I'm honestly not sure exactly what she means by "heated theoretical debate." 12 13 I know that there's certainly been a great deal of discussion and writing about different aspects of sexual 14 15 orientation and concerns about defining it. For example, solely in behavioral terms or in other terms. 16 17 I'm afraid that without having the context of having read this book and having read it recently, I -- it's possibly 18 even that I have read some of this in the past, but I honestly 19 don't recall, but it's difficult to comment on that sentence 2.0 21 and know exactly what she meant by it. BY MR. NIELSON: 22 23 Q. Thank you. 24 MR. NIELSON: And if I may make a brief aside, your

25

Honor.

I've just received confirmation from my co-counsel that we, in fact, gave the plaintiffs the whole sexual orientation book, the one we were just talking about a moment ago, and we have an email confirming receipt of that.

So they have been given that book, and I believe that's the case of this book as well.

BY MR. NIELSON:

Q. Now, back to where we were. And I apologize for that interjection, Professor Herek.

So do you believe Professor Badgett is mistaken when she says, "The sexual orientation definition issue has provoked a heated theoretical debate"?

- A. No. What I said was that I don't think I can comment on that without having read the context in which she discusses it.
- **Q.** You believe, depending on context, that might be a 16 reasonable thing to say?
- **A.** I have to read it.
- **Q.** So it might be unreasonable?
- 19 A. I really just can't comment on it without having read -- I
 20 just honestly don't know exactly what she is referring to.

I think that Professor Badgett is a very reasonable person. She is a good scholar. But as far as commenting on that particular observation, I just can't do it without having the context for it and knowing exactly what she was saying.

Q. Well, leaving aside the context and Professor Badgett,

just take the statement, "the sexual orientation definition issue has provoked a heated theoretical debate." Do you believe that's an unreasonable statement?

A. Well, there are many heated theoretical debates in the social sciences and some of them revolve around sexuality.

Which particular heated theoretical debate she is refusing to, I'm not certain. And whether I would also characterize it as "heated," might be -- might be a difference of opinion.

But as I said, I don't know the context of what she is talking about. If you could explain to me exactly what debate she's referring to and what it's -- you know, who are the writers on each side and what it is that they are saying, then, perhaps, I could comment on that.

Q. All right. Thank you.

2.0

2.1

Now, please look at the next paragraph, and this is on page 30.

And she talks about, in the second sentence she says:

"The simplest way to categorize people would

be to label as gay or lesbian those who have

ever had a same-sex partner. Since bisexual

people will also fall into that category

using the behavioral measure, this analysis

compares heterosexual people with gay,

lesbian or bisexual people.

"Unfortunately, the data allow no way to know 1 2 whether having a same-sex partner was recent 3 or frequent, obviously, a disadvantage in 4 identifying a characteristic thought to have some social and economic influence over a 5 lifetime and career." 6 7 Do you believe that that is a reasonable way to assess sexual orientation, to look at those who have ever had a 8 same-sex partner? 10 I believe that what she's doing here is, again, talking 11 about some specific research studies in which she analyzed data, perhaps from the general social surveys as we were 12 13 discussing in reference to the earlier passage, but I don't know the context of this. But I believe that what she's doing 14 15 is talking about the strengths and weaknesses of that approach. So as I was saying earlier, this is what researchers 16 17 They explain the strengths and weaknesses of taking do. various approaches to operationalizing a variable. 18 19 **Q.** Thank you. 20 And depending on context, could that be a reasonable 21 approach? 22 For purposes of the study she was conducting, it could, 23 yes. 24 Q. All right. Thank you.

And then she writes, continuing on:

5

6

7 8

9

11

10

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22 23

24

25

"But a second categorization that might capture the usualness of same-sex partners is to compare the number of same-sex partners to the number of opposite-sex partners. someone has had at least as many same-sex as opposite-sex partners, it seems unlikely that he or she would have a strictly heterosexual orientation."

Do you agree that if someone has had at least as many same-sex as opposite-sex partners, it is unlikely that he or she would have a strictly heterosexual orientation?

Well, I believe that, for example -- and, again, keeping in mind, this is Professor Badgett talking about a way to analyze these survey data and just trying to come up with a proxy definition for what might constitute a lesbian, gay or bisexual individual.

I think it would probably depend upon the number of sexual partners they have had in each group. If a person reports having had one same-sex partner and one other-sex partner throughout the course of their life, then it might be difficult to make a clear distinction, especially if you don't know which is their current partner and if one of those partners may have been in the far distant past. So it could be difficult.

But I think with the sort of data that Professor

Badgett is probably dealing with here -- and, again, I don't know the exact context in which she is making these statements.

But in terms of the sorts of data sets that she is dealing with, that at least is a defensible strategy for trying to identify lesbians, gay men and bisexuals in the data set with

Q. All right. And leaving aside the data set and the context, do you agree that if someone has had at least as many same-sex as opposite-sex partners, it is unlikely that he or she would have a strictly heterosexual orientation?

the understanding that there probably will be some error there.

A. Well, first of all, I don't think you can leave aside the data -- you know, the context here because she is talking methodology, I believe, in this passage. So that's what that's all about.

In terms of just knowing about an individual, I think that if you are speaking of actually being able to talk to the individual and ascertain information about them in a detailed way, you would want to know more than simply the number of sexual partners they've had; number of male sexual partners, number of female sexual partners.

But that would be in a more ideal circumstance, which is most likely not the situation Professor Badgett was facing in trying to analyze the data from this large national survey.

Q. All right. Thank you.

2.0

Let's continue on because she does explain how these

definitions work with the survey data. And she writes: 2 "The more complete set of options in the 3 NHSLS allows a comparison of the two 4 definitions to measures of self-identified 5 sexual orientation for the 1992 survey 6 respondents. 7 "Of the people who have had one or more same-sex partners 46.3 percent, 50 8 9 individuals, classified themselves as heterosexual, suggesting a poor match between 10 the simple classification by behavior and 11 self-identity. 12 13 "Of the people in the NHSLS with at leat as many same-sex as opposite-sex partners, 14 15 however, only 15.7 percent considered themselves heterosexual, while 56.9 percent 16 17 considered themselves homosexual, 11.8 percent called themselves bisexual, and 18 13.7 percent considered themselves something 19 else." 2.0 Have you seen those statistics before? 21 Well, I'm familiar with the National Health and Social 22 23 Life Survey. This is a particular way of breaking out the 24 data, and I'm generally familiar with the way the data came out 25 in this study.

This particular way of breaking it out, I think, is something Professor Badgett did, but I am generally familiar with these data, with this study.

Q. Thank you.

2.0

2.1

And does her break-out of that data in any way alter your opinion regarding the definition of sexual orientation?

- A. Does it alter my opinion about the general definition of sexual orientation as referring to attraction, behavior or identity?
- 10 Q. Correct.
 - A. Umm, her discussion of these data doesn't affect my impression. As I said before, in these data approximately 90 percent of the respondents in the survey were consistently heterosexual in their behavior, identity and attraction.

 Another core group were consistently lesbian, gay or bisexual.

And what Professor Badgett seems to be talking about here are some of the people who weren't in those two groups where you see the consistency, but, in fact, perhaps had engaged in some instance of same-sex sexual behavior without identifying themselves as lesbian, gay or bisexual.

Q. All right. Thank you.

Now, please turn to page 47, and I want you to look at the first full sentence at the top of the page. It's in the carry-over paragraph from the previous page.

Q. It says:

"Sexual orientation is not an observable 1 characteristic of an individual as sex and 2 3 race usually are." 4 Do you agree with that statement? 5 As I said earlier, you typically cannot tell what a 6 person's sexual orientation is just from looking at them. 7 I would qualify that as well by saying that sometimes you can't tell what a person's race or ethnicity is simply by 8 looking at them either. But, certainly, it's the case with sexual orientation 10 that simply looking at a person typically does not reveal what 11 their sexual orientation is. 12 All right. So do you agree with that statement or not, or 13 just you can't without that qualification? 14 As I just said. 15 16 Q. Okay. Thank you. 17 MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, I would like to admit this -- I would like to admit Exhibit DIX-950, which is the 18 book, Money, Myths and Change into evidence. 19 MR. DETTMER: Your Honor, I don't believe that 20 21 Professor Herek has laid any foundation for this book. He said 22 he hasn't read it, maybe ever. He is not sure if he has read 23 these particular portions. 24 And I do note that Professor Badgett, I believe, was

on the stand earlier in this trial. I just don't think there

```
is any foundation for it.
 2
              THE COURT: I will certainly admit the excerpts that
 3
   you have questioned him about. That, apparently, is what you
 4
   lare interested in.
 5
             MR. NIELSON: Okay. All right. Thank you, your
 6
   Honor.
 7
              THE COURT: Tell me when would be convenient for you
   to move on to another point.
 8
 9
             MR. NIELSON: Okay. Your Honor, this is actually
   very important to our theory of the case, the definition of
10
11
   sexual orientation. So I do intend to continue to question the
   witness.
12
13
             THE COURT: Well, that's fine --
14
             MR. NIELSON: Thank you.
              THE COURT: -- but tell me when would be a good time
15
   to take a break.
16
17
             MR. NIELSON: Oh, I would be happy to take a break
   whenever the Court -- it's in the Court's convenience.
18
19
              THE COURT: Why don't we do it now then?
2.0
             MR. NIELSON: All right. Thank you.
21
              THE WITNESS: How long break do you usually take?
              THE COURT: Can we resume in 15 minutes?
22
23
              (Whereupon there was a recess in the proceedings
24
              from 10:36 until 10:57 a.m.)
25
              THE COURT: Very well, Mr. Nielson. You may continue
```

your examination of the witness. 2 MR. NIELSON: Thank you, your Honor. 3 And before I do that, I want to mention that we have 4 an email confirming receipt of the sexual orientation 5 employment book that is at tab 10 from counsel. 6 And with respect to the Money, Myths and Change book 7 at tab nine, they designated that book on their exhibit list, too. So they plainly had it and are aware of it. 8 9 THE COURT: Mr. Dettmer? MR. DETTMER: Your Honor, the only response that I 10 11 have is that I don't believe Professor Herek as really laid a foundation for the admission of those documents and Professor 12 13 Badgett was here and, obviously, that was an ideal opportunity to question her about those books. 14 15 THE COURT: Well, tell me, is there an objection to the admission of these exhibits? 16 17 MR. DETTMER: On that same basis, your Honor, we do object to the admission of these two books. 18 THE COURT: Lack of foundation. 19 2.0 MR. DETTMER: Well, lack of foundation by this 21 witness and, also, the opportunity with the actual author of 22 the books to put the books into evidence. 23 THE COURT: What do you need from the books, 24 Mr. Nielson, that is not contained in these excerpts? 25 MR. NIELSON: The main thing I'm interested in are

```
the things that are contained in the excerpts.
 2
              THE COURT: What's that?
 3
             MR. NIELSON: Mainly I am interested in the excerpts,
 4
   your Honor.
 5
              THE COURT: Then why don't we simply admit the
 6
   excerpts?
 7
             MR. NIELSON: All right. To clarify, your Honor, so
   the excerpts are in?
 8
 9
              THE COURT: Yes.
             MR. NIELSON: Okay. Thank you.
10
11
              (Defendants' Exhibit 950, Pages 4-7, Pages 29-30 and
              Pages 46-47 received in evidence)
12
13
   BY MR. NIELSON:
14
        All right. Professor Herek, could you please turn to tab
15
   11 in the witness binder? And you will find a document
   premarked DIX-1108.
16
17
              (Witness complied.).
        Can you identify that document?
18
   Q.
19
        The title of it is, "Best Practices For Asking Questions
2.0
   About Sexual Orientation On Surveys."
   BY MR. NIELSON:
21
22
        Thank you.
   Q.
23
              MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, this has been admitted
24
   and we did cross examine Professor Badgett about it, so I won't
25
    spend much time on it.
```

BY MR. NIELSON:

2 Q. But I do want to ask you one question about it, Professor 3 Herek. Please turn to page 28.

(Witness complied.)

- Q. And under "Measurement" -- there is a heading that you will see that says "Measurement."
- A. Yes.

2.0

2.4

Q. It says:

"Differences in relationships and sexual practices around the world call into question the cross cultural equivalence of sexual orientation as a social construct, independent of how the construct is operationalized or how well items intended to measure the construct have been linguistically translated."

A. Well, I would say that that is generally an accurate observation about most constructs that we ask about in survey research. You always want to be aware that there are cultural differences in how different concepts and different terms are understood, apart from simply the language, you know, differences in language, but the meaning behind the terms as well.

Do you agree with that observation?

And so that certainly fits well with the construct of

sexual orientation, as well as many others.

- 2 Q. But you specifically agree that it fits well with the 3 concept of sexual orientation?
 - A. Yes.

2.0

Q. Okay. Thank you.

THE COURT: You used the term "operationalized," and I see that that term is used in the excerpt that you have just read. What does it mean in lay terms?

THE WITNESS: Well, your Honor, when we approach a research project, we think in terms of variables, phenomena that somehow can change. And we usually start with a theoretical definition of the variable, the ideal definition, sort of definition you see in a dictionary.

But then comes the hard part, which is how you actually measure that variable; how do you put it into operational terms?

So one might have a general definition for what socioeconomic status means in a textbook sense, but when you actually get to a survey question, you can't say, "What's your socioeconomic status?" You have to figure out a way to ask the question of the individuals. For example, "What was your household income during the last year?" And then that answer is used as the operational definition of socioeconomic status for that study.

So when we operationalize something, it means that we

are putting it into measurable terms and we are defining how we are going to measure it in a particular study.

THE COURT: Would it be fair to characterize that as a proxy for some variable?

THE WITNESS: Yes. Because the idea would be that you are always going to be missing something from the theoretical definition, at least I -- maybe I could come up with an example where you didn't, but typically, yeah, it is going to be a proxy for the theoretical variable.

And, of course, some operationalizations are better than others; meaning, they get closer to that theoretical definition.

THE COURT: Thank you.

14 BY MR. NIELSON:

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

15 Q. All right. Thank you.

Professor Herek, could you please turn to page 12 in the witness binder -- or tab 12, excuse me.

(Witness complied.)

- 19 Q. You will see a document premarked DIX-1249. Can you 20 identify this document?
- 21 A. This is a paper called "Sampling Lesbian, Gay and Bisexual Populations" published in the *Journal of Counseling Psychology*23 in 2009 authored by Ilan Meyer and Patrick Wilson.
- 24 \mathbb{Q} . Thank you.

25 MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, I believe this

document has already been admitted into evidence.

2 BY MR. NIELSON:

- Q. Are you familiar with this document?
- 4 | A. I am reasonably certain I have read this paper. I haven't
- 5 | read it recently, but I'm pretty sure I read it.
- 6 Q. And you're familiar with Professor Meyer, of course,
- 7 || correct?

- 8 A. I'm familiar with Professor Meyer and his work, yes.
- 9 Q. And he is one of the plaintiffs' experts in this case,
- 10 | correct?
- 11 A. I believe so.
- 12 Q. All right. Thank you.
- On page 24 -- and I'm using the internal pagination
- 14 of the article. There is a -- the first full paragraph
- 15 | starting with the second sentence it reads:
- 16 "Researchers have distinguished among sexual
- identity, sexual behavior and attraction."
- 18 And we have discussed that. And going on:
- 19 | "Although these overlap -- that is, a person
- 20 who is attracted to same-sex individuals, may
- 21 also have sex with same-sex individuals --
- 22 this overlap is not great. Only among
- 23 | 15 percent of women and 24 percent of men do
- 24 the three categories overlap."
- 25 Have you seen those statistics before?

2.5

つ E

A. Well, those statistics are drawn from the Laumann study that I was describing earlier. And, as I said, what they found was that there was a core group, and it was typically the people who said that they were lesbian or gay or bisexual for whom these three categories did overlap substantially.

However, there were many individuals who said -well, they were categorized as having some sort of same-sex
attraction, and that was based either on their response to a
question about whether they were physically or sexually
attracted to people of the same sex or if they even said that
found the idea of sex with another person of the same sex at
least somewhat appealing, they were put into the category of
having some same-sex desire.

And those individuals, it turns out, were among the largest group in this minority that wasn't heterosexual and wasn't exclusively lesbian, gay or bisexual. It was those individuals who said they had the -- some attraction or found this idea somewhat appealing.

And then there were other individuals who said that they'd engaged in behavior with someone of the same sex, but were not, themselves, lesbian, gay or bisexual or -- and in some cases didn't even say that they had attractions.

So that's where these numbers come from.

- Q. So you are familiar with the numbers?
- A. Yes.

- Q. And do you believe Professor Meyer accurately portrayed them here?
- A. Well, you know, I -- I always have trouble remembering the specific percentages, but I'm certainly willing to assume that he has stated them accurately here.
- Q. All right. Thank you.

2.0

"Even within these categories varied groups can be identified. Identity labels (and even whether a person uses an LGB identity label at all) vary across generations, racial ethnic groups, geographical regions,

Do you agree that identity labels for sexual orientation vary in this manner?

education levels and other group

characteristics."

Now, continuing on, it reads:

A. You know, I'm honestly not sure exactly what he means when he says "identity labels vary."

I am aware in the parenthetical clause there that says, "even whether a person uses an LGB identity label at all," that we certainly have seen differences there across racial and ethnic groups.

For example, in my -- one of the studies I was talking about earlier which showed that, you know, 95 percent of gay men said that they don't experience a choice about their

sexual orientation, that study was based on a nationally representative sample of lesbian, gay and bisexual adults. And one of the patterns that I observed in those data was that the -- the category of bisexual men, self-described bisexual men, was much more likely to include Hispanics and non-Hispanic blacks than were the categories of gay men, lesbian or bisexual women. So we certainly do see variations across racial and ethnic groups.

I'm not exactly certain how you interpret the statement without that parenthetical clause to just say "identity labels vary". Unless, of course, he means something along the lines of what I was referring to earlier. He says "across generations." Maybe what he means there is, for example, that use of a word like "queer" as a self-descriptor is more common among younger individuals than among older individuals.

I'm honestly, though, not quite sure about the reference to geographical regions and other group characteristics.

So I would assume -- and, again, I haven't read this paper recently. Perhaps he lays that out in greater detail at another point in the paper, but I'm not honestly sure, just taking this sentence out of context, exactly what he means by that.

Q. All right. Thank you.

Now, continuing on, Professor Meyer states:

"Behavioral definitions, which rely on
seemingly objective and clear criteria, often
asked as, 'Have you had sexual relationships
with men, women, or both men and women' also
vary. For example, researchers have referred
to different time periods for assessing
sexuality; past year, past five years, since
age 18 and ever.

"Because more people have had same-sex sex in adolescence, defining sexual orientation as 'sexual behavior ever,' includes more people than defining it as 'past year.' This can lead to significantly different estimates.

"Laumann, et al, found that 42 percent of all men who had ever had sex contact had none after age 18."

Do you agree that behavioral definitions of sexual orientation can vary in this manner?

A. You know, I teach a course on survey and questionnaire methodology, and this is something I always explain to my students; that whenever you are asking about past behaviors, you absolutely have to specify the time period in which you are asking about.

Any behavior that is something that might be repeated

1 | is going to be more likely to have occurred in -- if you -- I'm 2 | sorry.

If you specify a broader range of time, you are going to get a higher level of that behavior. So if you ask people, Have you done such-and-such in the past year, and you also ask them, Have you done such-and-such in the past 20 years, or how often, you are likely to get a higher number if you ask about 20 years than if you ask about one year.

Q. All right. Thank you.

2.0

Now, please turn to tab 13 in the witness binder, if you would, sir?

(Witness complied)

Q. You will find a document premarked DIX-1248.

And I apologize, Professor Herek, the exhibit appears to be missing it's title page, but I'll represent to you that this is a copy of an article entitled "Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual and Transgender Health, Findings and Concerns," written by a group of scholars including Lara Dean, Ilan Meyer, and published in the Journal of Gay and Lesbian Medical Association in 2000.

MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, this DIX-1248 has already been admitted.

23 BY MR. NIELSON:

- **Q.** But are you familiar with this article?
- $\|\mathbf{A}_{\bullet}\|$ I'm honestly not sure if I have seen this article before.

- Q. Are you familiar with Lara Dean?
- 2 **A.** I know Lara Dean, and I know some of her research.
- $3 | \mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{All} \text{ right.}$ Thank you.
- 4 And you're familiar with Professor Miller, correct?
- 5 **A.** Professor who?
- 6 \mathbb{Q} . Meyer.

- 7 A. Meyer? Yes.
- 8 Q. Thank you.
- 9 All right. Please look at page 102.
- 10 (Witness complied.)
- 11 Q. In the second paragraph the second sentence reads:
- 12 The degree to which sexual orientation or
- gender identity is central to one's
- self-definition, the level of affiliation
- 15 | with other LGBT people and the rejection or
- 16 acceptance of societal stereotypes and
- 17 prejudice vary greatly among individuals."
- 18 Do you agree that the degree to which sexual
- 19 orientation is central to one's self-definition varies greatly
- 20 among individuals?
- 21 | A. I'm sorry. I'm just trying to catch up with you here.
- 22 **Q.** The question is: Do you agree that the degree to which
- 23 sexual orientation is central to one's self-definition varies
- 24 | greatly among individuals?
- 25 **A.** I would say that, yes, in the context of this sentence.

```
In the same way that rejection or acceptance of stereotypes and
 2
   prejudice also varies greatly, I would say that all of those
   things do show variability from one individual to another.
 3
        All right. And on the same page underneath the next
 5
   heading it says:
              "Lesbian, gay and bisexual (LGB) people are
 6
 7
              defined by their sexual orientation."
         I'm sorry. Where are we?
 8
 9
         It's same page, same column. It's under "Lesbian, Gay and
   Q.
   Bisexual Population," that next paragraph.
10
11
        Okay. Thank you.
   A.
12
        Do you see that?
   Q.
13
        Yes.
   Α.
14
   Q.
        Okay.
15
              "Lesbian, gay and bisexual (LGB) people are
              defined by their sexual orientation, a
16
17
              definition that is complex and variable.
              Throughout history and among cultures the
18
19
              definition of sexual orientation shifts and
              changes."
2.0
              Do you agree that the definition of sexual
21
   orientation is complex and variable?
22
        Well, I think we've been discussing the fact that it's
23
   complex. And variable here, I think, is making the point that
24
```

I made earlier; that, for example, it seemed as though the

emergence of some of the categories of homosexual and 2 heterosexual are evident in the medical literature only since 3 the nineteenth century. 4 So I assume that that's what they are meaning, when 5 they say, "Throughout history and among cultures the definition of sexual orientation shifts and changes." 6 7 Q. All right. Thank you. And do you agree that throughout history and among 8 cultures the definition does shift and change? 10 I would say that it has changed. Α. 11 Has shifted, has changed? Q. 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. Okay. Thank you. 14 MR. DETTMER: Your Honor, I'm sorry to interrupt. 15 Just as a matter of completeness the quotation does go on to 16 say that: 17 "While sexual orientation is not easily defined, the generally-accepted definition of 18 an LGB person is one with an orientation 19 toward people of the same gender and sexual 2.0 behavior, affection or attraction and/or 21 self-identity as gay, lesbian or bisexual." 22 23 Just as a matter of completeness, your Honor. MR. NIELSON: Your Honor, I believe comments of that 24

sort would be better reserved for redirect.

25

1 THE COURT: I agree. 2 BY MR. NIELSON: 3 Q. All right. Please turn to page 135? 4 Page? I'm sorry. 5 0. 135. Again, I'm using the internal pagination of the article. 6 7 (Witness complied.) And I'm going to start with the second sentence in the 8 paragraph under "Defining the Populations." 10 Do you see that, Professor Herek? 11 Yes. A. 12 Q. It says: 13 "In fact, many different terms were used to label sexual orientations before the terms 14 15 heterosexual, homosexual, bisexual, gay and lesbian solely came into widespread use from 16 17 the 1920's through the 1960's." Do you agree with that? 18 19 That there were different terms? Well, yes. I think, actually, when they say "widespread use," I assume that they 2.0 21 mean in common parlance. The term "homosexual" and -- well, the term 22 "homosexual," I believe, started to appear in medical 23 literature in the late 1800's and "heterosexual" followed soon 2.4 after that. 25

"Gay" and "lesbian" as terms to describe lesbians and 1 gay men are more recent and have become popular in the general 2 3 culture, I think, really, since the 1960's. 4 So -- and prior to that there were other terms that 5 were used to describe these phenomena of sexual orientation. 6 Q. All right. Thank you. 7 And they go on to write, the authors go on to write in the next sentence: 8 9 "Unfortunately, there is still no general consensus on the definition of these terms, 10 although each includes components of at least 11 one of three dimensions. One, sexual 12 orientation identity; two, sexual behavior; 13 and/or, three, sexual attraction." 14 Now, we have discussed those three dimensions, but do 15 you agree with Professor Meyer and Professor Dean's statement, 16 17 that there is, quote, still no general consensus, close quote, on the meaning of those terms, which would include homosexual, 18 19 gay and lesbian? 2.0 Well, I'm not exactly sure what they mean by "consensus," 21 but I think that what they are getting at is that these terms 22 are used in different ways, depending upon the -- and we are 23 talking about researchers here -- depending upon the study. 24 So, for example -- well, in fact, continuing the

25

paragraph they say:

"For example, one study might define sexual orientation as a form of identity as self-identified heterosexual, homosexual, bisexual, gay or lesbian, while another defines it as a gender choice in sexual partners and, yet, another as the gender of those to whom one is sexually attracted."

2.0

So what they are saying is some studies might define it in terms of identity, some in terms of attraction, some in terms of behavior.

In that sense, you know, as I think we have been saying, researchers end up using different operational definitions of the term depending upon their research needs and depending sometimes on the data set.

So I'm -- I don't know that I would phrase it as saying there is no consensus. I think there is consensus that these are the different ways that we use these terms.

It's just that depending upon the research needs, you sometimes define it one way, say, in terms of behavior; other times you define it in terms of identification; other times in terms of the patterns of attraction.

Q. Now, do you believe that -- now, you said you wouldn't say it that way, but do you believe that the statement, "There is still no general consensus on the definition of these terms" is an unreasonable statement?

A. Well, if by "consensus" they mean that there is no single definition that is always used by all researchers, if that's what they mean by "no consensus," then I would agree with that.

I would say if -- if what -- if we are interpreting that to mean that there is no agreement that these three dimensions are the main ones of sexual orientation, then I would disagree with that.

This, of course, makes me tend to think that what they mean is that people use these terms in different ways depending upon the research context, rather than having one single definition that is always used throughout all research studies.

- Q. So you believe that if they used it in the second sense, that would be unreasonable?
- 15 A. I think I just said that --

- 16 Q. You said you disagreed with it. I'm asking whether you think that would be unreasonable?
- 18 A. Well, by the second sense -- I may be forgetting which was 19 the second sense.

I thought that the idea that different researchers define it differently depending upon the study. And if that's what they mean by there not being consensus, then, of course, that's accurate.

- $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$ The other one. The other one you said, if they mean it --
- || **A.** That there is a single definition that is used uniformly

by all researchers in every situation, and that that's how the term is -- that's how the construct is always operationalized,

I would say there is not that sort of consensus.

- Q. And you believe if they used the term more broadly, just to mean that there is no general consensus without the qualifications you gave, that it would be an unreasonable statement?
- A. Well, I have got to admit, I'm a little bit lost.

2.0

I think it would be a reasonable statement to say that there is no one definition that is always used consistently in every research study by every researcher. And so if that's the meaning of "there is no consensus," then I would agree with that and say that's an accurate statement.

If they mean -- and I think this is probably not what they mean -- to say that -- well, now I'm getting lost myself.

As I've been saying, the term is defined differently in different research studies depending upon the operational meanings of the study. And if that's what they mean by there being -- well, I don't think that's what they meant. I just can't imagine that that's what they were thinking of here.

Q. All right. Let's move on to the next -- skip a paragraph to the paragraph that starts, "Recent National Studies." We are still on the same page. And it says:

"Recent national studies estimating the percentage of the population that falls into

each of the three broad dimensions of 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 adulthood." And then there is a series of citations. 10 11 12 13 14 15 some degree." 16 17 18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

identity, behavior and attraction show that one to four percent of the population identifies as lesbian or gay; two to six percent of the policies reports some same-sex behavior in the previous five years; and up to 21 percent of the population reports same-sex attraction at least once in

"Therefore, depending upon how it is defined and measured, 1 to 21 percent of the population could be classified as lesbian or gay to some degree, with the remainder classified as bisexual or heterosexual to

Are familiar with those statistics, Professor Herek?

- I think I'm generally familiar with the studies that they are citing here. Yeah, I haven't reviewed most of them lately, but I think I'm familiar with these studies.
- But you believe those statistics are fully consistent with Q. the opinions you've offered in this case, correct?
- That, in fact, what we see is that if you simply ask about same-sex attraction or simply the idea that someone might find an interaction -- sexual interaction with someone of the

```
same sex at least somewhat appealing, you do get a very
   broad -- you get large number of people saying that, who would
 2
 3
   not necessarily also say that they have engaged in same-sex
 4
   behavior or identify as lesbian, gay or bisexual.
 5
              So, yes, I would say that those numbers probably are
 6
   accurate.
 7
   Q.
         All right. Thank you.
              And please turn to the next page, 136.
 8
 9
              (Witness complied.)
         I want you to look on that page. The authors have
10
   Q.
11
   switched, as you'll see looking at the back page, from defining
   the populations to measuring the populations.
12
13
         Uh-huh.
                 Yes.
   A.
         And they say:
14
   Q.
15
              "Existing measures of sexual orientation
              range in complexity from simple dichotomous
16
17
              measures in which subjects report that they
              are or are not heterosexual or homosexual, to
18
              more complex scales as developed by Kinsey"
19
2.0
              -- and I'll will skip the apparatus --
2.1
              "Kline, Shively, DeCecco and Sell. There
22
              exists no consensus and virtually no
              literature discussing when/where each of
23
              these members should be used."
24
25
              Do you agree that existing measures of sexual
```

orientation vary in this manner?

2.0

A. Well, it only makes sense; that if you are defining sexual orientation in terms of attraction, are you are going to measure it differently than if up define it in terms of one's sexual behavior history.

So, yes, it is the case that there are a variety of different measures.

And I believe that actually the document that you -that we were looking at earlier, the one about the best
practices, contained recommendations for survey researchers on
the best ways to ask questions about those different facets of
sexual orientation.

Q. Yes, I believe that's correct.

Now, it says:

"There exists no consensus and virtually no literature discussing when and where each of these measures should be used."

Do you agree with that?

A. Well, there's that word "consensus" again. I'm not sure what they mean by that.

I would say that there certainly is variability in how researchers have measured sexual orientation, and that a study might very well be criticized on the grounds that the measure of sexual orientation that they used was not the best one for the purposes of their research study. And so in that

sense I guess you can say that there would not be consensus on when and where each of these measures should be used.

And I would say, also, that -- I don't know that there is virtually no research literature, but there certainly has not been an extensive research literature on the various strategies. You know, specifically discussing the various strategies, all in comparison with each other. Certainly, these different references that they are citing are all instances where researchers were developing ways of measuring sexual orientation.

And if we go back to that earlier paragraph where they were citing the national studies that came up with these different percentages, certainly, all of those researchers discussed at some point how they measured sexual orientation.

So I think what they must be referring to is literature that somehow is putting all of these different measures side-by-side and comparing them.

And, perhaps, there is not an extensive literature in that regard, although I believe that Dr. Sell, who is cited here, has published several papers on that topic.

Q. All right. Thank you.

2.0

2.1

Please turn to tab 14 in the witness binder. You'll find a document premarked DIX-1235.

(Witness complied.)

Q. Can you identify this document?

- 1 A. This is an article Letitia Anne Peplau and Linda Garnets
 2 titled "A New Paradigm for Understanding Women's Sexuality and
- 3 | Sexual Orientation, which was published in the *Journal of* 4 | Social Issues in 2000.
- 5 Q. Thank you.

6 Are you familiar with this article?

- 7 **A.** Yes.
- 8 Q. And you're familiar with Professor Peplau, correct?
- 9 $\|\mathbf{A}$. Yes.
- 10 Q. And she is one of the plaintiffs' experts in this case,
- 11 || correct?
- 12 **A.** That's my understanding.
- 13 Q. Yes, thank you.
- MR. NIELSON: Your Honor, I would like to offer
 Exhibit DIX-1235 into evidence.
- MR. DETTMER: Your Honor, again, we would just object
 to the extent that Professor Peplau was here to answer
 questions about this article when she was on the stand and
 offering it now, we miss the opportunity for her to talk about
 that.
- 21 THE COURT: Well, let's see where this goes. I think
 22 probably you have some questions about some of the comments --
- 23 MR. NIELSON: I do --
- 24 THE COURT: Why don't we admit the letter or the
- 25 | article.

1 MR. NIELSON: Thank you. 2 (Defendants' Exhibit 1235 received in evidence) 3 MR. NIELSON: The reason we are using these documents 4 with Professor Herek, rather than Professor Peplau, is that 5 they go to the topics of his testimony. 6 THE COURT: It doesn't quite pose the same problem as 7 admitting whole books. MR. NIELSON: All right. Thank you. 8 BY MR. NIELSON: 10 Please turn to page 342, if you would, Professor Herek. You will see a table, table one. 11 The table is entitled "Comparing Old and New 12 Paradigms For Conceptualizing Women's Sexual Orientation." And 13 on the one side, you will see "Old Perspectives;" on the new 14 side -- on the right side "New Perspectives." 15 And the second one from the bottom, the authors --16 you will see that the authors label it as the "Old Perspective" 17 -- or the old -- yeah, the "Old perspective." 18 "Sexual identity attractions and behavior 19 2.0 form discrete categories, i.e., heterosexual, homosexual, bisexual." 2.1 22 And then as the "New Perspective:" 23 "Sexual identity, attractions and behavior 24 can be varied, complex and inconsistent." 25 Do you agree with the new perspective, Professor

Herek?

2.0

2.1

A. Well, I that I what Professor Peplau is doing here is pointing out that -- just as the old perspective was that homosexuals are abnormal and psychologically impaired and gender non-conforming, that's really something we know is no longer the case.

I think that what she is pointing out here is that data, such as that from the Laumann study and others, show that, in fact, there are individuals -- even though they may be a relative minority of individuals, there are individuals for whom their behavioral histories, their attractions and their identities don't match up perfectly.

- Q. All right. Thank you.
- And if up could please turn back to page 337?

 (Witness complied.)
 - Q. And in the third paragraph on that page she writes, in the middle of that paragraph:

"More broadly, the phenomena of sexual orientation are not fixed and universal, but rather highly variable across time and place."

Do you agree with that statement, Professor Herek?

A. Well, in the context in which she is saying it, which is pointing to cross cultural and historical studies, meaning that if we are looking at all human beings throughout all time, it

would be a mistake to say that the experiences of contemporary women are universal; that they apply to all women at all historical eras and across all cultures.

And so certainly -- and I would -- although this article focuses on women, I would say the same is true of men's experiences; that you cannot generalize across all cultures and all historical eras from our experiences in contemporary

American society. And I believe that that, that's what she says in this sentence here.

- Q. All right. And please turn to page 344.

 (Witness complied.)
- 12 Q. And you will see a heading titled "Multiple Pathways" when 13 you get there.

Do you see that, Professor Herek?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And the paragraph under that, the last full sentence on the page that starts, "In contemporary."

"In contemporary society a women's assertion that she is heterosexual or lesbian may be based on quite diverse and non-linear developmental trajectories. Women may be drawn to a particular lifestyle for differing reasons. Knowing that a woman labels herself as heterosexual, lesbian or bisexual does not necessarily inform us about the pattern of

her life experiences or the nature of her current erotic thoughts and feelings."

Do you disagree with any of those statements?

A. Well, I think that what Professor Peplau and Dr. Garnets are talking about here is the idea that it has often been assumed by people who try to understand the etiology or the origins of sexual orientation, that there's simply going to be a single explanation that's going to apply to everyone.

And I believe that what she is suggesting here is that, in fact, it's more likely to be the case that people arrive at their adult sexual orientation through different pathways. And so simply because you know that a particular woman is heterosexual, doesn't necessarily tell you what her developmental history was any more than knowing that she is lesbian or bisexual; that there may be, in fact, a variety of different experiences and, perhaps, even biological factors that work differently in different individuals.

And so there is no single pathway to adult sexual orientation. It may very well be the case that there are multiple pathways.

Q. All right. Thank you.

And what about the last part, where she says:

"Knowing that a woman labels herself as
heterosexual, lesbian or bisexual does not
necessarily inform us about the pattern of

her life experiences or the nature of her current erotic thoughts and feelings."

2.0

And what you just said, I think, focus more on the pattern of her life experiences perhaps, but what about the last part? Do you agree that knowing that a woman labels herself as heterosexual, lesbian or bisexual does not necessarily inform us of the nature of her current erotic thoughts and feelings?

A. Well, again, you know, using the example of the Laumann study, what we see there is that although most people who label themselves heterosexual also described different sex attractions or said they had different sex attractions; and most people who labeled themselves lesbian, gay or bisexual said that they had same-sex attractions.

There were some people for whom that was not the case. So there were some people who labeled themselves heterosexual and, yet, said that they had some same-sex attractions.

And so I believe that that's the sort of point that she is making here; that you can't assume that because one identifies with a particular label, that that necessarily tells you everything about their sexual attractions or their sexual behavior experience. We know that it does in most cases, but not in every case.

Q. Do you agree with her statement then?

- I agree with what I just said. And I think that -- I think that --
- Do you agree that knowing that a woman labels herself as heterosexual, lesbian or bisexual does not necessarily inform
- 5 us of the nature of her current erotic thoughts and feelings?
- 6 Yeah, in the context of what I just said. I would say it 7 does not necessarily inform us about the -- her current attractions and behaviors as well.
- 9 All right. Thank you.
 - MR. NIELSON: Now, your Honor, I think we have laid more than ample foundation for this and I would like to move this exhibit into evidence.
- 13 THE COURT: That's DIX-1235?
- MR. NIELSON: Correct. 14
- 15 THE COURT: Very well.
- (Defendants' Exhibit 1235 received in evidence.) 16
- BY MR. NIELSON: 17

2

3

8

10

11

12

- Please turn to tab 15 in the witness binder, if you would, 18 Professor Herek. 19
- 2.0 (Witness complied.)
- You will find here a document premarked DIX-1239. And, 2.1 Q.
- Professor Herek, can you identify this document? 22
- 23 Well, I can identify the title. I'm not sure of exactly
- 24 where it's from. It's called "The Development of Sexual
- 25 Orientation in Women." The authors are Letitia Anne Peplau,

Leah Spalding, Terri Conley and Rosemary Veniegas.

2 | I believe this was published in an edited volume, but

3 | I'm not absolutely certain about that. It's not marked on the

4 exhibit.

5

6

7

8

Q. Thank you.

And are you familiar with this document?

A. Well, I believe that I have read it. I haven't -- I know

I haven't read it recently, but I believe I have read it at

some point in the past.

10 Can you tell me where it's from? That would actually

- 11 help to refresh my memory.
- 12 Q. I'm not certain at the moment, as I stand here without
- 13 double checking.
- 14 **A.** Is it from a journal or an edited book, do you know?
- 15 $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$ I believe the answer to that question is yes; but if you
- 16 | are asking me which, I don't know.
- 17 **A.** I meant which.
- 18 | THE COURT: Which one.
- 19 BY MR. NIELSON:
- 20 | Q. Now --
- 21 THE COURT: I don't know want to sustain a compound
- 22 | question objection.
- 23 MR. NIELSON: Exactly.
- 24 | MR. DETTMER: I wasn't going to object to my
- 25 | witness's question.

```
1
              MR. NIELSON: Yes. And I don't think I'm allowed to
    object to his questions.
 2
 3
              (Laughter.)
   BY MR. NIELSON:
 4
         Plaintiffs have indicated that they don't have an
 5
 6
    objection to the authenticity of this.
 7
              And you are familiar with respect Professor Peplau,
   correct?
 8
 9
         Correct.
   A.
10
         And you believe you are familiar with this work?
   Q.
11
         I believe I have seen it before, yes.
12
         Please turn to page 83, if you would.
   Q.
13
              (Witness complied.)
         Okay.
14
   A.
15
         The author writes:
16
              "Yet, there is" --
17
              Well, in page 83 it's around the middle of the page.
    It's the first sentence of the paragraph -- second paragraph
18
19
   under the heading there?
2.0
   Α.
         Yes.
21
    Q.
         It says:
              "Yet, there is ample documentation that
22
              same-sex attractions and behaviors are not
23
              inevitably or inherently linked to one's
24
25
              identity."
```

2.0

Do you agree that same-sex attractions and behaviors are not inevitably linked to one's identity?

A. Well, I think that what they say in the next sentence is the example of romantic friendships between women in the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries that were considered to be socially acceptable, but did not have an implication for a woman being identified as a lesbian or a homosexual.

So certainly in that context, I think that that's an accurate statement.

- Q. Well, what about genderly? Do you believe that there is ample documentation that same-sex attractions and behaviors are not inevitably linked to one's identity?
- A. Well, without the benefit of reading the entire article again to know the exact contents, I would say that I have already said that in some cases a person's attractions don't match their identity label. Sometimes a person's behaviors don't match their identity label.
- I -- I do think -- just from being able to scan this, I think they are specifically talking about historical research, but I, you know, certainly would go back to what I already said about the idea that sometimes there are individuals whose identity label is not completely predictive of their behavior or some of their attractions.
- Q. So you shouldn't have -- so you do agree that there is no inevitable link, I gather?

What about the second half though, that there is no inherent link. Do you agree with that?

A. Well, could you -- I'm honestly not sure what they mean by an inherent link here, so I would have a problem with that.

I'll go back to how I said it before without using the word "inherent," because I'm honestly not quite sure what they mean here by that.

It is the case that for most people there is a relationship, a close relationship, but for some people there is not. And so if that means there's not an inherent one because there are exceptions, then I would agree with that term, if that's what they mean by it.

- Q. Well, you think stated broadly, if someone were to state more generally that same-sex attractions and behaviors are not inherently linked to one's identity, do you believe that would be an unreasonable statement?
- A. Well, I would just want to know what they meant by "inherently."
- Q. And depending on their meaning, do you think that might be an unreasonable statement?
- 21 | A. I would just want to know what they mean by "inherently."

As I said, I think if you are a betting person and a person tells you that they are heterosexual, the safe bet would be that their attractions are to people of the other sex, but it is possible that they might say they have same-sex

attractions as well or even more. 2 But -- but so if what we mean by "inherent" is that 3 there is absolutely no overlap, there is never a heterosexual person who -- in order for it not to -- in order for it to be 5 inherent, it would have to be the case that all heterosexuals 6 report exclusively different-sex attractions and exclusively 7 different-sex behavior, and the flip side of that for lesbians and gay men. Then I would say that, no, you wouldn't say that 8 there is an inherent link between those things. Q. All right. Thank you. 10 11 MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, I would like to move DIX-1239 into evidence. 12 13 MR. DETTMER: Your Honor, we just renew the same objection about the author not being here, but understanding 14 15 your Honor's position. THE COURT: Well, I understand. 1239 is admitted. 16 17 MR. NIELSON: Thank you, your Honor. (Defendants' Exhibit 1239 received in evidence.) 18 19 THE COURT: Take me to the page from which you were 2.0 quoting in 1239. 21 MR. NIELSON: Yes, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: 85? 23 MR. NIELSON: It was page 87 that we were quoting 24 from, your Honor.

THE WITNESS: No, it was page 83.

25

```
1
             MR. NIELSON: Did I misspeak?
 2
              THE WITNESS: I was looking at page 83.
 3
             MR. NIELSON: Give me just one moment -- it is page
 4
   83, your Honor. I did misspeak there.
 5
             And thank you, Professor Herek, for catching that.
 6
              THE COURT: Beginning at what point?
 7
             MR. NIELSON: It was in the middle of the page, the
   paragraph -- the second full paragraph on the page, your Honor.
8
 9
              THE COURT: "In America today"?
             MR. NIELSON: No. The next one below that. "Yet,
10
11
    there."
             THE COURT: I see. All right. Fine.
12
13
             MR. NIELSON: Thank you.
   BY MR. NIELSON:
14
15
        Professor Herek, could you please turn to tab 16 in your
   witness binder? And you will find a document premarked
16
17
   DIX-978.
18
             And can you identify this document, Professor
   Herek?1?
19
20
        It's a photocopy of the cover of the book describing the
21
   Laumann study that we have been referring to. The title of the
22
   book was The Social Organization of Sexuality, subtitle, Sexual
23
   Practices in the United States. And paging ahead it looks as
24
   though it's chapter eight from that book, which was the chapter
25
   on homosexuality.
```

- Q. Correct. And in chapter eight is reproduced in full here.
- 2 MR. NIELSON: And I believe, your Honor, that this
- 3 | exhibit, 978, DIX-978 is already in evidence.
- 4 | THE COURT: I believe that's correct.
- 5 MR. DETTMER: Your Honor, it's P-943 as well.
- 6 MR. NIELSON: It is. I believe that's correct. This
- 7 is one that both sides designated and, honestly, I don't know
- 8 | which one it came in as. But it's --
- 9 THE COURT: If it's in, it's in.
- 10 MR. NIELSON: Yes. Thank you, your Honor.
- 11 BY MR. NIELSON:
- 12 Q. Now, you are familiar with this document, correct?
- 13 **A.** Yes, I am.
- 14 Q. And you relied on it in forming your expert opinions,
- 15 | correct?

1

- 16 | A. Well, I -- yeah, I relied on some of the data from this.
- 17 $\|Q$. Yes. And, in fact, you cited it in your report?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$ And this study, the Laumann study, if I may call it that,
- 20 | it's widely considered to be a very high quality national
- 21 | survey with a large probability sample of sexuality, correct?
- 22 | A. Yes. I -- I was only hesitating over "large" because I'm
- 23 | forgetting exact sample size, but it was a -- it is a respected
- 24 | national survey on sexuality.
- 25 | Q. Right. And if -- do you believe that it was not a large

probability sample?

- A. Well, "large" is a relative term. I was just trying to remember the -- I'm remembering that it was somewhere in the neighborhood of a couple thousand people or maybe 3200 people,
- 5 something like that. And, yeah, that's a good size sample.
- 6 Q. All right. Thank you.

And, in fact, no national study in the United States
with a large probability sample has addressed questions of
sexuality in the way that the Laumann study did. It was a very
comprehensive survey and is still considered the authoritative
source for data at this point, correct?

- 12 A. I believe I wrote those words.
- 13 Q. You said them in your deposition, sir.
- 14 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 15 Q. All right. And please look at page 290.
- 16 **A.** Okay.

23

24

25

17 **Q.** Around the middle of the page, right underneath the 8.3 18 subtitle -- subheading. It says:

"To quantify or count something requires
unambiguous definition of the phenomenon in
question and we lack this in speaking of
homosexuality."

Do you agree that we lack an unambiguous definition of homosexuality?

A. Well, here what they are talking about is an operational

definition. They are saying to quantify or count something requires an unambiguous definition.

And I think what they were getting at and what they're leading up to is that sometimes people might use identity as the -- as a basis for their operational definition. Sometimes they might use attraction. Sometimes they might use one's sexual behavior history. And so that creates problems when one is trying to count how many people fit into these different categories.

- Q. And do you believe that if you pick one of those definitions -- attraction, behavior or identity -- at that point you have an unambiguous definition, Professor Herek?
- **A.** Well, if you pick it and you specify it and it's not 14 mbiguous, then you have an unambiguous definition.
- 15 Q. That's tautological, isn't it, sir?
- $\|\mathbf{A}\|$. Yes, it is.

- **Q.** But is saying, "I'm going to pick attraction," at that 18 point it's still ambiguous, correct?
 - A. I think what they are saying is, if you say we are going to measure homosexuality and heterosexuality, that's where the ambiguity is and that you have to specify exactly what it is that you are measuring.

So if you say you're going to measure homosexuality and heterosexuality in the American population, what these researchers did was to look at behavior and attraction and

identity, and they explain in great detail how they asked those questions and they report their data accordingly.

So I think where they are saying the ambiguity emerges is if you use these broad terms, like homosexuality or heterosexuality, without specifying exactly what it is that you are measuring.

- Q. Let's just go back to exactly what they wrote.
- 8 Α. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

25

As opposed to what they may or may not have meant, which Q. is:

> "To quantify or count something requires unambiguous definition of the phenomenon in question and we lack this in speaking of homosexuality."

Do you agree that we lack an unambiguous definition of homosexuality?

- Well, certainly in terms of doing what they say they are doing, to quantify or count. You can't just say homosexuality. That would be an ambiguous term. There would not be a single operational definition for that.
- 21 What about unoperational? Do you think there is a single Q. definition for homosexuality? 22
- Well, as I said earlier -- or you can derive from what I 23 24 said earlier, homosexuality can be understood as an ongoing enduring pattern of attraction and desire or romantic

attraction, sexual attraction or desire for people of the same It can be defined as an ongoing pattern of sexual 2 sex. 3 behavior with people with the same sex. It can be defined as 4 an identifies of one's self as gay or lesbian or belonging to 5 the gay or lesbian community. 6 So I would say there you have a definition of 7 homosexuality that is not ambiguous. It sounded like three definitions, Professor Herek.? 8 9 Well, again, I think that that encompasses the phenomenon. 10 What they show in this, in this report is that for 11 most people who say they are gay, lesbian or bisexuality, the three definitions coincide. 12 13 Let's actually turn to page 299, if you would. (Witness complied.) 14 15 And there are two Venn diagrams, one for women and one for Q. 16 men. 17 MR. NIELSON: And I would actually like to put those up on the screen, if I could. I will wait a moment until that 18 19 happens. 20 The figures on page 299 -- and can we zoom in on

those a little bit?

(Document displayed)

MR. NIELSON: All right. Yes.

24 BY MR. NIELSON:

21

22

23

25

For women, now you'll see it has -- this diagram indicates

a circle for desire, a circle for behavior and a circle for identity.

2.0

And it indicates that for women same-sex desire, behavior and identity overlap for only 15 percent of the individuals studied, correct?

- A. What it shows is that for the women who said that they had a lesbian or bisexual identity, all of them also said that they had same-sex desire and all but one said that they had same-sex sexual behavior.
- Q. All right. And it also shows that of the women who had same-sex desire, 59 percent had neither same-sex identity or same-sex behavior, correct?
- A. Right. And as I said earlier, these included women who simply said that they found the idea of possibly having sex with someone of the same sex at least somewhat appealing.

So this is a very broad inclusive sort of definition of attraction, which I believe that the authors of the book explain in some detail.

- Q. And it also finds that 13 percent of the women who had desire engaged in same-sex behavior, but did not have same-sex identity, correct?
- 22 A. No. It's not 13 percent of the women who had desire.
- 23 It's 13 percent of the entire group of women who are 24 represented in this diagram.
- \mathbf{Q} . In the samples. In the samples size, correct. I

- $1 \parallel$ apologize for that.
- $2 \parallel A$. It's 19 women basically.
- 3 || Q. So 13 percent of the sample --
- $4 \parallel \mathbf{A}$. No. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to interrupt.
- 5 | Q. Thirteen percent of the sample of the women had same-sex
- 6 desire and same-sex behavior, but not same-sex identity,
- 7 | correct?
- 8 A. Thirteen percent of this subsample; not of the entire 9 survey sample, but of the 150 women who are diagrammed here,
- 10 yes.
- So 19 of those women -- that's why I sometimes find
- 12 | it easier to just use the numbers rather than the percentages.
- 13 But 19 of those women said that they experienced same-sex
- 14 desire and had engaged in sexual behavior with another woman,
- 15 | but did not identify themselves as being lesbian or bisexual.
- 16 Q. All right. As opposed to the 23 women who had all three,
- 17 | correct? If we are talking about numbers as opposed to
- 18 | percentages.
- 19 A. Right. Twenty-three women had all three, and then another
- 20 one identified as lesbian other gay and, also, expressed
- 21 same-sex desire, but said that she had not engaged in same-sex
- 22 behavior.
- 23 And, you know, we have to say that there are a number
- 24 | of reasons why people might have attractions or identities, but
- 25 | not necessarily act upon them in the sense of actually having

sex with someone.

2.0

- Q. Right. As you talk about the subsample here, this is a subsample drawn from this large population survey of all the women who indicated any of the three, correct?
 - A. This subsample consists of people who indicated any of those three involving same-sex desire, attraction or behavior.
 - \mathbf{Q} . All right. Thank you.

And let's turn to the diagram for men, if we could?

MR. NIELSON: Can you focus in on that? Thank you.

(Document displayed)

BY MR. NIELSON:

- Q. Now, for men, if you look at these diagrams, you'll see that same-sex desire, behavior and identity overlap for only 24 percent of the individuals studied, correct?
- A. And here, again, yeah, there were 34 men for whom they overlapped. Two men, additional men who said that they identified as gay or lesbian -- I'm sorry, as gay or bisexual and who also had same-sex desire, but not behavior.

And then there are those three men who said that they identified as gay or bisexual, but had no desire or behavior with the same sex.

And interestingly in a footnote in this chapter,

Laumann and his colleagues explained that they actually believe

that those three men made a mistake when they filled out the

questionnaire, because they thought it was such an anomalous

result, that they believed it was -- it reflected error on the part of the respondents.

2.0

So here, again, if you accept the researcher's interpretation of the data, it would be the case that all of the men, except those three, who identified as gay or bisexual also exhibited same-sex desire, and all but two of them also had engaged in same-sex behavior.

- Q. Let me ask you some questions about -- do you agree that it would be impossible that those three people did not make a mistake, those two percent?
- A. I would not want to say anything that is impossible, but having done a great deal of survey research, I do know that people sometimes make mistakes when they're filling out questionnaires.
- 15 Q. All right. And we also see that 44 percent of the survey
 16 sample had desire, but neither identity nor behavior.

That six percent had desire and behavior, but not identity. That 22 percent had same-sex behavior, but not same-sex desire or same-sex identity. Correct?

- A. Correct. And none of those individuals overlapped with the identity category. So these were not individuals who identified as gay, lesbian or bisexual.
- Q. Correct. But they -- that they did engage in same-sex behavior or had same-sex desire depending on which group they fell in, correct?

1 A. Right. And I believe that the behavior in this case was
2 lifetime, or at least since age 18, any same-sex sexual
3 behavior.

And the desire was a question, again as with the women, that included anyone who said that they were at least -- they found the idea of same-sex contact at least somewhat appealing. They would have been included in the desire category.

- Q. Right. All right. Thank you.
 And let's turn to page 300.
- **A.** Okay.

2.0

MR. NIELSON: And I don't need it any more on the screen.

14 BY MR. NIELSON:

"This analysis demonstrates the high degree of variability in the way that differing elements of homosexuality are distributed in the population. This variability relates to the way that homosexuality is both organized as a set of behaviors and practices and experienced subjectively. It raises quite provocative questions about the definition of homosexuality."

Do you disagree with any of these statements?

A. He says:

2.0

"While there is a core group, about 2.4

percent of the total men and about

1.3 percent of the total women, in our survey

who define themselves as homosexual or

bisexual, have same-gender partners and

express homosexual desires, there are also

sizeable groups who do not consider

themselves to be either homosexual or

bisexual, but have had adult homosexual

experiences or express some degree of

desire."

Q. Okay. It does say that.

Do you disagree that there is a high degree -- that this analysis demonstrates a high degree of variability in the way that differing elements of homosexuality are distributed in the population?

A. Well, you know, I guess, as I have already said, it shows that there are certainly this core group. There is this core group for whom the identity, the attraction and the behavior are consistent; but there are also individuals who -- who engage in same-sex behavior, but don't experience attraction or identity. Also, individuals who say that they at least find the idea of same-sex sex somewhat appealing.

So it -- it does say that there are those sorts of

individuals for whom these various dimensions don't overlap.

Q. All right. And then let's read the end of the paragraph, since we were reading on.

The author is right. "This preliminary" -- well, let's start at the sentence right where you ended.

A. Okay.

2.0

2.1

Q. I can't remember where you ended actually. Let's start:

"While the measurement of same-gender practices and attitudes is crude at best, with unknown level of underreporting for each, this preliminary analysis provides unambiguous evidence that no single number can be used to provide an accurate and valid characterization of the incidence and prevalence of homosexuality in the population at large.

"In sum, homosexuality is fundamentally a multi-dimensional phenomenon that has manifold meanings and interpretations depending on context and purpose."

Do you agree that homosexuality is fundamentally a multi-dimensional phenomenon that has manifold meanings and interpretations?

- A. Depending on context and purpose.
- \mathbf{Q} . All right.

- 1 A. Yeah. And I think it's key that they are using the term
- 2 | "homosexuality" here. Because as I have said, homosexuality
- 3 can be understood in terms of attraction or behavior or
- 4 | identity. Am I think what they're doing is affirming that
- 5 statement and pointing to their data to illustrate how,
- 6 | although it overlaps in many people -- especially people who
- 7 | identify as lesbian, gay or bisexual -- that's not the case for
- 8 everyone.
- 9 Q. All right. So do you agree that it's a multi-dimensional
- 10 | phenomenon?
- 11 | A. That's what I think I have been saying for the last few
- 12 | hours.
- 13 Q. All right. Thank you.
- 14 Please turn to of tab 18 in the witness binder, if
- 15 | you would.
- 16 (Witness complied.)
- 17 Q. You will see a document -- actually, for some reason it is
- 18 | not premarked, but it is the same document as PX-940.
- 19 And are you familiar with this -- can you identify
- 20 | this document, Professor Herek?
- 21 | A. Well, this is the title page to the book Sexual Behavior
- 22 | in the Human Male, authored by Alfred Kinsey, Wardell Pomeroy,
- 23 and Clyde Martin. I believe it was published in 1948.
- 24 Q. All right. Thank you.
- 25 MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, I believe this is

the -- the same material that was in PX-940, which was admitted into evidence this morning.

MR. DETTMER: That's correct, your Honor.

BY MR. NIELSON:

2.0

2.1

- Q. Now, and you relied on this document in your expert report in forming your opinions in this case, correct?
- \mathbf{A} . Yes. Well, at least on portions of it.
- 8 Q. Yes. Thank you.
- 9 | A. It's a very massive document.
- \mathbb{Q} . Yes, it is.

Please turn to page 639, if you would, Professor

Herek. And around the middle of the page you will find what I

gather is a pretty famous quote, and I will read that. It's:

"Males do not represent two discrete populations, heterosexual and homosexual.

The world is not to be divided into sheep and goats. Not all things are black, nor all things white. It is a fundamental of taxonomy that nature rarely deals with discrete categories. Only the human mind invents categories and tries to force facts

into separated pigeonholes. The living world is a continuum in each and every one of its aspects. The sooner we learn this concerning human sexual behavior, the sooner we shall

reach a sound understanding of the realities 1 2 of sex." And I believe you said earlier that you agree that 3 4 sexual orientation ranges along a continuum, correct? 5 Yes, that's how we generally understand it. And as I 6 said, that idea was elaborated by Kinsey in this book. 7 And if you look earlier on that page, on page 639 -excuse me. We are there already. 8 9 But in the first paragraph, the one that's a carryover from the last page, it says: 10 "But the record also shows that there is a 11 considerable portion of the population whose 12 13 members have combined, within their individual histories, both homosexual and 14 heterosexual experience and/or psychic 15 responses. There are some whose heterosexual 16 17 experience predominates. There are some whose homosexual experiences predominate. 18 There are some who have had quite equal 19 amount of both types of experiences." 2.0 2.1 And I -- I gather you agree with that, correct? 22 Well, the only thing I would qualify is that Kinsey will 23 be speaking here about the proportions of men in his sample, and this book was just about sexual behavior in men. 24 25 And we now know that Kinsey's sample was certainly

problematic in the sense that it couldn't be assumed to be representative of the population at large, just because of the various techniques he used.

2.0

He was a very skilled researcher. He was amazing in getting people to talk about their own sexual experiences at a time when that was not commonly done.

So -- but the problem is that his sample, it's very difficult to generalize to the entire population because if you do, what you would say is that roughly half of all men either have homosexual experiences or desires. And that's quite inconsistent with data that we have from other surveys.

So I would just say that it's important to look at Kinsey as a source of numbers. He shows that there are large numbers of people with various patterns of experiences, but not to look at them in terms of proportions, which I think he was suggesting in this paragraph.

- Q. So in other words, we should be cautious of precise numbers or proportions from Kinsey, correct?
- A. Well, you shouldn't generalize to the larger population, which is why when I talk about Kinsey, I tend to focus just on just the number of people that he found manifesting this. We don't know to what extent that would translate into a population proportion that's generalizable.
- Q. Right. But that doesn't go to his analysis or his constructs, correct; that goes to the numbers?

- A. Right. It goes to the numbers.
- 2 \mathbf{Q} . All right. And on page 638, if you turn back, there is
- 3 | Kinsey's "Heterosexual/Homosexual Rating Scale."
- 4 MR. NIELSON: And I would like to pull this up on the 5 screen, too.
- 6 (Document displayed)
- 7 MR. NIELSON: I want the table of numbers underneath.
- 8 Well, all right. We will work with it at this level.
- 9 BY MR. NIELSON:
- 10 Q. All right. First of all, you see this graph that says
- 11 | zero through six along the bottom and then this line which, I
- 12 gather, reflects a sampling.
- 13 **A.** No. I'm sorry. That's not -- I don't think that's what
- 14 | it reflects.
- 15 $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$ Okay. It doesn't reflect his sampling. It just reflects
- 16 the degree of heterosexuality or homosexuality for each metric,
- 17 | correct?
- 18 A. I believe so.
- 19 Q. Thank you. I believe that's correct, too. I apologize
- 20 | for that misstatement.
- 21 MR. NIELSON: Now, please go down -- shift this down
- 22 to the numbers underneath. And can you zoom in on the numbers
- 23 | from -- yes. Thank you.
- 24 BY MR. NIELSON:
- 25 **Q.** So this is his scale. It's based on both psychologic

reactions and overt experiences individuals rate as follows: 2 Zero, exclusively heterosexual with no homosexual. 3 One, predominantly heterosexual, only incidentally 4 homosexual. 5 And they continue on through three, which is equally. 6 And then gradually working up to six, which is exclusive 7 homosexual. Correct? Correct. 8 9 And do you believe this is a reasonable way to measure --Q. to measure sexual orientation? 10 Well, Kinsey never wanted to measure sexual orientation. 11 Kinsey was out explicitly to measure behavior and experience. 12 13 I -- I don't think you will would find Kinsey -well, as he said earlier, he doesn't think the world can be 14 15 divided into heterosexuals and homosexuals. And if you look at the study, you will find that he never asked people whether 16 they were heterosexual or gay or lesbian or bisexual. 17 So this scale has been used to define or to measure 18 the components of sexual orientation that we have been 19 discussing in terms of attraction and behavior, but not in 2.0 terms of identity. 21 Attraction and behavior are also definitions of sexual 22 23 orientation that are used, correct?

They are, yeah, components of sexual orientation, and

that's what this has been used to measure.

24

- Q. Correct. Thank you. Thank you.
- Now, please turn to tab 18-A in the witness binder.
- 3 | It's -- you will see an 18 and then after that you will see an
- 4 | 18-A.
- $5 \| \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{I} \|$ see, okay.
- 6 \mathbb{Q} . And you will see a document premarked DIX-1272.
- $7 \| \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{Yes} \cdot$
- 8 | Q. And can you identify this document?
- 9 $\|$ **A.** This is a paper that was published in 1977 in the *Journal*
- 10 of Homosexuality titled "Components of Sexual Identity" by
- 11 | Michael Shively and John DeCecco.
- 12 Q. And are you familiar with this document?
- 13 A. I am familiar with it. I have to say I haven't looked at
- 14 | it for awhile, but I -- I have looked at it in the past, why.
- 15 Q. All right. Thank you.
- 16 Please turn to page 45 using the internal pagination.
- 17 And under "Sexual Orientation," in the second
- 18 | paragraph under that heading, you'll see that he writes:
- 19 | "Sexual orientation can be viewed as having
- 20 two aspects. One is physical preference and
- 21 the other is affectional preference.
- 22 Physical preference refers to the
- 23 individual's preference for male and/or
- 24 | female sexual partners. Affectional
- 25 preference refers to an individual's

1 preference for male and/or female emotional 2 partners." 3 Correct? 4 And then -- that's what it says. And then the author continues on: 5 "Physical preference can be viewed as two 6 7 independent continua of heterosexuality and homosexuality. For each individual there is 8 9 one continuum for the physical heterosexuality and another for physical 10 11 homosexuality. Qualitatively individuals can 12 be seen as heterosexual, homosexual or both 13 heterosexual and homosexual. Quantitatively individuals can be seen as having 14 15 heterosexuality and homosexuality ranging from very much to very little." 16 17 And he depicts this in figure three, I believe. 18 No. 19 Or figure -- figure four, excuse me. I apologize. MR. NIELSON: Could you zoom up on figure four? 2.0 21 (Document displayed) BY MR. NIELSON: 22 23 Q. Correct? That's figure four? 24 And then he writes, continuing on in the next 25 Yeah.

paragraph -- not after the figure, but after where we were reading, he writes:

"Affectional preference in similar fashion can be viewed as two independent continua of affectional heterosexuality and affectional homosexuality."

And then this is indicated in figure five on page 47.

MR. NIELSON: If we could zoom in on that?
(Document displayed)

BY MR. NIELSON:

2.0

Q. All right. And the reason Kline has two metrics each for physical and affectional preference is stated on page 46, and that is -- he writes that:

"The bipolar view of sexual orientation is restricted to physical expression and suggests that homosexuality is expressed at the expense of heterosexuality or heterosexuality is expressed at the expense of homosexuality."

And he rejects that bipolar view. So that's why he has these two separate continua each for physical and affectional heterosexual -- homosexuality and heterosexuality.

And let's put those together. I have a demonstrative that I'm going to put on the screen, and it just combines these graphs together, figure four and figure five, so we can

2 MR. NIELSON: Can we get that up so we see the whole 3 thing?

Not the figure, the... All right. I guess we don't have a demonstrative showing them both together.

BY MR. NIELSON:

- Q. But, in essence, we end up with looking at figure four and figure five together, we end up having four graphs essentially. We have for physical preference a scale of -- ranging from not at all heterosexual to very heterosexual, with numbers from one to five.
- From not at all homosexual to very homosexual, with numbers from one to five.
- Then for affectional preference, we have the same thing. We have two graphs. One ranging from not at all heterosexual to very heterosexual. One ranging from not at all homosexual to very homosexual.
- Now, do you believe this is an unreasonable way to measure sexual orientation?
- **A.** First of all, I got confused because you were saying 21 Kline.
- 22 Q. I'm talking about Shively. I misspoke. I apologize.
- This is Shively and DeCecco, correct. And I apologize for misspeaking there.
- $\|$ **A.** Okay. Yeah, this actually was developed at a time when

psychology was beginning to look at gender and the traits of masculinity and femininity In a new way.

2.0

Whereas, masculinity and femininity had previously been conceptualized as lying at two ends of a bipolar continuum. You are either masculine or feminine and if you are high on masculinity, you necessarily were low on femininity.

Around this time some researchers had proposed that actually you could -- those were independent of each other.

Some individuals were high on both masculinity and femininity, and those individuals were labeled androgenous.

I believe Shively and DeCecco were influenced by that perspective and what they proposed to do was to take Kinsey's approach, which had that scale that ranged from exclusively heterosexual to exclusively homosexual, and to apply this new way of thinking and say that you could possibly be high on both, in which case I imagine you would be labeled bisexual; or you could be high on one, low on another, or low on both, in which case you would probably be labeled asexual.

And I think that's a reasonable way of asking about it. I -- I think that one thing that's missing from this approach is that they are looking at physical preference and affectional preference. They are not asking about a person's actual identification or a person's behavioral history; but as far as looking at the idea of physical and affectional preferences, this is a reasonable way to measure that.

- Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 2 So this would be an attraction-based theory of -- or
- 3 definition of -- or measurement of sexual orientation, correct?
- $4 \parallel \mathbf{A}$. I would say that that's where it focuses.
- 5 Q. All right. Thank you.
- 6 MR. NIELSON: Your Honor, I would like to admit this
- 7 | DIX-1272 into evidence.
- 8 | THE COURT: Hearing no objection, 1272 is admitted.
- 9 | (Defendants' Exhibit 1272 received in evidence.)
- 10 MR. NIELSON: All right. Thank you.
- 11 BY MR. NIELSON:
- 12 **Q.** Now, please turn back to tab 17 in your binder, if you would.
- 14 (Witness complied.)
- 15 $\| \mathbf{Q}_{\bullet} \|$ And you will see an exhibit, a document premarked 1275.
- 16 Can you identify this exhibit?
- 17 **A.** This was an article that was published in 1985 in the
- 18 | Journal of Homosexuality. And the title is "Sexual
- 19 | Orientation: A Multi-Variable Dynamic Process." And there are
- 20 three authors, the first of whom is Fritz Kline.
- 21 Q. All right. Thank you. And are you familiar with this
- 22 | document?
- 23 A. Yes, I am. I am familiar. I haven't read it recently,
- 24 | but I am familiar with the document.
- 25 \mathbf{Q} . All right. Thank you.

And please look at page 35 on the first page, if you would, the first page of the article.

A. Uh-huh.

Q. And the authors -- and I'm going to refer to Kline, with the understanding that I'm referring to all of them.

They write:

"Researchers have failed operationally or conceptually to define sexual orientation by not providing clear or consistent definitions. The study gives evidence that sexual orientation cannot be reduced to a bipolar or even tripolar process, that must be" -- it says "most," but I believe that's a typo and it means "must" -- "that must be recognized within a dynamic and multivariate framework."

Correct?

- **A.** That's what it says.
- **Q.** Do you believe that any of those statements are 20 unreasonable?
 - A. Well, you know, they aren't unreasonable to have been writing it in 1985 in this paper, but you know what's happened is that since this paper came out and Kline introduced this sexual orientation grid, researchers have done analysis with it that suggest that -- although they are very careful in

separating out all of these different dimensions, most of those dimensions end up all clustering together when we do statistical analyses of them.

So it turns out that although this Kline sexual orientation grid separates out many different components of sexual orientation and even social orientation, not simply things we would think of as sexual, the sexual components of it all seem to boil down to one single underlying dimension in the way that people actually complete this grid.

Q. All right. Let's look at the grid a little bit, if we could. Please look at page 39.

(Witness complied.)

- Q. And you'll find that this grid lists several -- it lists self variables on the left: Sexual attraction, sexual behavior, sexual fantasies, emotional preference, social preference, self-identification and heterosexual/gay lifestyle.
- 17 | Correct?

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- 18 **A.** That's it.
- 19 Q. And it includes columns that say "Past," "Present" and
- 20 | "Ideal," correct?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. Now, if you look at page 41, you see a figure two.
- 23 MR. NIELSON: I would like to get that up.
- 24 | (Document displayed)

BY MR. NIELSON:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

20

21

22

25

Q. And this is -- this is a matrix ranging from one to seven, ranging from other sex only to same sex only.

And as you will see from the discussion, the appropriate number is placed -- from this box is placed on the grid for each of the first five variables: Sexual attraction, sexual behavior, sexual fantasies, emotional preference and social preference; correct?

- 9 | **A.** Yes.
- 10 Q. And then if you look on page 42, you will see figure 11 three.

And this, again, has one to seven, ranging from hetero only to gay only. And the appropriate number is placed in each box on the grid for the last two variables, which are self-identification and hetero/gay lifestyle, correct?

- 16 A. Yeah, I believe so.
- 17 Q. And let's put this all together. I have a demonstrative,
 18 I believe -- if this one works -- that combines the three
 19 together.

(Brief pause.)

- Okay. Apparently, we don't have the right set of demonstratives.
- But in short, putting them together, you have this grid --
 - MR. NIELSON: Well, let's bring the grid up at least.

(Document displayed)

BY MR. NIELSON:

- Q. And using these two separate tables, you enter numbers into these 21 squares, correct?
- A. Yes.

2.0

2.1

- Q. Now, you said you don't believe -- you believe this is -is or is not an unreasonable way to measure sexual orientation;
 which was it?
 - A. Well, it turns out that it's proved to be too burdensome because individuals are expected to provide 21 different ratings of their sexual orientation or some aspect at least somewhat related to it in this grid.

And as I said, in subsequent research the researchers have found that when they do statistical analyses to see how the data actually come out, you know, how people actually rate themselves, that on most of these variables -- especially for the past and the present, on most of these variables they have all basically correlate very highly with each other, suggesting that underlying the grid there is one unified conception of a sexual orientation based on attraction, behavior and identity.

Q. All right. And the authors wrote on page 38 -- right before "Sample Characteristics" they write:

"In the present study it was postulated that the individual's sexual orientation is composed of sexual and non-sexual variables

which differ over time. By studying a large group of individuals, this study validated the theoretical model of sexual orientation as multi-varied and dynamic."

- A. I'm sorry. Where are you reading?
- 6 Q. It's the last two sentences of the last paragraph before 7 "Sample Characteristics."
- 8 **A.** Okay, okay. I'm there.
- 9 Q. Okay. If you could just quickly read those two sentences
- 10 | so I won't read them again.
- 11 **A.** Okay.

dimension here.

21

22

23

24

25

5

- 12 **Q.** They say that their study validated the theoretical model of sexual orientation as multi-variate and dynamic.
- Do you believe that they mischaracterized the results of their study?
- A. Well, I don't believe they mischaracterized their results.

 It's just that, you know, in subsequent studies where they have
 done factor analyses, which is a particular statistical
 technique of responses to the Kline grid, those studies have
 shown that, as I said, there seems to be one core underlying

It's not to say that they were misrepresenting their data, but it just -- whenever anyone proposes something, a measure or whatever, it is constantly subjected to subsequent empirical tests.

1 And so the -- the empirical testing that I have seen of the Kline grid has come up with that pattern. And I think 2 3 that it's widely assumed by sexual orientation researchers or 4 sexuality researchers that, depending upon what you are looking 5 for, it might be useful to administer the Kline grid, but it's 6 very burdensome to the respondent. It requires all of these 7 questions to be answered. And it probably doesn't get you very far, or much further than by simply asking simple questions 8 about attraction, behavior and identity. So 21 boxes is too many, is that --10 Q. Well, it's more than is necessary. 11 12 All right. Thank you. 13 Well, okay. Well, we will move on from that. Please turn to tab nine in the witness binder. 14 15 MR. NIELSON: First, your Honor, I would like to offer that, DIX-1265, into evidence. 16 17 THE COURT: Hearing no objection, 1265 is admitted. (Defendants' Exhibit 1265 received in evidence.) 18 19 THE COURT: And when we get a good place to break for 2.0 luncheon, you might let me know. 21 MR. NIELSON: I would be happy to break at the

THE COURT: I don't want to interrupt your examination.

Court's pleasure. If now is a good time, we can break now.

22

23

24

25

MR. NIELSON: It's as good a time as any. Thank you,

```
your Honor.
 1
              THE COURT: Why don't we resume then, ladies and
 2
 3
   gentlemen, let's come back -- see if we can come back at 20
   minutes avenue 1:00 o'clock. Is that all right?
 4
 5
              MR. NIELSON: Thank you very much, your Honor.
              (Whereupon at 12:21 p.m. proceedings
 6
 7
               were adjourned for noon recess.)
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

JANUARY 22, 2010

1:24 P.M.

2.0

THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Boutrous.

MR. BOUTROUS: Yes, Your Honor. I wanted to just give the Court a progress report and, for scheduling purposes, a prognosis on the exhibit issue.

PROCEEDINGS

The two sides have been talking and working through the exhibits. We think that it will prob- -- in terms of introducing exhibits, some of the arguments about the exhibits that -- where we haven't reached agreement, playing some videotape depositions in order to lay the foundation and put the exhibits in, and then to play several of the excerpts of videos, it would probably take about 90 minutes.

And that would be the last thing we do before we rest. And so I just wanted to give the Court a sense of it for planning today. I'm not sure how much more cross-examination there is left, but we were thinking we would be resting our case today.

THE COURT: So if the witness finishes his testimony 90 minutes or so ahead of 4 o'clock, you will be done with your case.

MR. BOUTROUS: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, that gives us a target to shoot 1 2 for. 3 MR. BOUTROUS: Thank you, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Mr. Nielson. 5 MR. NIELSON: Thank you, Your Honor. 6 And I appreciate that target, though I think it may 7 be unlikely, Your Honor. THE COURT: Well, we can always be hopeful. 8 9 MR. NIELSON: Hope springs eternal, Your Honor. THE COURT: Yes. 10 MR. NIELSON: All right. 11 12 CROSS-EXAMINATION RESUMED 13 BY MR. NIELSON: Good afternoon, Professor Herek. 14 Good afternoon. 15 Could you turn to tab 19 in your witness binder. 16 17 Can you identify -- well, you'll find a document premarked DIX1266. Can you identify this document? 18 Uhm, this is a -- well, this is the text of an article. 19 It's not actually a copy of the article in its original form. 20 But it's the text of an article titled "Definition and 21 measurement of sexual orientation" by John Gonsiorek, Randall 22 23 Sell, and James Weinrich, that was published in a journal called Suicide & Life-Threatening Behavior, in 1995. 24 25 Q. All right. Thank you.

1 And are you familiar with this document? I believe I read this document some time ago, probably 2 3 close to the time it was published. 4 All right. Thank you. And the first -- the authors discuss a number of 5 6 difficulties with measuring sexual orientation. And then if 7 you could turn to page 4 of the exhibit. And at the start of the bottom paragraph the authors write, quote: 8 9 "Given such significant measurement problems, one could conclude there is serious doubt 10 whether sexual orientation is a valid concept 11 at all." 12 13 Do you believe that is an unreasonable statement, that one could seriously doubt whether sexual orientation is a 14 15 valid concept at all? THE COURT: Where do you see that? 16 MR. NIELSON: The top of the paragraph that starts at 17 the bottom of the fourth page, Your Honor. 18 19 THE COURT: Ah, yes. 2.0 MR. NIELSON: Thank you. 21 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Could you repeat the 22 question. 23 BY MR. NIELSON: 24 Well, the -- the first sentence says: "Given such significant measurement problems, 25

one could conclude there is serious doubt

whether sexual orientation is a valid concept

at all."

2.0

Do you believe that one could conclude that there is serious doubt whether sexual orientation is a valid concept at all?

A. Well, I think that they're raising that as mostly a rhetorical device in the article. Because if you read down a few sentences, to the end of that paragraph, you see them saying:

"Regardless of these philosophical debates,
most present-day North Americans tend to
label themselves as homosexual, heterosexual,
or bisexual, despite the fact that these
labels do not capture the full range of
complexity of sexual orientation and sexual
identity."

So characterizing it as a philosophical debate, I think that they're raising it, you know, as a way of discussing some different positions with social constructionists and the essentialists, for example; that they seem to come down to the idea that apart from the philosophical debates, it seems real to most people.

Q. All right. And let's read about the philosophical debates, as you call them. The next sentence says:

"Social constructionism suggests that there 1 2 is nothing real about sexual orientation 3 except as society's construction of it. 4 Essentialism suggests that homosexual desire, 5 identity, and persons exist as real in some form in different cultures and historical 6 7 eras. Not surprisingly, social constructionists generally reject the 8 9 possibility of biological factors in sexual orientation, while essentialists can accept 10 but do not necessarily require biological 11 factors," since we were looking to context. 12 13 Do you believe that -- first of all, do you believe that it would be reasonable to doubt whether sexual orientation 14 15 is a valid concept as all? Well, what would you mean by "valid"? 16 17 Just the words of the authors here. Well, do you mean is there such a thing as sexual 18 orientation? Does it actually exist? 19 I guess is that what -- is that your interpretation of 2.0 21 what they mean?

A. I'm not sure. As I said, I haven't read this article for quite a long time. I think they come down saying that -- as I said, they refer to these philosophical debates. That's their

term. And they say most Americas, or North Americans, tend to

22

23

24

label themselves according to sexual orientation.

2.0

So, in that sense, I think you would have to say it is a real construct. I mean, it's something that people actually experience and believe and can report about themselves.

- Q. Do you believe that the position that they describe as social constructionism, do you believe that is an unreasonable position?
- A. Well, you know, I think that they've -- I realize they were doing this in a very summary fashion. But, in a way, this is a -- this is a statement of social constructionism that I think escapes or avoids some of the nuance to the constructionist view.

To say that the social constructionists would suggest that there's nothing, quote, real about sexual orientation except as society's construction of it is really to minimize the importance of that construction.

When social constructionists are talking about this, I think, for most of them -- and, of course, there are many different schools within that philosophical camp, but I think, generally, when they are talking about this they are referring to the cultural level.

They are talking about the construction of these concepts at the cultural level, in the same way that we have cultural constructions of race and ethnicity and social class.

All of those are constructed socially.

2.0

But -- and so, in a sense, you can say there's nothing real about them in that these are not things that might be argued to exist in nature except for society's creation of them.

But to say there's no such thing as class or race or ethnicity or sexual orientation is to, I think, minimize the importance of that.

And, again, the social constructionists are really speaking at that broad cultural level. They are not saying -- or, I would say, at least most of them are not saying that this is a process of the individual's construction of sexual orientation. Rather, they are talking about the way in which the culture essentially defines how people view reality.

Q. All right. And it goes on to say that:

"Not surprisingly, social constructionists generally reject the possibility of biological factors in sexual orientation."

Do you believe that the -- you said that for social constructionists it's the same thing as race or ethnicity. Do you think social constructionists would deny the possibility of the biological factors in race or ethnicity?

A. Well, I think that most social constructionists would say for all of these things, including sexuality, that culture builds on the raw material.

So -- and I'm, you know, trying to characterize this 1 very broad, complicated philosophical point of view. But I 2 3 would say that social constructionists would say race is an 4 entirely constructed category; although, it is based on some 5 physical characteristics. But the definition of which races 6 are which, which ones are separate from each other, what type 7 of skin coloring or what type of ancestry involves a person being of a particular race, all of those things are socially 8 constructed. And I think they would say a similar thing about sexual orientation. 10

Again, it doesn't mean that that individual personally constructs her or his racial identity or her or his sexual orientation in the sense of just making it up and it has no reality and it could change tomorrow.

But I think that's -- that's more consistent with what the social constructionists would argue.

- Q. All right. Let's turn to the next page, if we could, page five of the printout. And the -- under the -- a number of specific ideas are recommended at the bottom.
- A. Uh-huh.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And number 1, it says:

"At this point in time it seems to make the most sense to: a) measure behavior and attraction/fantasy separately; b) inquire about change/evolution of erotic interests

1 over time; and c) measure same- and 2 opposite-sex orientations separately, not as one continuous variable." 3 4 Do you believe that's an unreasonable statement? 5 Do I believe it's unreasonable to follow that -- to do 6 that? 7 Q. Yes. To measure? No, I think those are reasonable suggestions 8 for conducting empirical research on sexual orientation. 10 One of the limitations that -- you know, that happens 11 in the real world is, sometimes you can't ask that many questions all at once in a particular survey. So it's not 12 13 always feasible to do that. But I would say that, depending upon the purpose of 14 15 the study, these could be very relevant approaches to take in measuring sexual orientation. 16 17 All right. And please turn to page 7. And you will see at the bottom there is something called "The Sell Scale of 18 Sexual Orientation." And it starts on page 7, and continues 19 2.0 through page 11. I believe we have a 4-and-a-half page, 21 17-part, multiple subpart test for measuring sexual orientation. 22 23 Are you familiar with this test, The Sell Scale of

A. I've read about it.

Sexual Orientation?

24

- Do you believe it's a reasonable way to measure sexual orientation? 2
- I don't think very many people have actually used this 4 because, as you said, it's an incredibly long instrument. I don't believe it's proved to be a practical approach to actual using in research.
- 7 Do you believe it's reasonable?

3

5

6

11

12

13

14

2.0

21

- Well, part of reasonableness is accuracy -- is -- I'm 8 sorry, not accuracy, but just whether it's feasible, whether --9 you know, if it works, whether it's possible to do it. 10
 - So I don't think it's unreasonable to think that in an ideal sense it would be good to ask all of these questions. But I don't think very many researchers have actually used it in their -- in their on-the-ground research.
- Do you think that's because they think it would be 15 inaccurate, or just impractical? 16
- 17 I think it's because -- and I have to say, I -- I'm not a scholar on this -- this scale. I haven't reviewed it 18 intensively. 19
 - But I believe it's because it's just, typically, too unwieldy to administer in a real-world setting.
- 22 All right. Thank you. Q.
- MR. NIELSON: And, Your Honor, I would like to 23 24 introduce DIX1266, which is the document we've been discussing, into evidence it.

```
MR. DETTMER: No objection, Your Honor.
 1
 2
              THE COURT: 1266 is admitted.
              (Defendants' Exhibit 1266 received in evidence.)
 3
 4
              MR. NIELSON: All right. Thank you.
   BY MR. NIELSON:
 5
 6
   Q.
        Please turn to tab 20 in the witness binder, if you would.
 7
              Can you identify this document?
        Well, it's the title page from the Handbook of Applied
 8
   Developmental Science Volume 1.
10
        And if you could look inside, can you -- which I gather is
   Q.
11
   a collection of works. And if you could look at the next page.
12
        Uh-huh.
13
        Can you identify that?
14
        This is Chapter 5, by Lisa Diamond and Ritch
15
   Savin-Williams, titled "Gender and Sexual Identity."
16
        Thank you. Are you familiar with this document?
   Q.
17
        I don't believe I have ever read this before.
        Are you familiar with Lisa Diamond?
18
   Q.
        I certainly know who Lisa Diamond is, yes.
19
        She is a well-respected researcher, correct?
20
   Q.
21
   A.
        Yes.
22
        Thank you.
   Q.
              What about Ritch Savin-Williams, are you familiar
23
24
   with --
```

Ritch Savin-Williams, like Lisa Diamond, is a

developmental psychologist.

2.0

- **Q.** With a good reputation?
- $\|\mathbf{A}_{\bullet}\|$ I think that he is held in good repute, yes.
 - Q. All right. Thank you.

Please look at page 102. That's the next page. And please look in the second column. You'll see in the first full paragraph there, and starting with the second sentence, it reads:

"There is currently no scientific or popular consensus on the exact constellation of experiences that definitively qualify an individual as lesbian, gay, or bisexual, rather than confused, curious, or maladjusted."

Do you agree with this statement?

A. Well, you know, I think we have gone over this a number of times, that there are these various approaches that we take to understanding sexual orientation in terms of attraction behavior and identity.

I think it's relevant to note that this is a chapter that's published in the Handbook of Applied Developmental Science. And, in fact, if you look at the beginning of this chapter, they are talking about the experiences of very young people. A 15-year-old boy who decided he was -- or who started fantasizing about males at age 11, or a teenage girl who falls

in love with her best female friend.

I'm guessing this because I haven't seen this chapter before.

But knowing both Lisa Diamond's work, and Ritch Savin-Williams' work to some extent, I would say that they are probably especially talking about the fact that for adolescents who are at a phase in their life when they are going through a lot of new experiences, and their identities of all sorts are forming, including their sexual identities, that it's especially difficult to talk about sexual orientation as being clearly defined for those adolescents.

- Q. All right. Well, what they say is:
- "There is currently no scientific or popular consensus on the exact constellation of experiences that definitively qualify an individual as lesbian, gay, or bisexual."

 Do you agree with that?
- 18 A. Well, my understanding of the word "consensus" is that it
 19 means unanimity. And, so, I would agree, there is not
 20 unanimous agreement on this.
- **Q.** Either popular or scientific?
- **A.** I would be hard-pressed to find anything on which there is 23 popular unanimity.

And in terms of sciences -- we've been discussing
this already, I think -- there are those different approaches.

Q. Okay. Thank you.

2.0

And the next sentence says:

"The more carefully researchers map these constellations, differentiating, for example, between gender identify and sexual identity, desire and behavior, sexual versus affectionate feelings, early-appearing versus late-appearing attractions and fantasies, or social identifications and sexual profiles, the more complicated the picture becomes because few individuals report uniform intercorrelations among these domains."

Do you agree with that statement?

A. Well, I would disagree with the statement that few individuals report uniform -- well, to be honest, the phrase "report uniform intercorrelations among these domains" is a bit confusing to me because you can't compute a correlation with just one person. It is something that only works when you are looking at groups and patterns in groups.

But if what they mean by this is that few individuals are consistent across their attractions, their behaviors, and their desires, I would go back to what I've said earlier, which is that we know that the vast majority of individuals are consistent in those three areas. But there are some individuals who are not.

- Q. Do you believe the position that they state here is unreasonable?
- 3 | A. Do I --

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- 4 Q. You said you disagree with it. Do you believe it is 5 unreasonable?
- A. Uhm, I believe that if I'm interpreting it correctly -and I allow for the fact, since I haven't read this whole
 article, there may be qualifications or explanations that I'm
 not familiar with.

But I would say that if what this is intended to mean is that very few people demonstrate consistency in their attractions, behaviors, and identity, then I would say that that's not an accurate statement.

- Q. I didn't ask you whether it was accurate. I asked you whether it was reasonable. Do you believe that's unreasonable --
- **A.** Well, I'm a scientist. I try to think of things accurate being reasonable.

I guess it's not an unreasonable statement if you don't have data. But we do have data, so we know that there are these large numbers of people who are consistent across their identity, their behavior, and their attraction.

So that's where I'm going with it, is by saying that that's what's the accurate statement.

||Q. All right. And do you believe this is outside of the

mainstream of scholarship, that statement?

- 2 A. Well, as I said, I haven't read the entire chapter. So
- 3 | it's quite possible that they will define some terms, explain
- 4 some of these constructs in ways that I would then understand
- 5 | what they mean by this.
- 6 So I would be hesitant to judge the statements of
- 7 some of my colleagues, having just read one or two sentences
- 8 | out of context.
- 9 Q. All right. Thank you.
- 10 MR. NIELSON: Your Honor, I would like to admit -- or
- 11 to offer DIX2682 for admission into evidence.
- 12 MR. DETTMER: No objection, Your Honor.
- 13 | THE COURT: Very well. It's admitted.
- 14 | (Defendants' Exhibit 2682 received in evidence.)
- 15 BY MR. NIELSON:
- 16 Q. All right. Please turn to tab 22 in the witness binder.
- 17 And you will find here a document premarked DIX935.
- 18 Can you identify this document?
- 19 $\|\mathbf{A}_{\bullet}\|$ Uhm, this is the title page of a book by Janice Bohan,
- 20 | titled Psychology and Sexual Orientation Coming to Terms, which
- 21 was published in 1996.
- 22 Q. All right. And are you familiar with this work?
- 23 $\|\mathbf{A}_{\bullet}\|$ Well, I am familiar with the book, yes.
- 24 Q. All right. Thank you.
- 25 And please turn to page 13, which is the -- the

```
1 beginning page.
```

- $2 \| \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A} \|$ I have to say, my copy is not very good. It's rather
- 3 $\|$ faint. I -- I -- just to let you know, it's a little bit
- 4 | fuzzy.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- $5 \| \mathbf{Q}_{\bullet} \|$ I apologize. Are you able to read it?
- 6 A. Well, I think so, but --
- 7 Q. I'm only going to read a little bit from this, then, to 8 avoid straining your eyes unduly.

In the very first line it says:

"... the concept of sexual orientation is not as straightforward as everyday conversations, media accounts, and political slogans would imply. Rather, the topic is fraught with vagaries, the terminology is ambiguous and ill-defined, and the apparently exclusive and stable categories commonly employed actually disguise complex dimensionality and fluidity."

Do you believe that's an unreasonable statement?

- A. Well, I think it's probably reasonable as -- she starts, off, the first clause of the sentence is, "As suggested in the introduction."
- And I believe that in the introduction she laid out a number of examples that would illustrate what she is referring to.

1 And, again, I think that she -- I haven't looked at 2 this book for a long time but, as I recall, she probably was discussing examples in which there were inconsistencies between 3 4 a person's identity and their behavior, or their identity and 5 their attractions, as we have been discussing. 6 And, so, in that context, which I believe is the 7 context in which she wrote this, I would say that that's a reasonable statement. 8 9 Thank you. Q. MR. NIELSON: Your Honor, I would like to offer 10 11 DIX935 for admission into evidence. 12 MR. DETTMER: No objection. 13 THE COURT: 935 is admitted. (Defendants' Exhibit 935 received in evidence.) 14 MR. NIELSON: All right. Thank you. 15 BY MR. NIELSON: 16 17 Please turn to tab 25 in the witness binder, if you would. Now, you'll find here a document premarked DIX1007. 18 And this is a declaration submitted by Dr. Robert 19 Galatzer-Levy -- and I apologize if I'm mispronouncing that --2.0 in a different case. And it was offered as an exhibit in this 21 case by the City and County of San Francisco, in their motion 22 in support of their motion to intervene. 23 24 Are you familiar with Dr. Robert Galatzer-Levy? 25 I don't know him. I -- I've seen his name. I don't think

```
I'm very familiar with his work.
```

2 All right. I would like you to turn to page -- it's --3 well, there's two sets of pagination. Going by the pages at the bottom, which are the document's pagination, pages 3 and 4 5 in paragraph 10.

A. Okay.

6

7

17

Q. At the bottom of the page 3, Dr. Galatzer-Levy writes: "The sexual orientation of any given 8 9 individual falls within a spectrum between same-gender orientation and opposite-gender 10

11 orientation. Nearly all heterosexual people 12 are capable of some homosexual response, and 13 nearly all homosexual people are capable of some heterosexual response. Hence, no sharp 14 15 line distinguishes homosexuality and heterosexuality." 16

Do you agree with that statement?

- Well --18 A.
- 19 Let's take them apart. Q.
- 2.0 Okay. Α.
- That's kind of compound. Let's take the first one. 21 Q.

"The sexual orientation of any given 22 individual falls within a spectrum between 23 24 same-gender orientation and opposite-gender orientation." 25

2.0

Do you agree with that?

A. Well, I think there he's referring to the Kinsey continuum that we've discussed already, that it is possible to think of sexuality as a continuum ranging from exclusive heterosexuality to exclusive homosexuality.

Q. All right. And then he says:

"Nearly all heterosexual people are capable of some homosexual response, and nearly all homosexual people are capable of some heterosexual response."

Do you agree with that statement?

A. Well, the term -- I think the important term there is "capable," meaning that it's at least theoretically possible for all heterosexual people to have some homosexual response, and nearly all homosexual people to have some heterosexual response.

And I can certainly allow that that seems like a reasonable assumption to make. I don't know what specific research he would have been relying on to make that statement.

I do know that when we look at studies like, for example, the Laumann study it would suggest that most people would say that they don't experience -- and here, again, the word is "response." Does that mean attraction? Does that mean behavior? It's a little bit unclear.

But I think in studies like the Laumann study, we

would say that many people would say that they don't
experience, for example, attraction to people of their same
sex. So I'm not completely certain what is meant here by a
"homosexual response" or a "heterosexual response."

Q. Then what about the last sentence:

2.0

"Hence, no sharp line distinguishes homosexuality and heterosexuality"?

A. Well, I think that the key, again -- I may have mentioned something like this earlier, but he's using the words "homosexuality" and not het- -- I'm sorry, "homosexuality" and "heterosexuality."

And so while there may be -- it is the case that people who consider themselves to be heterosexual do consider there to be a clear line between their own sexual orientation and their attractions to, behaviors with, and identity based on those attractions toward people of the other sex.

And, whereas, people who define themselves as gay or lesbian may very well see a very clear line between who they are attracted to and are in relationships with versus people of the other sex.

The general construct of heterosexuality and homosexuality, when conceptualized along this continuum, the nature of a continuum is that there is no clear, sharp line that separates it at any particular point.

Q. All right. Thank you.

1 And, please, turn to tab 26 in the witness binder, if you would. You'll find here a document premarked DIX1268. 2 3 Can you identify this document? 4 Uhm, this is titled "Lesbian Health, Current Assessment 5 and Directions for the Future." Edited by Andrea Solarz, and published by the Committee on Lesbian Health Research 6 7 Priorities at the Institute of Medicine. Thank you. Are you familiar with this document? 8 9 I'm familiar with it. I have not read this document. I know I have not read the entire document. I believe I may have 10 read portions of the document. It was released about ten years 11 ago. And I believe that sometime back around the time it was 12 released, I may have read portions of it. 13 Q. All right. Thank you. 14 Please turn to page 23 of the document, if you would. 15 In the introductory -- there's a section called "Introduction," 16 you'll see on that page. And in the second paragraph, around 17 the middle of the paragraph, there's a sentence that says: 18 "Lesbians do not constitute an identifiable 19 homogeneous population for research study." 20 21 Do you agree with that statement? 22 Excuse me. I'm just trying to read the paragraph that 23 it's in. 24 Well, I think that their point is that the lesbian

population is not a homogeneous population. As they say:

25

"Some lesbians may belong to a community of 1 2 women who self-identify as lesbians. Others 3 may fear identifying as a lesbian, despite 4 having emotional and sexual partnerships with 5 women, owing to the potential stigma or 6 negative consequences of that." 7 And it goes on. And they also say: "Diversity among lesbians also occurs along 8 9 dimensions of race and ethnicity, socioeconomic status, age, whether or not 10 11 they have children, " and so on. And so, in that sense, I think it is perfectly 12 13 appropriate to say that lesbians do not constitute an identifiable homogeneous population for research study. 14 15 Right. Now, they didn't just say homogenous or homogeneous. They said "identifiable," as well. 16 17 Do you agree with the sentence as written with both of those adjectives? 18 Well, as I said, if I've read this, it's been a long time. 19 And so I'm not sure, perhaps, if they have spoken earlier about 2.0 what they mean in terms of "identifiable." 21 22 I believe that they may have talked about the 23 difficulties of -- and this was, again, written in the late '90s, but the difficulties of believing that survey research 24

will -- that all women who are lesbian will report that fact to

25

a survey researcher, because of the stigma and prejudice associated with being homosexual.

And so it's possible that this is what they mean by the difficulty of identifying lesbians. It may also go back to that different -- differing components of sexual orientation that we've been discussing over and over.

So, you know, with that qualification, I would say that, you know, that that certainly would make sense.

Q. All right. Thank you.

2.0

2.1

And, please, read the first paragraph of the next section at the bottom of the page. Well, I'll read it to you. It's:

"Views of sexual identity and sexual behavior can vary significantly across cultures and among racial and ethnic groups. So it should not be assumed that a lesbian's sexual identity is the same for lesbians of different racial, ethnic, or cultural backgrounds. In particular, it should not be assumed that racial and ethnic minority cultures share views of lesbian sexual orientation identical with the dominant culture."

Do you agree with that?

A. Well, again, it's probably useful to go on further and to

see that they're talking about the fact that within different racial and ethnic minority cultures, views about what is the family, the traditional views of family, predominant religions, traditional gender roles, all of these are things that are likely to vary across different cultures.

2.0

2.1

And, so, all of those things -- I assume what they are getting to is that all of those things, since they vary across these different groups, and all of those institutions and traditions, might be related to how one understands what a lesbian identity would mean.

That's how you could have variation among racial, ethnic, and cultural backgrounds, in terms of understanding what it means to be a lesbian.

Q. All right. And please turn to page 25, where it says, "How the Committee Defines Lesbian."

And -- well, the first sentence I'll skip. It says that numerous definitions have been suggested. And you can read that and see what it says. But starting with the second sentence, it says:

"In general, sexual orientation is most often described as including behavioral, affective (i.e., desire or attraction), and cognitive (i.e. identity) dimensions that occur along continua. That is, women may exhibit differing degrees of same-sex sexual

1 behavior, desire, or identity in combinations that vary from person to person." 2 3 Now, we've talked about these different components. 4 Here, it says each of those components "occurs along a 5 continua, and that women may exhibit differing degrees of 6 same-sex sexual behavior, desire, or identity in combinations 7 that vary from person to person." Do you agree with that? 8 9 And, you know, who they cite here is Laumann, et al. we are back to those Venn diagrams. 10 And so what they are saying is, I assume -- and, 11 again, I haven't read this in its entirety. But I assume that 12 where they are going with this is to point to the same thing 13 that we were talking about this morning, of there being groups 14 that are consistent, in terms of their identity, attraction, 15 and behavior, but also some individuals that are not. 16 17 And I would imagine that, for their purposes, they want to be as inclusive as possible, so they are going to 18 consider all of these different dimensions in their report. 19 2.0 All right. Thank you. 21 And, please, turn to page 33. And at the beginning 22 of the second full paragraph on the page they write: 23 "The committee strongly believes that there 24 is no one right way to define who is a lesbian." 25

1 Do you agree with that?

A. Well, I think this is what I was saying earlier. They go on to say that the -- it's going to depend on the goal of your research study. So they say:

"For a researcher designing a study on lesbian health, the recommended course is to develop measures that gather information about the aspects of lesbian orientation that are relevant to the specific project at hand."

Q. Okay.

2.0

A. This is similar to what I was saying earlier. If you are, for example, studying sexually-transmitted diseases, you would probably want a definition that focuses on sexual behavior.

If you are studying the impact of experiences with discrimination, you would probably want a definition that focuses on identity.

- Q. All right. They -- excuse me. Are you done?
- $\|\mathbf{A}$. I think so.

I was just going to say, again, I'm at a certain disadvantage from not having read the entire thing and being able to talk about this in its context.

23 Q. I understand. Thank you.

Now, they do go on to say that sentence that you read. But let's read what they say right after that. They

say: 1 2 "Adopting this approach does not avoid the issue of lesbian definition. Rather, it 3 4 builds on the need to accept the complexity of sexual orientation and the social context 5 in which it is embedded." 6 7 Do you disagree with that? Well, again, I'd say read the next sentence. 8 9 "In essence, lesbians should be defined to meet the needs of specific research studies, 10 11 interventions, or programs of care, within 12 generally-accepted conceptual boundaries, 13 with recognition of the three dimensions through which sexual orientation is most 14 15 often defined: Identity, attraction or desire, and behavior." 16 17 And I believe that's consistent with what I've been 18 saying. 19 Okay. Thank you. 2.0 MR. NIELSON: Your Honor, I would like to admit -- or 21 offer to admit DIX1268 into evidence. MR. DETTMER: No objection, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: 1268 is admitted. 23 (Defendants' Exhibit 1268 received in evidence.) 24 25 MR. NIELSON: All right. Thank you.

BY MR. NIELSON:

- 2 Q. Please turn to tab 27 in the binder. Can you identify
- 3 | this document, Professor Herek?
- 4 A. This is a chapter by John Gonsiorek and James Weinrich,
- 5 | titled "The Definition and Scope of Sexual Orientation."
- And although it's not -- oh, here it is. And this is
 from a 1991 book titled Homosexuality: Research Implications

 for Public Policy."
- 9 \mathbf{Q} . All right. Thank you.
- MR. NIELSON: And, Your Honor, this is premarked

 PIX912 -- PX912. And I believe it was on the list of exhibits

 that plaintiffs offered this morning.
- 13 | THE COURT: It is.
- 14 MR. NIELSON: Thank you.

as opposed to "homosexual."

15 BY MR. NIELSON:

19

- 16 Q. Now, please look at page 2. And you discuss in -- the
- 17 authors, excuse me, discuss in the middle of the first
- 18 column -- there's a discussion about the words "lesbian," "gay"
- 20 And then skipping to where it's -- to the sentence, 21 the fourth sentence, it says:
- 22 | "It can be argued that the words 'gay' and
- 23 | 'lesbian' really describe a particular
- 24 | identity that goes beyond mere description,
- is not accurate for many homosexually

1 behaving and desiring individuals, and is 2 primarily rooted in the sociopolitical 3 context of the mid- and late-20th century 4 western world." 5

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Do you believe that's an unreasonable statement?
- Well, you know, I believe this is the same statement, almost verbatim, that -- John Gonsiorek, the author of this paper, was also one of the authors of the paper we discussed a few exhibits ago, in Suicide & Life-Threatening Behavior, in that journal.

And I believe that this is roughly the same thing that he said there; talking about, you know, concerns about terminology. So I -- I would say it is accurate that you can make that argument.

It can be argued that the words "gay" and "lesbian" really describe a particular identity that goes beyond mere description. Meaning, that it's not simply a descriptive term as they would -- I assume, would say the word "homosexual" is more of a descriptive term, describing simply a type of sexual attraction or behavior.

It could be argued that it is not accurate for many homosexually behaving and desiring individuals. And we've talked about the Laumann and Gagnon study repeatedly. And it's

primarily rooted in the sociopolitical context of the mid- and 2 late-20th century Western world, although, the use of both 3 words to describe homosexuality has a venerable history. And, there again, I think that's consistent with what we've been 5 saying all along. 6 So I would say that the statement that all of those 7 positions can be argued is reasonable. All right. Thank you. 8 9 And the paragraph at the bottom of that page, again, it starts with "bisexual" -- it says: 10 "Bisexual experience is common both 11 historically and currently among individuals 12 13 who self-identify as less began or gay. A cross-cultural study of male homosexuals in 14 the United States, Holland, and Denmark ..." 15 I'll skip the citation. You can read that. 16 "... found that 36 percent to 59 percent of 17 homosexual individuals studied, depending on 18 the country, had had heterosexual 19 2.0 intercourse. Yet these men thought of 2.1 themselves as gay and were drawn from gay communities." 22 23 Do those statistics surprise you? 24 Well, they cite the Weinberg & Williams 1974 book. And 25 it's been a while since I've looked at that book. But my

recollection is that it was not based on a probability or a representative sample in any of those countries; but, rather, these were samples -- we sometimes call them convenient samples, but samples that are not -- who's represented in this of the larger population remains unknown.

So I would be very careful in looking at the proportions here. But I would also say that it is, I think, the case that most people are brought up in society assuming that they will be heterosexual.

Little boys are taught that they will grow up and marry a girl. Little girls are taught they will grow up and marry a boy. And growing up with those expectations, it is not uncommon for people to engage in sexual behavior with someone of the other sex, possibly before they have developed their real sense of who they are, of what their sexual orientation is.

And I think that's one of the reasons why we do see that among lesbians and gay men it is not uncommon for individuals to report that, at one point in their lives, they did experience heterosexual intercourse. Although; it is not part of their identity. It's not part of who they are, and not indicative of their current attractions.

Q. All right. Thank you.

2.0

And, indeed, you mentioned lesbians, as well. And the next sentence says:

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | **A.**

2.0

"The sexual experience of lesbians is at least as diverse, and probably more so, with estimates of 81% and 74%, respectively" -- and I gather "respectively" because they're citing two studies there -- "of lesbian women who had engaged in heterosexual intercourse."

Again, do those statistics surprise you in any way?

A. Well, once again, I don't believe they are citing data from representative samples. So these would be the percentages of women in those particular samples.

And -- oh, I believe, yes, I was puzzled, too, by that word "respectively." And I believe that one statistic goes with the Bell & Weinberg study; one statistic goes with the Reinisch, et al. study.

But, again, I would just point out that the actual percentages are not something we can generalize to the larger population. But it is certainly consistent with what I was saying.

And, in fact, I would agree with them, that it may be even more likely for woman to have experienced heterosexual intercourse, partly because of the status of women in society and the fact that young women are often pressured in many ways to marry heterosexually, perhaps before they have really developed a sense of their own sexual orientation.

Q. All right. Thank you.

1 And on the next page they write, the first full 2 paragraph: "Cultural factors are also relevant. Many 3 4 societies do not conceptualize diversity in sexual behavior along dimensions of 5 6 homosexuality/heterosexual at all. In some 7 cultural/ethnic groups both in and outside the Western world, same-sex behavior is not 8 9 seen as homosexual orientation, which is defined instead by social sex role or 10 11 participation in specific sexual acts." Do you agree with that? 12 13 That there are cultures in which engaging in same-sex behavior is not seen as equivalent to a homosexual orientation? 14 15 Would I agree with that? 16 Q. Yes. I would agree with that. 17 18 Q. All right. Thank you. 19 MR. NIELSON: And, Your Honor, I would like to offer -- well, actually, this is already in the record. 2.0 BY MR. NIELSON: 21 Please turn to tab 27A in the witness binder. 22 23 And here you'll find a document premarked DIX658.

Oh, I'm sorry. I'm getting mixed up.

24

25

Q.

27A.

- 1 \mathbf{A} . I had it upside down. Okay.
- 2 Q. Do you see that?
- 3 || **A.** Say the number again, please.
- $4 \parallel Q$. It's 27A.
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- 6 **Q.** And it's DIX658.
- 7 **A.** Yes.
- 8 | Q. Can you identify this document?
- 9 A. This is an article from The Journal of Pediatrics, from
- 10 | 1992, by several authors. The first one is Gary Remafedi. And
- 11 | it is entitled "Demography of Sexual Orientation in
- 12 | Adolescence."
- 13 Q. All right. Thank you.
- 14 And are you familiar with this?
- 15 | A. Well, this is another one that I suspect I read back in
- 16 the early '90s. I'm not sure if I've looked at it more
- 17 | recently.
- 18 Q. All right. Thank you.
- 19 Please turn to page 719. And look at the second
- 20 column under the discussion. It reads, the first sentence
- 21 reads:
- 22 Sexual orientation has been defined as a
- 23 consistent pattern of sexual arousal towards
- 24 persons of the same and/or opposite gender,
- 25 encompassing fantasy, conscious attractions,

1 emotional and romantic feelings, sexual 2 behaviors, and possibly other components." 3 Then the next sentences goes on to say: "Since the heterosexual or homosexual 4 direction of the individual events mentioned 5 6 may be at variance with another, numerous 7 permutations of orientation are possible and probable in human populations." 8 9 Do you see that?

 $10 \, || \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{Yes}$

2.0

- 11 **Q.** Do you agree that the individual dimensions of sexual orientation may be at variance with one another for an individual --
- 14 A. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to interrupt.
- 15 Q. No, go ahead.

populations?

- 16 A. Well, as I've been saying, the data indicate that for most 17 people they are not, but for some people they are.
- Q. Okay. And do you believe that numerous permutations of orientation are possible and indeed probable in human
- A. Well, I think this is where we might go back to that Klein grid, where they had the 21 different squares that people could fill in. There's a very complex set of combinations you could draw from that, those 21 boxes.
- 25 It turns out that when factor analyses of that grid

1 have been done, they boil down to, in terms of sexuality, at 2 least one major underlying dimension.

So I would say that, in theory, it would seem that you could have a very large number of permutations and combinations. But, in reality, that's probably not very common. That most people do, in fact, maintain or do report some consistency in these different dimensions of their sexual orientation.

Q. All right.

2.0

10 MR. NIELSON: Your Honor, I would like to offer 11 DIX658.

THE COURT: Hearing no objection, 658 is admitted.

(Defendants' Exhibit 658 received in evidence.)

14 BY MR. NIELSON:

Q. All right. Now, Professor Herek, I could like to discuss whether, as a matter of fact, sexual orientation does change over time for some individuals.

And, now, some people do experience considerable fluidity in their sexual orientation throughout their lives, correct?

A. Some people experience change and fluidity over the course of their lives. This is something that happens, apparently, spontaneously with the individual, as opposed to some intervention being done to make the individual change.

But we do know that these changes do occur in some

peoples' lives.

2.0

Q. All right. Thank you.

And for purposes of right now, I'm not particularly interested in discussing the intervention aspect versus other causes, but just whether it's a fact changes do occur.

So some research data suggests that women are somewhat more likely to perceive their sexuality as fluid and involving some degree of choice, correct?

- A. Yes, I think that when we look at women's histories, we do more often see the idea that their -- their romantic relationships and their experiences of sexuality are more likely to change, or change in more women than is the case for men, over the course of their lives.
- 14 Q. All right. Thank you.

Now, on your -- in your direct testimony, you discussed studies that you conducted, in which you found that a great many gay men and lesbians felt that they experienced either no choice at all or very little choice about their sexual orientation, correct?

- A. That's correct.
- **Q.** All right. And in these studies, you collected data using 22 sexual identity as opposed to sexual attraction or sexual 23 behavior, correct?
 - **A.** Well, I'm not sure that I completely understand the question.

Q. How did you identify the sample of individuals that you asked the question to? They were based on self-identified, were they not?

2.0

A. I see. Okay. Well, these were -- there was a multiple-stage process of -- of obtaining the sample. This was from a -- a survey organization that has basically recruited a very large number of participants to take part in Internet research, and has provided them with the equipment to do that if they didn't already have it.

They have asked the participants in their panel in this large group many demographic questions. One of which was, Are you -- I may not be getting the wording exactly right, but it was something to the effect of, Are you lesbian, gay, or bisexual?

And so if they had answered yes to that question, they were considered eligible for participation in one of the studies that I did, the one that I was talking about earlier.

In that study, there was then an initial series of screening questions that asked them about their sexual orientation. And in terms of -- it was -- we basically gave them five categories. One -- and the questionnaire was tailored to the specific sex of the individual.

But I'll tell you, for example, the one directed at men. It would ask them which of the following best described them: Gay or homosexual, bisexual mainly attracted to men,

- 1 | bisexual equally attracted to both men and women, bisexual
- 2 | mainly attracted to women, heterosexual, or straight. So, in
- 3 | that sense, it really was a bit of a combination of identity
- 4 | labels and patterns of attraction.
- 5 $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$ Okay. But your initial pool from which you drew were all
- 6 | self-identified -- individuals who self-identified as lesbian,
- 7 | gay, or bisexual, correct?
- 8 A. They had said yes to the question I described.
- 9 One thing that we came to realize was that,
- 10 especially for the bisexual individuals, it's possible that
- 11 | they interpreted -- they could have interpreted that as a
- 12 | question about their patterns of attraction or behavior as well
- 13 as identity.
- 14 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 15 And you relied on self-reporting in these surveys,
- 16 | correct?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 \mathbf{Q} . Okay. Thank you.
- 19 And these studies did not ask any questions that went
- 20 to whether people's sexual orientation had changed, correct?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. And so these studies do not really shed any light on this
- 23 | question, correct?
- 24 || **A.** On --
- 25 \mathbb{Q} . On the question of whether people's sexual orientation had

changed.

2

3

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- A. No, we did not ask questions about that.
- **Q.** Okay. Thank you.

And if you are trying to predict for any specific individual whether their identity will predict their sexual behavior in the future, that can be problematic, correct?

- A. I think that if we are talking about general patterns, again, if I were a betting person, I would say that you would do well to bet that their future sexual behavior will correspond to their current identity. But you should also realize that for some individuals that would not be the case.
- Q. All right. Thank you.

Please turn to tab 2 in your binder. And this is a transcript of your deposition. And I'd like you to turn to page 105. That's the deposition page. And the page at the bottom of the page is 27. There's four pages per page, so it's a little complicated.

- 18 **A.** Okay. Say the page again, please.
- 19 \mathbb{Q} . The page at the bottom is 27, and the deposition page is
- 20 | 105.
- 21 **A.** Okay.
- 22 Q. And I'd like you to look at lines 15 through 18 on -- on 23 that page.
- 24 | MR. DETTMER: I'm sorry. Did you say 27?
- 25 MR. NIELSON: It's page 105 in the deposition. The

 $1 \parallel 27$ is just the page at the bottom.

2 BY MR. NIELSON:

- 3 \mathbf{Q} . All right. Now, have you had a chance to look at those
- 4 | lines?
- $5 \parallel \mathbf{A}$. Yes.
- 6 Q. Did you give that testimony at your deposition?
- $7 \| \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{Yes} \|$
- 8 MR. NIELSON: Okay. And I'd like to read that, Your
- 9 | Honor. He said:
- 10 | "Now, that said, if you are trying to predict
- 11 for any specific individual whether their
- 12 identity will predict their sexual behavior
- in the future, especially, that can be
- 14 problematic."

15 BY MR. NIELSON:

- 16 Q. All right. Thank you.
- 17 And we certainly know that people report that they
- 18 | have experienced a change in their sexual orientation at
- 19 | various points in their life, correct?
- 20 $\|\mathbf{A}_{\bullet}\|$ I'm sorry. Could you say the question one more time.
- 21 $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$ Sorry. We certainly know that people report that they
- 22 | have experienced a change in their sexual orientation at
- 23 | various points in their life, correct?
- 24 **A.** Some people do report that, yes.
- 25 \mathbf{Q} . Okay. Thank you.

But we don't know why, in every case, people who have experienced a change in their sexual orientation at some point in their life, exactly why that happened in every case, correct?

A. That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

6 Q. All right. Thank you.

And people do not always have a knowledge of their mental processes, correct?

A. Uhm, that's true.

We see that especially in social psychological studies that have looked at prejudice. And it's been repeatedly documented that people are not always aware of their prejudices and biases. And so if you ask them about it, they cannot tell you exactly what -- what's going on. But then other -- other measures have shown that they do in fact have those prejudices and biases.

- Q. All right. Thank you.
- So you agree that people don't always have a knowledge of mental processes?
- 20 **A.** I do.
- 21 **Q.** Okay. Thank you.
- 22 Please turn to page 27C in the witness binder.
- 23 **A.** Tab 27 --
- 24 | Q. 27C.
- 25 MR. NIELSON: And, Your Honor, I want to bring --

```
this is a transcript of portions of the deposition testimony of
   Ms. Stier, the plaintiff in the case. And this deposition was
 2
 3
   provisionally designated as confidential. And plaintiffs had
 4
    30 days to designate those portions of the deposition that they
 5
   wished to retain that classification. And they didn't do so.
 6
             And I -- I would like to read some of this into the
 7
   record, but -- I think the parts I'm planning to read are not
   sensitive.
 8
 9
             But, if there are any concerns, I don't have any
   objection to clearing the courtroom and turning off the camera,
10
11
    if that's what you would like to do.
             MR. DETTMER: Could I --
12
13
             MR. NIELSON: Shall I --
             (Simultaneous colloquy.)
14
              THE COURT: Identify which portion you would like to
15
16
   read.
17
             MR. NIELSON: Yes, I would. I'll identify them.
                                                                The
    lines I'm going to read are page 22, 11 through 15. 24, 5
18
    through 11 -- excuse me. 22, 11 through 15. 24, 5 through 11.
19
   And 198, 24 through 199, 3. So there's just three passages.
2.0
21
    Though, I'll break them up a little.
22
              THE COURT: Give me the last again, 199.
23
             MR. NIELSON: 198, 24 through 199, 3.
24
              THE COURT: All right.
25
             MR. NIELSON: Your Honor, if I could ask a question
```

to opposing counsel, through the Court. Is there any objection to my reading these portions of the transcript? 2 3 THE COURT: Mr. Dettmer. 4 MR. DETTMER: If you could give us just one moment to 5 look over them. 6 MR. NIELSON: All right. And while they are looking, 7 I will note, I believe these are not particularly sensitive. THE COURT: I beg your pardon? 8 9 MR. NIELSON: I said, Your Honor, while they're looking I will note I was careful to try and pick things that I 10 11 don't believe are particularly sensitive. And I believe they are quite similar to plaintiff's testimony in open court. 12 13 MR. DETTMER: Your Honor, we don't have an objection. THE COURT: Fine. You may proceed. 14 15 MR. NIELSON: Thank you. BY MR. NIELSON: 16 17 All right. Professor Herek, are you aware that Ms. Stier Q. was previously married to a man? 18 19 Uhm, I believe I was aware of that, yes. 2.0 All right. Thank you. Q. 21 And if you look at deposition transcript, page 22, 22 11, at lines 11 and 12 the witness, which is Ms. Stier, says, 23 quote: 24 "When I married Matthew, I did love him." 25 Does that surprise you?

```
Uhm, I have no expectations about it, so it doesn't
 2
    surprise me.
 3
              (Laughter)
 4
         All right. Thank you.
 5
              And then the next three lines, it says:
 6
              "The question is, were you attracted to him
 7
              physically?"
              And she responds:
 8
 9
              "I did have an attraction to him."
              Again, is that something that does not surprise you?
10
11
         Again, I have no expectations, but -- so I am not
    surprised.
12
13
         All right. Thank you.
              And page 24, lines 5 through -- well, 5 through 7,
14
15
   Ms. Stier says:
16
              "In 1987, when we got married in November, we
17
              both -- I'll speak for myself only. It was
              my goal to have a meaningful marriage."
18
              And in response to the question:
19
              "Did you have a meaningful marriage for any
2.0
2.1
              period of time up until the time that you
22
              were separated and eventually divorced?" she
23
              answered:
              "Yes, I did."
24
              And, again, is that something that doesn't surprise
25
```

1 you?

2.0

- A. And I would just give the same response.
- Q. Okay. Thank you.

And then, please, turn to page 198 to 199. And I'm going to start reading with line 24. And the question is:

"Has it always been the case that you've had an enduring pattern or disposition to experience sexual, affectional, or romantic desires for and attractions to women? Has that always been the case in your life?"

And the answer is:

"No, it has not."

Does that surprise you?

A. I would say that that's consistent, in part, with what I was saying earlier about the expectation that most people are raised with that they will be heterosexual.

And so I think that when you ask people about their entire life, you would be including that period for a number of people who identify as gay or lesbian. And they might very well be able to point to a portion of their life in which they were at least assuming that they were themselves heterosexual, and did experience some sort of attraction for people of the other sex, and, at the same time, did not acknowledge or experience attractions toward people of the same sex at that one point in their lives.

- Q. So that using the "enduring attraction" definition, which is one of the ones you offered, at that point in her life,
- 3 Ms. Stier would not have been gay, correct?

2.0

A. Well, you know, when you asked me about what constitutes
an enduring pattern or how long this takes, I said we don't
have a specific amount of time.

But in this question, you're asking her about that -you're defining that time period. It appears to me, anyway, as
being her entire life.

And I don't think that that's part of the definition, that people are this for their entire life, that they experience this over the course of their entire life.

I would say that experiencing it for what the individual perceives to be a significant period of time in their life is what's referred to here, not that people have been this way for their entire life.

Q. All right. Thank you.

Now, a 2003 survey of California adults found that approximately 9 percent of gay men and 25 percent of lesbians 18 to 59 years of age reported having ever been married. Most of them presumably to a person of the same [sic] sex, correct?

- A. Was that the -- was that in the report that the UCLA researchers produced from the Williams Institute at UCLA?
- Q. It's something you cited in your expert report, actually.
- || **A.** Okay. I didn't footnote it, though?

- 1 \mathbb{Q} . It's note 37. It's -- if you would like to look at it,
- 2 | it's tab 1 has your expert report.
- 3 A. I believe that's the UCLA report. It would just help me
- 4 to know that for sure, if you're going to ask about it.
- 5 || Q. It's note 37 on page 18, if you want to review that to
- 6 | refresh your memory. It says, "Carpenter & Gates, 2008, Table
- 7 | 3)."
- 8 A. Oh, okay. So, yeah, that's a different one than what I
- 9 was thinking of. Okay.
- 10 Q. All right. And that's consistent with Ms. Stier's
- 11 | experience, correct?
- 12 A. Well, that -- that some portion of lesbians and gay men
- 13 report having been married in the past, yes, I believe that is
- 14 | consistent with her experience.
- 15 Q. All right. Thank you.
- 16 And, please, turn to tab 28 in the witness binder.
- 17 MR. NIELSON: Before I do that, Your Honor, I would
- 18 | like to offer the portions of the transcript of Ms. Stier's
- 19 deposition that I read as -- into evidence as admissions.
- 20 | THE COURT: Very well. I assume no objection.
- 21 MR. DETTMER: No objection, Your Honor.
- 22 THE COURT: Very well.
- 23 MR. NIELSON: All right. Thank you.
- 24 BY MR. NIELSON:
- 25 Q. And, as I said, please turn to tab 28. And you'll find a

- 1 document premarked DIX1009.
- 2 **A.** 1009 yes.
- 3 \mathbb{Q} . Can you identify that document?
- $4 \parallel A$. This is an -- a report from researchers at UCLA, at the
- 5 | Williams Institute at that university, titled "Marriage,
- 6 Registration and Dissolution by Same-Sex Couples in the U.S., "
- 7 published in 2008.
- 8 Q. And who are listed as the authors of the report?
- 9 A. Gary Gates, M.V. Badgett, and Deborah Ho.
- 10 Q. All right. Thank you.
- 11 And, please, look at page 10 in the report. And you
- 12 | will see a figure at the bottom that says, "Figure 5." Well,
- 13 | it's not just the bottom; it's half of the page.
- 14 Percent previously married among individuals
- and couples who seek marriage or legal
- 16 recognition.
- And from the context, if you read above it, it
- 18 says -- it's talking of same-sex couples, individuals and
- 19 | same-sex couples who have been previously married.
- 20 And you'll see for California the percentage of males
- 21 || in couples seeking marriage or legal recognition is 20 percent
- 22 who were previously married, and for women it was 29 percent.
- 23 || Correct?
- 24 **A.** That's what it shows, yes.
- 25 $||\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}||$ Yes. Thank you.

1 And, again, so the fact that Ms. Stier was formerly married to an opposite-sex spouse is not particularly unusual, 2 3 correct? 4 That's correct. 5 Q. All right. Thank you. 6 MR. NIELSON: Your Honor -- excuse me. 7 Professor Herek, could you please turn to tab 28A in the witness binder. 8 9 And, Your Honor, this is, again, the same thing with Plaintiff Perry's deposition testimony. And the specific lines 10 11 that I would like to read are on page 152, from 7 to 15. And I guess I'd like to ask, through the Court, plaintiffs' counsel 12 13 if they have any objection to my reading that. MR. DETTMER: Your Honor, if I could just have a 14 15 moment to look at that. 16 THE COURT: Beg your pardon? MR. NIELSON: It's page 152, line 7 through 15. 17 THE COURT: 152, line 7 through? 18 19 MR. NIELSON: 15, Your Honor. 20 MR. DETTMER: Your Honor, subject to some time to 21 obviously supplement with counterdesignations under the rule of 22 completeness, we won't object. 23 THE COURT: Well, I was -- apropos that, I wondered, 24 Mr. Nielson, would you object to having the question and then 25 the entire answer read?

```
1
             MR. NIELSON: Not at all.
 2
              THE COURT: All right.
 3
              MR. NIELSON: Not at all.
 4
              But, Your Honor, I'm not sure that for an admission
 5
   that's the --
 6
              THE COURT: What's that?
 7
             MR. NIELSON: For an admission, I'm not sure that
   counterdesignations are appropriate.
 8
 9
              THE COURT: Well, no, but completeness certainly is.
   And that's why I was suggesting you would read the entire
10
11
   answer.
12
              MR. NIELSON: That would be fine. But I'm just
13
   objecting to the idea of some supplemental designation down the
14
   road.
15
              All right. And I will read the question and, I
   guess, the complete answer then. Would that be acceptable? I
16
17
   guess I'll be going back to page 151, line 22. Is that
   acceptable, Your Honor?
18
19
              THE COURT: That's --
2.0
             MR. NIELSON: Okay.
              "Could you explain a little bit as to how
21
              that" --
22
23
              Well, that's a pretty --
24
              THE COURT: Hold on a second. Maybe you really need
25
   to go back to line 16, on 151.
```

1 MR. NIELSON: 2 THE COURT: Sets the context. 3 MR. NIELSON: Yes, Your Honor. 4 "QUESTION: Did you go through a process in 5 ultimately arriving at the conclusion that 6 you were going to identify as a lesbian? Did 7 it take place over time? "ANSWER: It was a process. It took place 8 9 over a period of time. "QUESTION: Could you explain a little bit as 10 11 to how that process took place? 12 "ANSWER: Well, I guess for me the earliest 13 conscious memory I have wondering if I might be a lesbian was when I felt a strong 14 15 attraction to a woman in college. And since I wasn't certain that it might just be a 16 17 single incident or event or a single person, it took a few years after that point in time 18 to continue to date or feel attracted to 19 2.0 understand maybe this enduring pattern in me. So I was aware for other people in the world 2.1 they had made that decision. So there were 22 23 people in the world who I might be like that 24 were gay or lesbian or heterosexual, but I 25 wasn't certain until I had had enough other

experiences to know that perhaps most likely
I am a lesbian. And after having a few years
of experience, I arrived at that conclusion
and adopted that sexual orientation for
myself."

BY MR. NIELSON:

2.0

- Q. Does the phrase "I adopted" -- "and adopted that sexual orientation for myself," does that surprise you in any way?
- A. Well, I think that what she's describing in this entire response is that idea that sexual orientation is generally understood by not only researchers but by many lay individuals as involving an enduring pattern.

And so I think what she's describing here is that she experienced these attractions as an enduring pattern, and it was after recognizing this pattern in herself that she adopted the label of "lesbian" or that she decided that that was an appropriate way to identify herself.

Q. All right. Thank you.

MR. NIELSON: And, Your Honor, I would like to move that portion of the transcript that I read into evidence as an admission.

THE COURT: Very well. I assume no objection.

MR. DETTMER: (Shakes head.)

24 BY MR. NIELSON:

Q. All right. Professor Herek, please, turn to tab 29 in the

- 1 witness binder. And here you'll find an exhibit premarked
- 2 DIX1010. Do you see that?
- $3 \, | \, \mathbf{A}$. Yes.
- 4 | Q. Can you identify this document?
- 5 A. It's titled "A New Look at Women's Sexuality & Sexual
- 6 | Orientation. The authors are Linda Garnets and Letitia Anne
- 7 | Peplau. And it is published in "CSW update."
- I have to confess, I'm not sure what that is.
- 9 Q. All right. And you're familiar with Professor Garnets and
- 10 | Professor Peplau, correct?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- And, please, look at page 5, with the page numbers.
- 14 And there is a subtitle. That says, "The Fluidity of Women's
- 15 | Sexuality & Sexual Orientation."
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 \mathbb{Q} . And I'm going to read starting with the second sentence.
- 18 | It says:
- 19 | "Scholars for many disciplines have noted
- 20 that women's sexuality tends to be fluid,
- 21 malleable, shaped by life experiences, and
- 22 capable of change over time."
- 23 Do you agree with that characterization of women's
- 24 | sexuality?
- 25 | A. Well, I would agree with that statement that scholars from

many disciplines have noted that many aspects of women's
sexuality tends to be very sensitive to environmental cues.

This is not only related to sexual orientation or the sex of a
partner, but also the frequency of having sex, the types of
sexual behavior that are engaged in and enjoyed.

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

A number of different aspects of women's sexuality have -- the research literature indicates that there is a greater sensitivity among women than among men to environmental influences or situational influences, in many aspects of their sexuality.

Q. All right. And let's read the next sentence. It says: "Female sexual development is a potentially continuous, lifelong process in which multiple changes in sexual orientation are possible."

Do you agree with that statement?

A. Well, I would say that depending upon exactly what you consider "development," it is a potentially lifelong, continuous process.

This is the general view of all kinds of development, that people are developing throughout their lives. So in that regard, sexual development is also probably a lifelong, continuous process.

The idea of multiple changes in sexual orientation being possible, I would certainly say that's true. It is

```
possible. And in some cases it happens. But as we've
 2
   discussed a number of times, for many people, in fact most
 3
   people, it doesn't seem to happen.
 4
        All right. Thank you.
 5
             Let's continue then. It says:
 6
              "Women's sexuality is responsive throughout
 7
              the lifespan to a wide range of social,
              cognitive, and environmental influences.
 8
 9
             Women who have had exclusively heterosexual
             experiences may develop an attraction to
10
11
              other women and vice versa."
             Do you agree with that?
12
13
        Yes, I think that that's an accurate characterization of
    the -- of the literature.
14
15
   Q. All right. Thank you.
16
             MR. NIELSON: Your Honor, I would like to submit
17
   DIX1010 into evidence.
             MR. DETTMER: Your Honor, subject to the same
18
   objection earlier, that Professor Peplau has been here and
19
   subject to cross examination.
2.0
2.1
              THE COURT: Very well. The witness has been examined
   with respect to the substance of this. I think it's
22
23
   appropriate to admit it. At the very least, take judicial
24
   notice of it under the evidence rule. But you may proceed.
25
              (Defendants' Exhibit 2292 received in evidence.)
```

1 MR. NIELSON: Thank you very much.
2 BY MR. NIELSON:

Q. Professor Herek, please turn to tab 30 in the witness
binder. You'll find here a document premarked DIX1229. Could

5 you read that. Could you identify that?

A. This is an article characterized as a Distinguished

Scholar Article in the journal *Personal Relationships*, from

8 2001. The title is, "Rethinking women's sexual orientation:

9 An interdisciplinary, relationship-focused approach." And the 10 author is Letitia Anne Peplau.

11 Q. All right. Thank you.

12 And are you familiar with this document?

13 $\|$ **A.** No. I've never seen this before.

- 14 Q. You are familiar with Professor Peplau, correct?
- 15 A. As I've said, yes, I am.
- 16 Q. And you believe she has a good reputation as a scholar in
- 17 | her field, correct?

24

25

- 18 **A.** I believe she has a very solid reputation.
- 19 Q. All right. Thank you.

20 Please look at page 5 of this article. And halfway
21 down the first column you'll see a -- a subheading,

22 | "Within-person variability across time and social setting."And 23 | it reads:

"Although some may think of sexual orientation as determined early in life and

relatively unchanging from then on, growing 1 2 evidence indicates that the nature of a 3 women's intimate relationships can change 4 throughout her life and differ across social 5 settings." 6 Do you agree with that statement? 7 Again, I think this is consistent with what I've been This can happen. 8 saying. All right. Thank you. And, please, turn to pages 13 and 14. They're 10 11 together. You can open them up, and you'll be able to read. 12 And in the sentence that starts at the very bottom of 13 the second column on page 13, Professor Peplau writes: "Hazan and Diamond" -- and, again, I believe 14 15 this is the Professor Diamond that we've discussed -- "Rejected the idea that 16 17 individuals have specific gender-based images of a suitable partner and suggested instead 18 that the 'search image for human mating 19 is ... inherently flexible.' Just as infants 2.0 2.1 can form attachments to a wide range of potential caretakers, so too adults can 22 23 become infatuated and bond with a range of 24 partners." 25 Do you agree with that statement? Or let me rephrase

- 1 that. Do you agree with Hazan and Diamond's position as
- 2 described here by Professor Peplau?
- 3 A. Well, I actually don't believe I have read the article by 4 Hazan and Diamond, so I don't know that I can agree or disagree
- 5 | with it.
- 6 Q. You --
- 7 | A. I honestly -- I don't believe I've read that article. So
- 8 | knowing exactly what is involved in -- in this -- I mean, you
- 9 have Dr. Peplau making a one-sentence characterization of the
- 10 | article. And it's not that I would suspect her of doing that
- 11 | inaccurately. But as far as deciding whether this is something
- 12 | I agree with or not, I would really need to read the article to
- 13 get the context for it.
- 14 Q. So if I were to ask you, is the idea that -- okay, that
- 15 | individuals have a -- that the search image for human mating is
- 16 | inherently flexible; and just as infants can form attachments
- 17 to a wide range of potential caretakers, so too adults can
- 18 | become infatuated and bond with a range of partners, if I just
- 19 stated it like that, would you disagree with it?
- 20 A. Well, you know, I think that those terms "infatuated" and
- 21 | "bonding" and the use of the terms around attachment, all of
- 22 | this suggests to me -- again, without having read the article,
- 23 | but this may actually be talking more about the experience of
- 24 | love, romantic love, or very strong feelings of platonic love,
- 25 as opposed to sexual attraction.

So, again, I'm just not sure of the context. You
know, and I haven't read this article by Dr. Peplau, so I'm -and then she's quoting from this other article that I haven't
read. So it's very difficult to try to comment on two things
for which I don't really have a good context.

- Q. I'm actually just asking you if you agree with the statement that's written there. And is your answer that you just can't, one way or the other, without knowing more?
- 9 A. My answer is that I don't -- I don't think I can, without 10 having read the entire article.
- 11 Q. All right. Thank you.

6

7

8

14

15

16

17

18

20

22

23

24

- 12 MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, I would like to offer
 13 DIX1229 into evidence.
 - MR. DETTMER: Your Honor, again, this is an article that this witness hasn't seen, by a witness who was here last week. So we'd lodge objections on that.
 - THE COURT: I think it comes in under 803. At least under judicial notice. So we'll admit it for the record.

19 (Defendants' Exhibit 1229 received in evidence.)

MR. NIELSON: Thank you very much.

21 BY MR. NIELSON:

- Q. Now, please, turn back to tab 14, if you would. And you'll see -- here, you'll find a document that we've talked about earlier today.
- 25 | A. Yes.

```
If you could turn to page 333. And under "Within-Person
 2
   Variation or Change Over Time, " the second pull paragraph,
 3
   you'll see a line that says:
 4
              "Further, both women's identification as
 5
              lesbian, bisexual, or heterosexual and
 6
              women's actual behavior can vary over time."
 7
              You agree with that, correct?
         I'm sorry. I'm not sure where you are.
 8
 9
         Page 333.
   Q.
10
         I'm there.
   Α.
         The second full paragraph under "Within-Person Variation."
11
   Q.
         Oh, okay.
12
13
         The first sentence says:
   Q.
              "Further, both women's identification as
14
              lesbian, bisexual, or heterosexual and
15
              women's actual behavior can vary over time."
16
17
              And you agree with that, correct?
         I think I've said that several times, that that can
18
19
   happen, yes.
        All right. And page 345, if you could turn there.
2.0
21
              And in the second full paragraph on the page, it's
22
   quite near the bottom, actually, it's the last full paragraph
23
    on the page. Part way through it reads, quote:
24
              "The factors shaping women's attractions and
25
              relationships vary across a life cycle. For
```

1 example, the role of sexual arousal and passion may be different in the relationships 2 3 of adolescents, middle-aged women and older 4 adults. Although some women remain in the 5 same job throughout their life, other women 6 make major career changes. Similarly, 7 women's erotic and romantic attractions can also shift and change during their 8 9 lifetimes." Do you agree with that statement? 10 11 With the final statement? Well, let's break it up. 12 13 Do you agree that the factors shaping women's 14

attractions and relationships vary across the life cycle?

15 They can. Α.

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

16 Okay. Thank you.

> And do you agree that women's erotic and romantic attractions can shift and change during their lifetimes?

- It can. They can, yes.
- All right. Thank you. And that's already in.

Please turn to tab 34 in the witness binder. And 22 you'll find here a document premarked DIX1270. Can you 23 identify that document?

This is the title page from an edited book called The Psychology of Sexual Orientation, Behavior, and identity. A

Handbook. Edited by Louis Diamant and Richard McAnulty. All right. Thank you. 2 Q. And if you look inside the document, you'll see that 3 4 there's a specific chapter here. Can you identify that? 5 Yes. So this is a chapter in this 1995 book, by Michael 6 Kauth and Seth Kalichman, titled "Sexual Orientation and 7 Development: An Interactive Approach." All right. Thank you. 8 9 Now, please turn to page 82 of the -- of the page. Of the article. Excuse me. 10 11 And you'll see that it -- in the first column -well, it's actually just page 82, I guess. It says: 12 13 "By sexual orientation, we mean the cumulative experiences of interaction of 14 15 erotic fantasy, romantic-emotional feelings, and sexual behavior directed toward one or 16 17 both genders. These three somewhat independent and parallel dimensions are 18 traditionally conceived as being overlaid on 19 a plane of sexual orientation." 2.0 And that's similar to what we've discussed, correct? 21 I believe so. 22 23 Q. And then it says: 24 "This model suggests that sexual orientation 25 is not static and may vary throughout the

course of a lifetime." 1 2 Do you agree with that statement? 3 Α. As I said earlier, it is possible. And it may vary, yes. 4 All right. Thank you. 5 MR. NIELSON: Your Honor, I would like to offer DIX1270 into evidence. 6 7 THE COURT: Very well. 1270 is admitted. (Defendants' Exhibit 1270 received in evidence.) 8 9 MR. NIELSON: All right. Thank you. 10 BY MR. NIELSON: 11 And please turn back to tab 19 in the binder. 12 And this is something we discussed earlier today. 13 And please turn to page 4 in this printout of this article. And please look at the last full paragraph on the bottom of the 14 15 page. 16 We read a little bit from the paragraph that follows. 17 But in the last full paragraph, starting with the second sentence, it reads: 18 "There is essentially no research on the 19 longitudinal stability of sexual orientation 2.0 over the adult lifespan. In other words, 2.1 even if one could satisfactorily measure the 22 complex components of sexual orientation as 23 24 differentiated from other aspects of sexual 25 identity at one point in time, it is still an

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

unanswered question whether this measure will predict future behavior or orientation." Do you disagree with that statement?

Well, I believe that what they're talking about here is the absence of prospective longitudinal research, where the same individuals are followed over a long period of their lifetime, and where, ideally, you would do this with a large representative sample of individuals.

I would say that we certainly do have retrospective accounts from individuals pointing to consistency over the course of their lifetime, in terms of many of these variables.

And we can go back, yet again, to the Laumann and Gagnon study, which asked about attractions and identity in the present, but asked about sexual behavior in the past.

So this unanswered question about whether the measure will predict future behavior or orientation, I would say, given the way they phrase this, it would be an unanswered question in that they don't even -- are not proposing, I don't think, a particular measure that one would even use in this.

And so, again, I would say, as I said before, that if you are trying to predict a person's future sexual behavior, especially if this is an adult, someone who has gotten past adolescence and maybe even young adulthood, that you would probably do best to hypothesize that their behaviors will be consistent with their current sexual orientation, if in fact

they engage in sexual behaviors.

I believe one of the reservations I had in my deposition was that you might not even know that the individual is going to engage in any sexual behavior. So people end up being celibate or asexual for various reasons.

Q. Sure.

2.0

- A. But I would just say that, again, we do have these retrospective accounts. The prospective study with the large representative sample, it's true, we don't have.
- 10 Q. All right. Thank you.

And, now, I don't think they are talking about celibacy here. I think they're talking about just, you know, if you can satisfactorily -- even if you could satisfactorily measure the complex components of sexual orientation, as differentiated from other aspects of sexual identity, at one point in time it is still an unanswered question whether this measure will predict future behavior orientation. Do you disagree with that?

A. Well, you know, it's noteworthy that one of the authors of this paper is Randall Sell, who proposed that very complicated way of measuring sexual orientation.

So the statement that even if one could satisfactorily measure the complex components, I think, perhaps, he was alluding to what I think was something he was going to be publishing in the future. I don't think he had it

at the point that this paper was written.

But, yes, as far as, you know, predicting future behavior or orientation, we don't have that sort of large-scale prospective study.

Q. All right. Thank you.

2.0

2.1

Please, look at tab 16. This is the Laumann study again. And please turn to page 310.

And since we're talking about retrospective data, this is not longitudinal, to be sure. Starting at the bottom of 310, last full sentence on the page --

- **A.** I'm sorry, 310.
 - Q. Excuse me. Actually, it's not a full sentence. It's starts on -- it's the sentence that starts at the bottom of 310, and doesn't finish until -- until the next page.

"Beginning with the distribution of partners by gender in the last year, we find that 2.7 percent of the men had a male partner, and 1.3 percent of the women a female partner. Of these, about three out of four report having only same-gender partners in the past 12 months, while the other quarter had at least one partner of each gender."

Okay. Are you familiar with those statistics?

A. Yes. I -- I'm generally familiar with this. Those specific statistics I wouldn't have been able to quote you from

memory.

2.0

Q. All right. So that's for the last year. Then it goes on to say:

"In the past five years, 4.1 percent of the men and 2.2 percent of the women had at least one same-gender partner. About half these men had both male and female partners in this time period. The women are more likely than the men to have had sex with both men and women than only same-gender partners. Almost two-thirds of the women reporting a female partner in the last five years also report a male partner."

Are you familiar with those statistics,

15 | Professor Herek?

- **A.** Generally, yes.
- Q. Okay. Thank you.

"The proportion of the men with male partners since age 18 who report having had only male partners declines to about 20 percent of the total. For women, the comparable figure is about 10 percent."

Are you familiar with those figures?

- **A.** Again, in general, yes.
- \mathbb{Q} . So for one year it was 25 percent of men. And --

A. What?

- 2 \mathbb{Q} . For both, actually. And then in the past five years, it
- 3 was 50 percent for men and two-thirds for women. Since 18 it
- 4 was 20 percent for men and 10 percent for women, who had had
- 5 | exclusively same-sex partners, correct?
- 6 A. No, I don't think you said that correctly.
- 7 \mathbb{Q} . All right. Let's do it one at a time.
- 8 **A.** Okay.
- 9 Q. For the past year, for both men and women, of the
- 10 | individuals who had same-sex partners or a same-sex partner,
- 11 | 75 percent had only same-sex partners; whereas, 25 percent had
- 12 | at least one opposite-sex partner, correct?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. For the past five years, for all -- for men who had had a
- 15 | same-sex partner during that period, 50 percent had also had an
- 16 opposite sex partner, correct?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 Q. And for women during the five-year period -- of the women
- 19 who had had a same-sex partner during that period, two-thirds
- 20 | had also had a male partner, correct?
- 21 | A. Correct.
- 22 Q. And looking since age 18, of men who had male partners
- 23 | since 18, 80 percent had also had opposite-sex partners,
- 24 | correct?
- 25 **A.** Yes. Apparently, at least one opposite-sex partner.

- 1 Q. Yes. And for women, that comparable figure since 18 is 10
- 2 percent. So only 10 percent of the women who had had a
- 3 | same-sex partner since 18, had had exclusively same-sex
- 4 | partners, correct?
- $5 \, || \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{Yes} \cdot \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A}$

8

9

10

11

12

6 Q. And then it says:

"When the time period under consideration is extended to all partners since puberty, the proportion of men with only male partners declines again, to 10 percent of the men with any male partners."

Correct?

- 13 **A.** That's what it says.
- 14 Q. All right. And are you -- again, you're familiar with
- 15 | those statistics, correct?
- 16 A. Yes. And, as I said, it's not terribly surprising that
- 17 many lesbians and gay men, at some point in their life, do end
- 18 | up having sexual relationships with the person of the other
- 19 || sex.
- 20 $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$ And not just at some point in their life. In the past
- 21 | five years, at least half -- though, I guess, this is not --
- 22 this is in terms of behavior, though?
- 23 $\|$ A. Yeah, yeah. This is just talking about all the men and
- 24 | women who reported any instance of same-sex behavior, or of
- 25 same-sex attraction or interest, or of being lesbian, gay, or

bisexual. 2 And, again, I think it's just important to keep in 3 mind that in this study the people who identified as lesbian, gay, or bisexual, tended to be very consistent in reporting 5 their current attractions as being to the same sex, and as 6 reporting having partners of the same sex. 7 Although, it is the case that -- that some of them earlier in life did have partners of the other sex, or at least 8 one partner of the other sex. This is specifically talking about same-sex partners, not 10 just attraction, correct? This is conduct. 11 This is about sexual behavior. 12 13 Yes. Thank you. Q. All right. And that is already admitted. 14 15 Please turn to tab --MR. NIELSON: Well, actually, the bad news, Your 16 Honor, is I have another binder. The good news is, it's much 17 thinner than this one. 18 19 THE COURT: It's what? MR. NIELSON: It's much thinner than this one. 2.0 21 THE COURT: I see. So we can put this one aside, can 22 we? 23 MR. NIELSON: I may come back to it, so don't put it

25 THE COURT: I see.

too far off to the side.

```
1
             MR. NIELSON: Your Honor, permission to approach.
 2
              THE COURT: Yes.
 3
             MR. NIELSON: Thank you.
              THE WITNESS: Small. Very small.
 4
 5
             MR. NIELSON: Very small.
 6
              THE COURT: Is this the last binder with this
 7
   witness?
 8
             MR. NIELSON: It is the last binder, Your Honor.
 9
              THE COURT: All right.
             MR. NIELSON: I am happy to report, it is the last
10
11
   binder.
12
  BY MR. NIELSON:
13
        Okay. And in the second witness binder, if you could turn
   Q.
   to tab 35.
14
15
      Okay.
   A.
16
       And you'll find here a document premarked DIX856.
17
       Yes.
   A.
        And can you identify this document?
18
19
        Uhm, this is an article from the journal Developmental
   Psychology. From 2008. The author is Lisa Diamond. And the
20
   title is "Female Bisexuality from Adolescence to Adulthood:
21
   Results from a 10-Year Longitudinal Study."
22
23
   Q.
        Thank you.
24
             Are you familiar with this study?
25
        I am familiar with this study, yes.
```

```
1
   Q.
        Thank you.
 2
              And, again, you are familiar with Professor Diamond?
 3
   Α.
         I am still familiar with Professor Diamond, yes.
 4
              (Laughter)
 5
              THE COURT: You haven't forgotten her yet.
 6
              THE WITNESS: Not yet.
 7
   BY MR. NIELSON:
       Right. Thank you.
 8
 9
              All right. Now, this is a 10-year longitudinal study
   of a group of non-heterosexual women, correct?
10
11
        This particular article, I believe, only focuses on
   non-heterosexual women. In her larger study, there were some
12
13
   heterosexual women. But I don't believe she reports on them in
   this paper.
14
15
   Q. All right. Thank you.
              And, please, turn to page 9. You'll see under
16
   results in the -- well, just reading under "Change in
17
    Identity, " starting with the second line:
18
              "In all, 32 percent of women changed
19
              identities from T1 to T2, 25 percent from T2
2.0
21
              to T3, 30 percent from T3 to T4, and
              28 percent from T4 to T5."
22
              And those are different points along the 10 years.
23
24
              And then the next section says:
25
              "By the 10-year point, 67 percent of
```

participants had changed their identities at least once since T1, and 36 percent had changed identities more than once."

So only 33 percent of the women she studied retained the same sexual orientation across the 10-year period, correct?

A. Uhm, you know, in this study, she began by recruiting about 89 women who did not identify as being heterosexual.

And so she recruited women who called themselves lesbians, women who called themselves bisexuals, and also women who said that they were uncertain about their sexuality or were questioning their sexuality. And so what she found were these patterns of women changing the labels that they attached to their sexuality.

She, in her book, makes the point that, in her view, this did not reflect a change in the women's sexual orientation. And if you read her articles for this -- this study as -- on a whole, what you see is that the patterns of sexual attraction reported by the women tended to remain fairly stable.

And it was the case that most of the change in labeling was among the women who were initially calling themselves bisexual or those who were initially unlabeled. So there was movement back and forth between those two categories. Some bisexual women came to call themselves lesbians. Some bisexual women came to call themselves heterosexual.

1 As I understand it, there were very few women who called themselves lesbian in the first place who called 2 3 themselves heterosexual at the end. I honestly don't know if 4 there were any who did that. 5 But there were some women who identified as lesbian 6 at the beginning who subsequently, after this study went on for 7 ten years and they had gotten out of college and had -- were in their later 20s, adopted a label of bisexual for themselves. 8 9 All right. Thank you. And so this is a case where the identity was 10 changing, but not the attraction; is that what you are saying? 11 Well, I think -- yeah. Actually, I think that she often 12 focuses more on the word labeling more so than identity. But, 13 14 yes. Q. All right. 15 MR. NIELSON: Your Honor, I would like to 16 introduce -- I would like to offer DIX-856. 17 18 MR. DETTMER: No objection, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: All right. 856 is admitted. 20 (Defendants' Exhibit 856 received in evidence) 21 BY MR. NIELSON: 22 All right. Please turn to tab 35-A. 23 (Witness complied.) 24 And here you'll will find an exhibit premarked DIX-626.

25

Can you identify that document?

This is an article with several authors. The first author 2 is Nigel Dickson, D-I-C-K-S-O-N. It's titled "Same-Sex Attraction in a Birth Cohort: Prevalence and Persistence in 3 4 Early Adulthood." 5 It was published in 2003 in the journal Social Science and Medicine. 6 7 If you will look at page 1611, you will see this is a longitudinal study that looked at 451 men and 436 women who 8 reported their current sexual attraction at both ages 21 and 26, correct? 10 I'm sorry. Could you say what page you are on again? 11 It's on page 1611. 12 Q. 13 Oh, okay. And you will see under "Sexual attraction at ages 21 and 14 15 26." You will see this is an a longitudinal study with the 451 men and 436 women who reported their current sexual attractions 16 17 at both ages 21 and 26. And then on page 1612, under the discussion in the 18 second paragraph, you see some fairly complex figures over that 19 2.0 showing the various permutations of change. But it says: 21 "The findings also reveal a surprising degree 22 of change over time. Ten percent of men and 23 nearly a quarter of the women reported

same-sex attraction at any time, but this

nearly halved for current attraction at age

24

- 26. The changes were not just in one direction. The instability was most marked for women, with a greater movement away from exclusively heterosexual attraction from age 21 to 26 than among men."
- 6 A. I'm sorry. Could you tell me again where you are reading 7 from? I apologize.
 - Q. It's the first full paragraph from the first column on 1612.
- 10 **A.** Oh, okay.

17

18

19

2.0

21

- 11 Q. I will give you just a moment.
- 12 A. I'm there now. Yeah, I found you.
- 13 **Q.** Do those changes in any way surprise you?
- 14 A. Well, as I -- I don't believe I'm familiar with this
 15 paper, but I am familiar with earlier papers that came from
 16 this study.
 - And my recollection from the -- one of the earlier papers was that I was concerned because in this rather large sample, there were only nine males and I believe 11 women, 11 females, who identified as being lesbian, gay or bisexual. And so there were problems with generalizing from such small numbers.
- But as I said earlier, adolescence and even into
 early adulthood are times of identity formation and development
 for many people, most people. And so in that sense I wouldn't

```
be surprised to see some changes in how people understand their
 2
   sexuality as they progress through their teens and into their
   early twenties.
 3
 4
      All right. Thank you.
 5
             MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, I would like to offer
 6
   DIX-626.
 7
             MR. DETTMER: No objection, your Honor.
             THE COURT: Very well.
 8
 9
              (Defendants' Exhibit 626 received in evidence.)
10
   BY MR. NIELSON:
11
        All right. Please turn to tab 35-B, if you would.
12
              (Witness complied.)
13
        Can you -- and here you will find a document premarked
   Q.
   DIX-666. And can you identify that?
14
15
        I'm sorry. 666?
   Α.
16
       DIX-666. It's at 35-B.
   Q.
17
   A.
      Okay.
        Okay. Can you on identify that document?
18
   Q.
19
   A.
        Yes.
20
       All right. Could --
   Q.
21
        I'm sorry. Would I? You asked me -- never mind.
   Α.
22
   misheard you.
23
   Q.
        No, that's all right. Go ahead.
24
        This is an article published in the Journal of Sex
```

25 Research in 1997, multiple authors, the first them is Joseph

```
Stokes, and it's titled "Predictors of Movement Toward
   Homosexuality: A Longitudinal Study of Bisexual Men."
 2
 3
        All right. Thank you.
 4
              And if you look at page 308, in the third column, you
 5
   will find that in this -- this is a one-year longitudinal
 6
   study, I suppose. And it says:
 7
              "On a self-rated seven-point sexual
              orientation scale, 73 respondents moved
 8
 9
              towards homosexuality (34 percent), 37 moved
              towards heterosexuality (17 percent), and 106
10
              did not change (49 percent) from Time 1 to
11
              Time 2."
12
13
              Correct?
        And I'm sorry. I'm just trying to catch up to you.
14
                                                               Can
   you tell me again when you are reading from?
15
        From page 308, the top of the third column.
16
   Q.
17
        Okay. I'm there.
        And so of this sample, 73 percent moved towards
18
19
   homosexuality, 34 percent moved towards heterosexuality, and
2.0
   49 percent did not change. And this is a one-year longitudinal
   study of bisexual men.
21
22
              Do those numbers surprise you at all?
23
        Well -- and, of course, it's important to remember that
24
   this is not a representative sample, but just in looking at it
    quickly -- and I believe I may have read this paper at one
25
```

point, but not for a long time -- they were recruiting, as they say, relatively young men, men in their late teens and in their twenties, who said that they had had sex with a man and, also, sex with a woman. They had to meet both of those criteria in the past three years.

And so it appeared -- and I'm just doing this very quickly as I glance through it, but it would appear that they were recruiting people based mainly on their sexual behaviors.

And so the idea, again, that in early adulthood some people who had engaged in sexual behavior with both men and women would at some point shift toward being exclusively with either men or women does not particularly surprise me, and it appears that roughly half of them did not change during that time period.

Q. All right. Thank you.

MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, I would like to offer DIX-666.

MR. DETTMER: No objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: Very well.

(Defendants' Exhibit 666 received in evidence)

21 BY MR. NIELSON:

Q. We have talked about change, Professor Herek, and no one in this case is suggesting that gay men and lesbians should be forced to change their sexual orientation or even attempt to do so.

2.0

But is it your opinion that a gay man or lesbian who wishes to change his or her sexual orientation can never do so?

A. It's my opinion that the current research does not indicate that interventions that are designed for that purpose have been shown to be effective using the criteria that I described this morning.

It certainly is the case that there have been many people who, most likely because of societal stigma, wanted very much to change their sexual orientation and were not able to do so.

Whether or not it is something that could possibly happen, I don't know. I think that we simply don't have data that indicate that any of the interventions that have been developed for this purpose are effective or safe.

- Q. All right. And stepping back from the rather technical definition of "effective" that you have offered, which involved generalizability and absence of harm and a variety of things, if I recall, and just speaking in ordinary language, do you believe it's impossible for someone to change their sexual orientation who wishes to?
- 21 A. I would be reluctant to say that anything is impossible,
 22 so I -- I would not say it's impossible.
- 23 Q. And there are self-reports of people who say that that has 24 happened, correct?
- || **A.** There are, indeed, self-reports of people who say that has

- happened.
- 2 Q. All right. Thank you.
- And is it your opinion that such attempts are always 4 harmful?
- 5 A. That interventions to change people's sexual orientation 6 are always harmful?
- 7 \mathbb{Q} . Yes.

13

14

15

- A. No. Again, as I said earlier, we don't have experimental data on either that would suggest that these treatments are effective, nor do we have experimental data showing that they are consistently harmful.
 - We do have some data from experimental studies showing harm to some of the participants, and we do have self-reports of people who believed or perceived that they were harmed as a result of going through one or more of these interventions.
- 17 Q. And you said two things. You said self-reports of harm?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 Q. And you said -- before that you said some data?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 ||Q| All right. And what -- what particularly do you have in
- 22 mind there?
- 23 A. Well, if you read the research reports, most of them
- 24 | published in the 1970's, 19- -- mostly in the 1970's, I
- 25 | believe, what you see is that there are reports in those

- studies that some people who were experiencing some sort of
 intervention or therapy or treatment designed to change them
 from homosexual to heterosexual experienced or were observed to
 have clinical depression or anxiety or other sorts of negative
 experiences or negative psychological states, you know, that
 were -- that happened in conjunction with going through these
 treatment programs.
 - Q. All right. But, again, the data is quite limited,

 correct, in -- both with respect to whether it can happen and

 whether it's -- it's harmful, is that correct?
 - A. Well, the data that are available don't suggest that these interventions are effective, meaning that they work most of the time with the people they are supposed to work with and that they do so safely.
 - Q. Yes. Now, thank you, I believe I understand your technical definition of "effective."

17 Let's turn to tab 35-C of the binder.

18 (Witness complied.)

- Q. And can you -- you will find here a document premarked PX-1503. And can you identify that document?
- A. This is an article by Robert Spitzer, published in the Archives of Sexual Behavior in 2003. The title is "Can Some Gay Men and Lesbians Change Their Sexual Orientation? 200 Participants Reporting a Change From Homosexual to Heterosexual Orientation."

- Q. All right. Thank you.
- 2 Now, you are familiar with the author, correct?
- 3 $\|\mathbf{A}$. I don't know the author personally. I know who he is.
- $4 \parallel \mathbf{Q}$. Right. And he is a very prominent psychiatrist who is
- 5 certainly considered to be an expert in his field, correct?
- 6 **A.** He is a very prominent psychiatrist who is considered to
- 7 | be an expert on clinical diagnosis and, in fact, he was
- 8 | involved in many of the deliberations surrounding changes to
- 9 the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders.
- 10 \mathbf{Q} . And by that you mean the decision to remove homosexuality
- 11 as a disorder, correct?
- 12 **A.** Well, he was involved in many other aspects of the DSM as
- 13 | well.

- 14 $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$ Thank you.
- 15 And he was very closely involved in the process that
- 16 | led to the removal of homosexuality from the Diagnostic and
- 17 | Statistical Manual, correct?
- 18 | A. I believe that he was chairing or was at least a prominent
- 19 member of the committee of the American Psychiatric Association
- 20 | convened on that question.
- 21 **Q.** And please turn to page 413?
- 22 | (Witness complied.)
- 23 $\|Q$. And please look in the second column, the first full
- 24 | paragraph. And it writes:
- 25 This study indicates that some gay men and

lesbians following reparative therapy report
that they have made major changes from a
predominantly homosexual orientation to a
predominantly heterosexual orientation."

2.0

Now, I understand in your work you have raised questions about whether that finding can be generalized in any meaningful way, correct?

A. Well, you know, that sentence, I think, accurately states what he found, which is that some gay men and lesbians report that they made major changes and they did that after they had been through one of these reparative therapy interventions. So I wouldn't take issue with that statement.

What I would take issue with is going beyond that statement to say that this study actually shows that it was those interventions that brought about this self-perceived change in sexual orientation and that these same individuals, who were highly religious and, in fact, belonged to various organizations that were promoting the idea of behavior change for homosexuals, that they wouldn't have changed simply on their own without this intervention. The study doesn't show that.

- Q. All right. Thank you.
- But you don't question the specific findings that we just read, correct?
- $\|\mathbf{A}_{\bullet}\|$ People reported to him that they felt they had changed.

```
1
        All right. Thank you.
 2
              MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, I would like to offer
   PX-1503 into evidence.
 3
 4
              MR. DETTMER: No objection, your Honor.
 5
              THE COURT: Very well.
 6
              (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1503 received in evidence.)
 7
   BY MR. NIELSON:
        And, now, do you question whether those reports from the
 8
   individuals were accurate?
10
        Well, the problem is that we know that people are not
11
   always aware of their mental processes and they are not always
   aware of why things happen or why they do things.
12
13
              This is the reason why when we are trying to test the
   effectiveness of a treatment or a drug, we use an experimental
14
15
   design, which means that we randomly assign people to various
   groups and we then observe, before and after their
16
   participation in the group, exactly what has happened on the
17
   variable of interest.
18
              We also, I think, are generally familiar with things
19
    such as the placebo effect; that people will sometimes in a
2.0
   pharmaceutical study get a sugar pill and they will feel better
21
22
    or some of their symptoms will go away, but they didn't
```

So this is just an illustration of how people are not really necessarily able to tell you why things have happened to

actually receive the drug. They just received a placebo.

23

24

them or why things have changed sometimes.

- 2 ||Q| All right. Now, in your studies haven't you relied on
- 3 | self-reporting?

of reporting.

4 | A. Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

- $5 \parallel \mathbf{Q}$. And do you take individuals at their word, correct?
- A. Well, I try not to, usually anyway, ask questions that
 would require people to report things that they're not capable

But I would say that in my research where -- in situations where I have tried to show cause and effect, I have tried to use an experimental design for that purpose.

- Q. All right. For example, when you ask individuals whether they experience little or no choice with respect to their sexual orientation, you take them at their word, correct?
- 15 **A.** Umm, I take them at their word, that they experience 16 little or no choice, yes.

I -- I don't think that's a matter of them saying, for example, how they became lesbian or gay or bisexual. It's rather just simply asking them, have they experienced conscious choice in this regard. And what most of the lesbians and gay men said was that, no, they didn't experience conscious choice.

- Q. All right. Thank you.
- 23 Please turn to tab 35-D in the witness binder.
 24 (Witness complied.)
- 25 Q. And you will find a document premarked DIX-1014. I'm sure

you will be able -- you can identify this document, correct?

A. Well, this is -- actually, it's not on here. This appears to me to be an actual copy of a letter that Sigmund Freud wrote to a woman from the United States that had written to him about her son being homosexual, and it's often referred to as The Letter to an American Mother.

I believe this was published quite a long time ago in a psychiatric journal, but I don't remember which one and the reference is not -- it's not here.

Q. Thank you.

2.0

2.1

And, fortunately, for us there's a -- a typewritten copy of the letter, if you look to -- on page 787. So we don't have to try and read the handwriting.

Now, please look at -- reading from the typewritten version, the first full paragraph on page 787. And this is Freud responding to an America Mother, as you said.

- A. Yes.
- $||\mathbf{Q}|$ (As read)

"By asking me if I can help, you mean, I suppose, if I can abolish homosexuality and make normal heterosexuality take its place. The answer is, in a general way, we cannot promise to achieve it. In a certain number of cases we succeed in developing the blighted germs of heterosexual tendencies

1 which are present in every homosexual, in the majority of cases there is no more possible. 2 3 It is a question of the quality and the age 4 of the individual. The result of treatment 5 cannot be predicted." 6 Now, do you believe Freud was mistaken when he said 7 that in a certain number of cases we succeed in developing what he described as the blighted germs of heterosexual tendencies? 8 9 Well, you know, Freud was writing this in 1935, and at that time there were certainly psychoanalysts who attempted to 10 11 cure homosexuality. Although it's -- I think it's relevant to note that 12 13 in this letter in the first paragraph Freud said: "Homosexuality is assuredly no advantage, but 14 it is nothing to be ashamed of, no vice, no 15 degradation, it cannot be classified as an 16 illness; we consider it to be a function -- a 17 variation of the sexual function produced by 18 a certain arrest of sexual development." 19 2.0 He goes on to list people like Michelangelo and Leonardo, who were homosexuals, and says: 21 22 "It is a great injustice to persecute 23 homosexuality as a crime and cruelty too." 24 In the context of him -- oh, I'm sorry. So Freud plainly did not have animus towards homosexuals, 25

correct?

2.0

A. Right. And what he was telling the mother who wrote this was that -- in the last paragraph:

"What analysis can do for your son runs in a different line. If he is unhappy, neurotic, torn by conflicts, inhibited in his social life, analysis may bring him harmony, peace of mind, full efficiency, whether he remains a homosexual or gets changed."

Freud, I believe -- I'm not a Freud scholar, but I believe that Freud actually very pessimistic about the likelihood of psychoanalysis being able to change a person's sexual orientation.

His comment there about the germs of heterosexuality are consistent with Freud's general view of human sexuality. His theory was that all people are inherently bisexual and that they become heterosexual or homosexual only in the course of their development.

Q. All right. Thank you.

But $\operatorname{\mathsf{--}}$ and that is certainly the other parts of the letter and I $\operatorname{\mathsf{--}}$ but he specifically says, "In a certain number of cases we succeed."

Do you believe he was mistaken, misrepresenting?

A. You know, there is a real problem with looking at intervention attempts by psychoanalysts; not just Freud, but by

other psychoanalysts. Because typically you have a situation
in which the analyst is the person who's, if you will, both
administering the treatment and monitoring its success. And so
the analyst wants very much for it to succeed. Often the
patient wanted very much for this to succeed. And in that sort
of situation there is a great possibility for the patient to
indicate to the analyst, either consciously or unintentionally,
that he or she is changing and that may not, in fact, be

So the standards for how we evaluate the effectiveness of an intervention are -- were not followed by Freud or by other analysts to whom he might have been referring in that letter.

- Q. All right. Thank you.
- So do you think it's impossible that he might have been both accurate and honest in making that statement?
- 17 A. Well, I didn't mean to imply that Freud was dishonest in any way.
- 19 (Laughter.)

happening.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- 20 A. In terms of accuracy, I would say that he might have
 21 perceived this as being an effective change, but whether or not
 22 that would pass muster with current standards of experimental
 23 research would be an open question.
 - Q. All right. Thank you.
- 25 MR. NIELSON: Now, your Honor, I have a little bit

```
more, though it's a fairly good breaking point, if --
              THE COURT: Why don't we plow on?
 2
 3
             MR. NIELSON: Yes, your Honor.
 4
              THE WITNESS: Your Honor --
 5
              THE COURT: Let's ask the witness.
 6
              THE WITNESS: I was wondering how long we were likely
 7
   to plow on. I may request a break otherwise.
              THE COURT: That's a good question. How much longer
 8
   do you think you have?
10
             MR. NIELSON: It depends, in part, of the length of
11
    the answers, to be honest, but I think probably between --
   probably about a half hour to 45 minutes.
12
13
              THE COURT: Okay. Do you want a break?
             THE WITNESS: I'll stay pat for the moment.
14
              THE COURT: If the situation changes, we will be
15
   happy to take a break. Why don't we just stand up anyway and
16
17
   stretch.
             MR. DETTMER: Your Honor, I obviously will have some
18
   redirect. I will keep it as brief as possible, but just for
19
2.0
    our planning purposes. I'm thinking no more than a half hour.
              THE COURT: I understand.
21
22
              (Brief pause.)
23
              THE COURT: Counsel, we are going to take a break.
   Five minutes.
24
25
              THE WITNESS: Much appreciated, your Honor.
```

1 (Whereupon there was a recess in the proceedings 2 from 3:26 p.m. until 3:35 p.m.) 3 THE COURT: Very well, Mr. Nielson. Carry on. BY MR. NIELSON: 4 5 Now, Professor Herek, I would like to turn to the 6 development and origins of sexual orientation. 7 Now, because the term "homosexuality" encompasses many distinct phenomenon, attempting to identify the origins of 8 homosexuality and, more broadly, sexual orientation is a difference task, correct? 10 11 What I have said in my expert report and elsewhere is that there are many different theories about the origins of sexual 12 13 orientation in general; not just homosexuality, but also heterosexuality. And there really is no consensus on what the 14 15 origins are of a person's sexual orientation. Please turn to tab five in the witness binder, if you 16 17 would. 18 A. I'm sorry? Please turn to tab five in the witness binder. 19 Q. This is back in the old -- the bigger one? 20 21 Q. Yes. 22 (Witness complied.) 23 And please look at page 151 in the second column, and 24 please look under "Origins" in the bottom of the first 25 paragraph.

A. Yes.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

Q. And you are speaking about homosexuality. You say:

3 Because the term encompasses many distinct

phenomenon, however, attempting to identify

the origins of homosexuality and, more

broadly, sexual orientation is a difficult

task."

Correct?

- 9 \mathbf{A} . Yes, yes.
- 10 **Q.** And those are your words?
- 11 | A. Correct.
- 12 Q. The factors that cause an individual to become
- 13 | heterosexual, homosexual or bisexual are not currently well
- 14 | understood, correct?
- 15 **A.** That is correct.
- 16 \mathbb{Q} . And the origins of sexual orientation, why a particular
- 17 person becomes heterosexual or gay or lesbian or bisexual, is
- 18 an area where there has been a lot of discussion, a lot of
- 19 dispute, correct?
- 20 **A.** That's correct.
- 21 Q. No compelling evidence has yet been offered to demonstrate
- 22 clearly the origins of adult sexuality, correct?
- 23 $\|$ **A.** That is correct.
- 24 Q. Widely-differing sources for adult sexual orientation have
- 25 | been proposed, but no single theory enjoys unequivocal

- 1 | empirical support, correct?
- $2 \parallel \mathbf{A}$. That is correct.
- 3 \mathbf{Q} . Thank you.
- 4 | It seems likely that a wide variety of biological,
- 5 | psychological, social and cultural variables that contribute to
- 6 sexual orientation will eventually be identified, with
- 7 | different individuals arriving at their adult orientation in
- 8 different ways, correct?
- 9 A. That's my speculation. And I believe it's accurate, but
- 10 | it is my speculation.
- 11 Q. All right. Thank you.
- 12 And so is that your opinion?
- 13 | A. Well, it's -- it's my hypothesis, I guess I would say.
- 14 | Subject to revision in the light of different data, but at the
- 15 moment, yes, I would say that that's my opinion.
- 16 Q. All right. Based on the data currently available, is
- 17 | that --
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 **Q.** Okay, thank you.
- 20 Debates about the origins of sexual orientation have
- 21 | led to a more pluralistic view of human sexuality, correct?
- 22 | A. Are those my words?
- 23 \mathbb{Q} . They are.
- 24 $\|\mathbf{A}_{\bullet}\|$ Just can you tell me where they are from, so I have a
- 25 | context for it?

- 1 \mathbb{Q} . It's tab six, if you'd like, and it's page 686.
- 2 A. Okay. Oh, under the "Origins of Sexual Orientation."

This is from the *Corsini Encyclopedia* article, right?

- $4 \parallel \mathbf{Q}$. Correct.
- 5 **A.** Okay.

9

6 Q. So do you agree with that statement:

7 "Debates about the origins of sexual
8 orientation have led to a more pluralistic

view of human sexuality."

- 10 A. Yeah. I'm just trying to find where you are reading from.
- 11 I'm sorry. Can you point to me -- point me to the 12 place on the page or just which column or which paragraph?
- 13 Q. It's the second column, the second full paragraph. Can
- 14 | you find that?
- 15 **A.** Oh, the second full paragraph, okay.
- 16 **Q.** Yes.

19

2.0

21

22

- 17 A. Okay. Yes, okay. I see it now okay.
- 18 $||\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}||$ All right. Thank you.

Scientists are increasingly coming to appreciate that homosexuality and heterosexuality are not unitary phenomena and that even in our own culture, different people develop and express their sexual orientation in different ways, correct?

- 23 **A.** Yes.
- 24 **Q.** Based on these insights, no single theory seems likely to 25 be able to explain the development of heterosexuality or

- homosexuality in all people, correct?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 \mathbb{Q} . Indeed, in --
- $4 \parallel A$. That is my speculation. I mean, I'm saying that, but I
- 5 | believe it's accurate.
- 6 **Q.** Okay, thank you.
- Indeed, some researchers have emphasized this view by framing their work in terms of heterosexualities and
- 9 homosexualities, correct?
- 10 A. Right. And those -- those have been mainly ways of trying
- 11 to identify this diversity within those groups, along the lines
- 12 similar to what we discussed earlier, differences in age, race
- 13 and ethics, location; all sorts of things that contribute to
- 14 differences and experiences of one's sexuality.
- 15 Q. All right. Thank you.
- And in this specific context where you're talking
 about the origins, I assume you are talking about a variety of
- 18 potential causes as well, correct?
- 19 $\|\mathbf{A}$. Well, I do posit that. I think that by the time we got to
- 20 | that last section of that paragraph, I was just pointing out
- 21 | that in studying -- in not coming up with a single explanation
- 22 | for what causes an individual to have a particular sexual
- 23 | orientation, this was generating lots of other questions, as
- 24 | well, about sexual orientation and those questions were
- 25 pointing to variations within the population of heterosexual

people and the population of homosexual people.

And so I believe that was where I was going with that comment about increasing pluralism -- or leading to a more pluralistic view.

 \mathbf{Q} . All right. Thank you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

21

22

23

24

25

So people arrive at a homosexuality identity through various routes, correct?

- A. Well, that's my speculation, but I believe it's probably true.
- 10 | Q. All right. Thank you.

Some individuals, for example, identify as gay only after multiple homosexual experiences; whereas, others form a gay] identity without having engaged in homosexual behaviors, correct?

- 15 A. That's correct.
- Q. Some women develop a lesbian identity primarily on the basis of feminist political values rather than erotic attractions, correct?
- 19 A. Well, that's a statement that I believe was accurate at 20 the time that I wrote this.

I think that that phenomenon, which is sometimes referred to as the political lesbian -- a woman who identifies as a lesbian through a sense of political solidarity with lesbians and has an embracing of a feminist ideology -- I believe that that was much more common in the 1970's and,

perhaps, in the 1980's than it is today.

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

23

24

25

It probably still does occur, but I don't think it's as widespread as it might have once been.

- Q. Is it a true statement for some women?
- A. Well, it was at the time that I wrote this, and I would imagine that there are still some women today who would identify themselves as political lesbians.

THE COURT: Why do you believe that's less common today than it was 20, 30 years ago.

THE WITNESS: Well, I'm not sure, your Honor, but I would just say that I -- in a way it's almost because of the absence of seeing a lot of research on those sorts of women.

So at one point that concept, it showed up in a number of places in different research studies or descriptions of especially lesbian communities. And I just haven't seen it as much in recent times as it -- as was the case in those earlier times.

So that's the basis for my speculation that it may not be as common as it once was.

THE COURT: Very well.

MR. NIELSON: Thank you, your Honor.

22 BY MR. NIELSON:

Q. There is increasing evidence from the research that has been done looking at samples, probability samples of lesbian, gay and bisexual people, that it may very well be the case that

1 this is a population that tends to have a higher educational 2 level on average than heterosexuals, correct?

A. Is this from the same passage?

2.0

- **Q.** No. This is from your deposition transcript.
- A. Oh, okay. Okay. Good. I was just getting lost.

Yeah. It may very well be the case that on average lesbians and gay men in the United States have a higher educational level than comparable heterosexual men and women.

- Q. Now, you don't know that we have a rally clear understanding of this correlation with education, correct?
- 11 A. Correct. There are reasons that we can speculate. For
 12 example, it seems likely that many women who marry
 13 heterosexually may leave school, leave college, or not go to
 14 college at all in order to do so.

Lesbians are less likely to encounter the situation where a relationship would mean that they had to leave school, move to another part of the country to be with their partner, those sorts of things. So that's, at least, one plausible explanation why we might see higher educational levels among lesbians than among heterosexual women.

It's also possible that we might see higher levels of education among gay men for a somewhat similar reason in that they, perhaps, are not likely to get married at an early age and -- at an age where they might have to stop going to school in order to take a full-time job or more than one full-time job

- 1 to support a family. Those are speculations about why there 2 might be that educational discrepancy.
- 3 **Q.** Okay. So those are speculations, correct?
- 4 A. Correct.

11

16

17

- 5 Q. But we don't really have a clear understanding of the
- 6 correlation, correct?
- 7 A. Well, I'm not aware of other data that would exist to 8 explain that.
- 9 Q. But the correlation does seem to be a difference that has
 10 emerged in the number of different studies, so it seems to be a
- 12 A. Well, it -- it may very well be a real difference, I

real difference, correct; the educational?

- 13 | believe. In my study, for example, we found higher educational
- 14 levels than would be expected from comparable heterosexuals
- 15 among lesbians and gay men.
 - So it seems like it may very well be a real difference, yes.
- 18 \mathbf{Q} . All right. Thank you.
- 19 Please turn to tab 35-E in the witness binder?
 20 (Witness complied.)
- 21 A. This is Volume 2, right?
- 22 **Q.** Volume 2.
- And this is a transcript of the proceedings in this
 Court in this trial on January 12, and this was testimony given
 by Professor Cott.

```
Are you familiar with Professor Nancy Cott?
 1
 2
         I'm not directly familiar with her. I have some sense of
   who she is.
 3
 4
        All right. Thank you.
              You will see on the page I have reproduced of the
 5
 6
   trial transcript on page 328, line 6 she was asked:
 7
              "QUESTION: Do you believe that behavior is
              really infinitely malleable by social
 8
 9
              circumstances and by culture?"
10
              And she answers:
11
              THE WITNESS: Just about infinitely, yes."
12
              Do you agree with that statement?
13
         That behavior is infinitely malleable by social
   circumstances and by culture?
14
15
         She qualifies it with "just about infinitely."
16
         "Just about infinitely." How can you be just about
17
   infinitely?
18
              (Laughter.)
         Well, you can go on. The question after that is:
19
2.0
              "QUESTION: With is the sole exception of
              self-preservation?"
2.1
22
              And she says:
23
              "ANSWER: I think you have to except that,
24
              yes."
25
              So that appears, to me, to be her qualification.
```

```
Well, you know, behavior is certainly a very broad topic,
   and to say that all aspects of behavior are malleable by social
 2
 3
   circumstances and by culture, I would be -- I personally would
 4
   want to think about that one for awhile before I would say
 5
    "yes" or "no."
 6
              It seems like it's such an all-encompassing
 7
   statement, and this isn't really something that I have thought
   about this these terms.
 8
 9
        All right. As you sit here now, if you are not able to
   say "yes" or "no," are you able to say whether this -- you
10
   believe that's an unreasonable statement?
11
        Well, I would assume that Professor Cott had a reason for
12
   making it. I just would say that if you are asking me if I
13
   agree with that statement, I -- I would have to think about it
14
15
   for awhile.
16
       Fair enough. Thank you.
17
              Please turn to tab 10 in the witness binder. Again,
   this is the big one.
18
19
              (Witness complied.)
2.0
              THE COURT: I thought we were done with the big one?
21
              MR. NIELSON: I'm trying to do this topically, your
22
   Honor, so I'm having to refer back occasionally to --
23
              THE COURT: Okay.
24
   BY MR. NIELSON:
```

25

Q. And this is the -- A. This is the article I haven't seen before.

2.0

2 Q. Yes. This is by Professor Badgett. It's the -- and we have looked at it before, as you said.

Please turn to page 23, and the paragraph that's in the middle of that page, it's the second full paragraph. She writes:

"Further complicating matters, sexual behavior and sexual identities might also be related in some way to economic outcomes or to an individual's socioeconomic class background. From a rational choice perspective, à la Richard Posner (1992), the choice of a same-sex partner is an outcome determined by individual preferences and budget constraints. According to Posner, factors like high incomes that reduce search costs for sexual partners will increase homosexual sex for men."

Do you believe that it's possible that Judge Posner's theory is correct?

- A. I actually don't think I understand Judge Posner's theory on the basis of just that sentence.
- 23 Q. Okay. As described here --
- A. Is he saying that men who have strong attractions to other men, if they have a high level of income, might be able to have

more sexual partners because that income allows them to travel 2 to places where they might meet more partners or do other 3 things that might let them come into contact with more men who are potential partners? Is that what he is saying? 5 What she wrote here is -- it just -- it's on the page. 6 says: 7 "From a rational choice perspective, à la Richard Posner the choice of a same-sex 8 9 partner is an outcome determined by individual preferences and budget 10 constraints. According to Posner, factors 11 like high incomes that reduce search costs 12 13 for sexual partners will increase homosexual sex for men." 14 15 As written, is your -- are you essentially unable to understand that; is that your testimony? 16 17 I wouldn't be comfortable commenting on it without understanding exactly what Judge Posner is saying here. 18 19 Okay. Then she goes on to say: "A more sociological model of behavior that 2.0 2.1 involves sexual scripts and social networks 22 could have a similar implication. A family's economic status might influence the scripts 23 24 and networks that individuals eventually

operate within and openness to homosexuality

might vary by economic class or other norms
correlated with family background."

2.0

Do you believe that it's possible that that theory described there is correct?

- A. Well, yeah. I think, if I'm reading this correctly, in the next sentence she is talking about that correlation of -- or the fact that it appears that in the Laumann, et al, study that it was the more educated women and men who were more likely to have same-sex partners and to identify as lesbian, gay or bisexual.
- So, I mean, one possibility is that having the higher education leads one to identify or have more sexual partners of the same sex.

The other possibility is the one that I was suggesting a moment ago, which is that perhaps people who are lesbian or gay and perhaps bisexual are more likely to pursue a longer term of education.

I think we have a correlation here, and a correlation is inherently a relationship in which we cannot determine the causal direction without further research.

- **Q.** So that could be correct, this theory as well as the one 22 you posited; is that what you are saying?
- **A.** The one that's posited here in the Badgett paper or the one that I posited?
- \mathbf{Q} . The one posited in the Badgett paper.

- A. I guess it is stated as a hypothesis that could be tested.
- 2 Q. And could it be correct? Do you have any reason, as you
- 3 | sit here today, to say that I it -- you believe that could not
- 4 | be correct?

- 5 A. Well, you know, again --
- 6 MR. DETTMER: Objection, your Honor. Calls for 7 speculation.
- 8 THE COURT: Maybe you could rephrase, Mr. Nielson?
- BY MR. NIELSON:
- 10 **Q.** As you sit here today, do you have any reason to believe 11 that that statement is not -- could not be correct?
- 12 **A.** Well, as I sit here today, I have to reiterate that I
- 13 | haven't read this article and so I'm very reluctant to evaluate
- 14 a particular sentence that's been pulled out of it this way
- 15 without having the benefit of understanding the context in
- 16 which Professor Badgett was offering this hypothesis.
- 17 So I -- I would just say I can't really say whether
- 18 | it's plausible or not. It may be, but I would really need to
- 19 | have read the entire article to understand exactly what this
- 20 means.
- 21 You know, I'd say, also, this looks to me like an
- 22 | economist's argument and I'm not an economist. So I would have
- 23 to -- it would be a challenge, I suspect, for me to fully
- 24 | understand this, especially off-the-cuff like this.
- 25 \mathbb{Q} . Right. And you read the next sentence that says:

"The finding by Laumann that more educated 1 2 women and men are more likely to have had 3 same-sex partners and to identify as LGB 4 could fit either theory." 5 And that's, I guess, referring to the Richard Posner 6 theory and the sociological theory, correct? 7 Α. I believe that's what she is saying. All right. Thank you. 8 Q. 9 Please turn to tab 29 in the witness binder. (Witness complied.) 10 11 THE COURT: Where do I find it? MR. NIELSON: The big one. 12 13 So this is back at the Garnets and Peplau article again? BY MR. NIELSON: 14 15 Correct, correct. As you point out, we have looked at this. 16 17 And let's turn to the bullet points on page four. And the fourth bullet point says: 18 19 "Women's sexual orientation is shaped by such social and cultural factors as women's 2.0 21 education, social status and power, economic 22 opportunities and attitudes about women's roles." 23 24 Do you agree with that statement? 25 Well, again, this is another article that I haven't read,

and it's a very brief one as well.

2.0

I would -- before commenting on that statement, I would want to read it in more detail and be sure that I understood what research they are basing that particular statement on.

So, for example, the idea that women's sexual orientation is shaped by such social and cultural factors such as women's education, I'm not sure if they are talking about that correlation between sexual orientation and level -- length of education, or if they are talking about some other aspects of education.

So, again, it's one that I just really would have trouble commenting on without having read something that elaborated upon this research.

- Q. So as you sit here, you are not able to say whether you agree with that statement or not, is that it?
- A. Yeah, I guess I would say that. It may be partly the hour, but I'm finding that I'm having -- I would need to look at this more and ideally look at it in a context where they are citing some of the research studies they are talking about.
- Q. All right. Thank you.

22 And look at the last bullet point underneath it. It 23 says:

"There is no single developmental pathway leading to a heterosexual, bisexual or

lesbian outcome." 1 2 Do you agree with that statement? 3 Well, as I said earlier, I think that it's very likely 4 that we will discover that people have multiple developmental 5 pathways to their adult sexual orientation. 6 So this is certainly consistent with that hypothesis 7 of my own. Okay. Thank you. 8 9 Please turn to tab 30. (Witness complied.) 10 11 And, again, this is an article by Professor Peplau. Q. 12 Yes. 13 Look at -- please look at page 12, if you would. In the first column towards the top of the page, I 14 15 believe it's the first full paragraph, she writes: 16 "In summary, the concept of erotic plasticity 17 is the cornerstone of a new paradigm for understanding women's sexual orientation. 18 Women's sexuality is not tightly scripted by 19 genetic or hormonal" -- hormon- -- excuse me, 2.0 latest of the hour for me, too -- "or 2.1 22 hormonal influences. Rather, it is 23 responsive throughout the lifespan to a wide 24 variety of cognitive, social and environmental influences." 25

Do you agree that women's sexuality is not tightly scripted by genetic or hormonal influences?

A. Well, I believe that this needs to be read in comparison to men's sexuality, which I'm guessing -- again, I haven't read this article either, but I'm guessing that Professor Peplau is offering that contrast, as she has in some other papers, and pointing out that as researchers have found, women's sexuality, defined broadly -- not only in terms of sexual orientation, but in many other aspects -- does seem to be more responsive to situational and environmental factors than is the case for men's sexuality.

So I would say if you are thinking of in that comparison between men and women, that this notion of erotic plasticity -- which, again, is broadly defined -- is a more applicable notion to men -- I'm sorry, to women than to men, I believe that that is accurate based on the research that we have to date.

- Q. Right. And she speaks specifically of erotic plasticity as a new paradigm for understanding women's sexual orientation; not just sexuality more broadly understood, correct?
- A. Well, the erotic plasticity concept is about sexuality broadly understood, and I believe she says this is the cornerstone of a new paradigm for understanding women's sexual orientation.
- Q. All right. Thank you.

2.0

Now, she goes on, as you will see, she talks about a variety of -- she talks about the variety and nature of women's romantic and sexual relationships.

And then at the bottom of page 13 in the first column she says:

"One implication of this research is that the very concept of sexual orientation may be misguided."

Do you think there is any chance that that could be correct?

A. Well, you know, again, I haven't read this paper before, so I'm very reluctant to evaluate sentences taken out of context.

I would say in some of her other work, Professor

Peplau has focused on that word "sexual" in orientation and has pointed to the concept that I was talking about at the beginning of the day, of sexual orientation being very much a relational construct.

And so it may very well be the case that in this article, where she is going with this is to say the focus on sexuality is, perhaps, something that works better when you're trying to understand men's experiences than women's; and when you are looking at women's experiences, it might be better to take this construct of sexual orientation and understand it in terms of relationships, and that might be a more helpful view.

1 And I believe what she is trying to do here, and in some of her other papers, is to offer an alternative way of 2 3 thinking about sexuality that might be useful to researchers. 4 All right. Thank you. 5 And please turn to tab 15. Again, this is by 6 Professor Peplau. 7 THE COURT: In the big one? MR. NIELSON: In the big book. 8 BY MR. NIELSON: 10 And we have looked at this before. 0. And please turn to page 81. 11 (Witness complied.) 12 13 And on page 81 I would like you to look at the bottom of Q. the first full paragraph and she writes: 14 15 "Available evidence indicates that biological contributions to the development of sexual 16 17 orientation in women are minimal." Do you agree with that statement? 18 Well, as she says at the beginning of the paragraph: 19 "More than 50 years of research has failed to 2.0 2.1 demonstrate that biological factors are a 22 major influence in the development of women's sexual orientation." 23 24 And I would say that we also can say that to some 25 extent about the development of men's sexual orientation.

As I have said before, we don't really understand the 1 2 origins of sexual orientation in men or in women. There are 3 many different competing theories, some biologically based, others based more on culture and individual experience. 4

So I would say that what she is suggesting is that the available evidence doesn't support the idea of there being a strong biological factor that explains the development of sexual orientation in women.

- Do you agree with that? Q.
- 10 I would agree that that is the case. Yes.

11 And I would also say that I don't -- I believe that it's the case that we simply don't understand the origins of 12 13 sexual orientation in either men or women.

- Okay. Please turn to page 87 of the same document. 14 Q. (Witness complied.)
- And under "An Alternative Perspective," that heading, do 16 Q. 17 you see that towards the bottom of the page on page 87?
- 18 Α. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

15

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

19 She writes: **Q.**

> "A comprehensive analysis of women's sexual orientation should begin with empirically grounded generalizations about women's experiences. The cumulative record of research on women's sexual orientation supports three broad conclusions.

"First, there is no inevitable association 1 2 between masculinity, variously defined in 3 women's sexual orientation. Associations may 4 exist in particular cultural contexts, but 5 are not a necessary component of sexual orientation. 6 7 "Second, the impact of biological factors in determining women's sexual orientation 8 9 appears to be weak or non-existent. 10 "Third, cross cultural and historical 11 analyses demonstrate that women's erotic and 12 romantic bonds can take diverse forms that 13 are shaped by their social environment." 14 Do you disagree with any of those conclusions? 15 Well, we just discussed the second one about the 16 biological factors. 17 Right. Q. As I was saying, the evidence there is -- frankly, some 18 19 people would not say it's weak, but it is certainly not conclusive at all. 2.0 21 Q. Do you have? 22 The --A. 23 Q. Sorry. 24 I'm just looking at the three statements again. And the idea that women's erotic and romantic bonds 25

```
can be affected by their social environment, yes, I think we
 2
   already talked about that.
 3
              So, yes, I would say that I -- I would agree with
 4
   these statements.
 5
   0.
         Okay. Thank you.
 6
              And please turn to page 92. Same document.
 7
              (Witness complied.)
         The authors write:
 8
   Q.
 9
              "In the U.S. Gagnon (1990) suggested that the
              creation of visible urban gay and lesbian
10
              communities made the choice of a same-sex
11
              lifestyle more attractive to wider
12
13
              audiences."
         I'm sorry where. Are you -- oh, I see you.
14
15
         And there is quote, which you can read if you like, but
   I'm going to move to right after that.
16
17
              After the quote, they say:
              "Gagnon suggested that one consequence may be
18
              to increase the demographic and personality
19
              diversity of those participating in same-sex
2.0
              relations."
2.1
22
              Do you believe the creation of visible urban gay and
   lesbian communities may have had this effect?
23
24
         Well, I -- I will say it yet again. This is another paper
25
    that if -- I believe I may have read it in the past, but not
```

recently.

2.0

2.1

I believe that what Gagnon might have been saying here is that there may be individuals who have same-sex desires and attractions and, perhaps, even engage in same-sex sexual behavior on occasion, but in the time before the emergence of visible gay communities in cities, may not have even known that there was a label to describe people like themselves and may not have known that this was -- that there really was such a thing as sexual orientation or that it was possible to be gay.

And so I would speculate -- and I am speculating because I haven't read this -- that perhaps Professor Gagnon was saying that for those sorts of individuals, the existence of visible gay communities would allow those sorts of individuals to realize that there were other people like them and that it might be possible to find those other people and develop a community with them.

Q. Okay. Thank you.

Please turn to page -- please turn to tab 14, if you would.

(Witness complied.)

Q. And, again, this is another article by Professor Peplau that we've looked at.

THE COURT: How are he doing, Mr. Nielson?

MR. NIELSON: We are getting there, your Honor.

THE COURT: Oh, good.

- **A.** I'm sorry. Which tab again?
- 2 BY MR. NIELSON:
- **Q.** It's tab 14.
- **A.** Okay.

2.0

- 5 Q. And please turn to page 332. And you will find in bold a 6 heading, "The Fluidity of Women's Sexuality" and italics
- 7 | Influence of the Social Environment.

And under that, in that last paragraph, you'll find part way down it says:

"Consider the link between education and sexual orientation. The National Health and Social Life Survey" -- which again is the Laumann survey we have discussed -- "found that completing college doubled the likelihood that a man identified as gay or bisexual, but was associated with a 900 percent increase in the percentage of women identifying as lesbian or bisexual."

Are you familiar with those statistics?

- A. Not with the specific statistics, but this gets back to the correlation we've been discussing, which indicates that lesbians and gay men are likely to have had more years of education than comparable heterosexuals.
- Q. All right. Thank you.
- 25 And please turn to page 336.

(Witness complied.) 1 2 And the very first sentence on the page under heading Q. "Sociocultural Influences on Women's Sexual Orientation" reads: 3 4 "There is mounting research evidence that the 5 patterning of women's sexuality and sexual 6 orientation varies across time and place." 7 Do you agree with that? I'm taking a moment to read the paragraph. 8 9 (Brief pause.) Well, again, I think this is something we have already 10 11 discussed in that she's talking about difference cultures, but, also, even within our own culture, different racial and ethnic 12 13 groups, socioeconomic groups; the experience of being in those different groups having an effect on one's sexuality and how 14 15 one understands one's self as a sexually being. So I would agree with it in that sense. 16 17 All right. Thank you. And please turn to page 337, and the second full 18 paragraph. Professor Peplau writes: 19 "As another example, living in same-sex 2.0 institutions also tends to increase the 2.1 likelihood of romantic and erotic 22 23 relationships between women." 24 Do you agree with that statement?

Well, as she points out, there was a 1929 study of

graduates of women's colleges who -- in which women reported 2 that they had had an intense emotional relationship with 3 another woman in college. 4 And she also talks about same-sex relationships 5 occurring in prison populations, which are sex segregated. 6 So it may very well be the case that in those 7 particular settings, people are more likely to engage in same-sex behavior and possibly to form bonds that are based on 8 that sort of behavior. All right. Thank you. 10 Q. 11 And please turn to tab 36 in the little binder. (Witness complied.) 12 13 Can you identify this document, Professor Herek? Q. I haven't got it yet. 14 15 Umm, this is an article that was published in the Journal of Gay and Lesbian Mental Health in] 2008 titled 16 17 "Masculinity, Femininity and the Development of Sexual Orientation in Women." 18 The authors are Letitia Anne Peplau and Mark Huppin. 19

Q. All right. Thank you.

And can you turn to page 156?

(Witness complied.)

- Q. And I believe this discusses the survey that you referenced there?
- 25 **A.** Page 156?

20

21

22

23

- Q. 156, yes. And towards the bottom of the carry-over paragraph it reads, "During the same time period."
- A. Right. And this is referring back to the same thing that was mentioned in the other article that we just finished with.
- ${\tt Q.}$ Right. And she found that 42 percent of the sample --

"During the same time period, American researcher, Katherine Davis, 1929 mailed a questionnaire about sexuality to 2200 graduates of women's colleges in the United States. The questionnaire asked: Have you at any time experienced intense emotional relations with other women? 42 percent of the sample replied that they had. Of these, 52 percent said that the relationship was sexual in character. In other words, one woman in five reported a sexual relationship with a best woman friend in college.

Although some of these women continued to

And you are familiar with that study, correct?

have intimate relationships with women after

A. The 1929 study by Katherine Davis?

college, most did not."

Q. Yes.

2.0

A. I haven't read it. I have -- I have run across references to it.

```
Okay. Thank you.
 2
             MR. NIELSON: Your Honor, I would like to offer
 3
   DIX-1237 into evidence.
 4
              MR. DETTMER: No objection.
 5
              THE COURT: Very well. 1237 is admitted.
              (Defendants' Exhibit 1237 received in evidence.)
 6
 7
              MR. NIELSON: Okay. Thank you.
   BY MR. NIELSON:
 8
 9
        Please turn to tab 37 in the witness binder.
10
              (Witness complied.)
11
        Can you identify this document? It's premarked DIX-1254.
   Q.
12
        This is a document from the journal, I believe it's -- the
13
    journal is Health and Human Rights.
14
              The document is a commentary titled "Torture and
15
   Ill-Treatment Based on Sexual Identity: The Roles and
16
   Responsibilities of Health Professionals and Their
17
   Institutions." The authors are Simon Lewin and Ilan Meyer.
18
   Q.
      Okay. Thank you.
19
              And are you familiar with the authors?
        I don't know who Simon Lewin is. I'm familiar with Ilan
2.0
   Meyer. I have never seen this article before.
21
22
        Thank you.
   Q.
23
              Please turn to page 163. In the bottom paragraph,
24
   part way through the paragraph it says:
25
              "Although human rights work requires the
```

identification off a person as a subject of 1 2 rights, social science research has suggested 3 that sexual identities are socially constructed." 4 5 Do you agree with that statement? 6 (Brief pause.) 7 Q. Well, let's take the second half. Do you agree with the statement that "social science 8 research has suggested that sexual identities are socially 10 constructed"? 11 That certainly has been suggested. Do you think that's an unreasonable suggestion? 12 13 Well, as I said earlier, I think that you have to look at the social construction's perspective from the group's 14 15 standpoint, and in that regard if it points you to looking at cultural influences and cultural variation, that's a very 16 17 useful perspective. I don't believe that the social construction's 18 perspective works very well when you try to apply it to the 19 specific experiences of individuals. 2.0 21 Now, as far as this statement goes, I'm not -- as I said, I have not seen this article before, so I don't 22 23 understand the context in which they are making this statement, 24 except that they seem to be talking about the fact that -- and

I'm guessing this, that people who are lesbian, gay or

```
bisexual, and perhaps transgender as well, face oppression and
   even torture in some societies and some countries. And I'm
 2
 3
   quessing that the authors think that's bad, but I don't know
 4
    the context in which this particular statement was being made.
 5
   Q.
        Thank you.
 6
             MR. NIELSON: Your Honor, I would like to offer
 7
   DIX-1254.
              MR. DETTMER: No objection, your Honor.
 8
 9
              THE COURT: Very well.
              (Defendants' Exhibit 1254 received in evidence.)
10
11
   BY MR. NIELSON:
12
      All right. Thank you.
13
              Professor Herek, please turn to tab 38 in the witness
   binder, where you will find a document premarked DIX-1278.
14
15
              (Witness complied.)
        Can you identify that document many?
16
17
        Well, of the it's a reprint of a web page, it appears to
   be, from the American Psychiatric Association. The title on it
18
   is "Healthy Minds. Healthy Lives."
19
2.0
        Thank you.
   Q.
21
              And if you turn to the first page of the printout
   after you have the addresses, under "Gay/Lesbians/Bisexuals"
22
23
   and under "What causes Homosexuality/Heterosexuality/
24
   Bisexuality, part way through that sentence -- part way
25
    through the paragraph you find a sentence that reads:
```

1 "However, to date there are no replicated scientific studies supporting any specific 2 3 biological etiology for homosexuality." 4 And you agree with that, correct? 5 Well, I would say that I am not sufficiently familiar with 6 the research literature to say that there are absolutely no 7 replicated biological studies. But I would agree with the statement at the beginning 8 9 of that paragraph which says, "No one knows what causes heterosexuality, homosexuality, or bisexuality." 10 Right. And are you aware of any replicated scientific 11 studies that do support a specific biological etiology for 12 homosexuality? 13 Well, I -- I have a sense that there might be some, but 14 I -- this is not something that I prepared for in terms of 15 coming today. 16 17 But as I said, I would certainly agree with the statement that we don't know what the origins are of sexual 18 orientation. 19 20 Okay. Thank you. MR. NIELSON: Your Honor, I would like to offer 21 22 DIX-1278 into evidence. 23 MR. DETTMER: No objection, your Honor. 24 **THE COURT:** I beg your pardon?

MR. DETTMER: No objection.

1 THE COURT: Very well. 1278 is in. 2 (Defendants' Exhibit 1278 received in evidence.) BY MR. NIELSON: 3 4 All right. Let's turn to tab 41. 5 (Witness complied.) 6 Q. I'm going to skip a little bit here. And you will find a 7 document premarked DIX-642. Can you identify that? I'm going to mispronounce the names. The first author is 8 A.F., I'm guessing it's pronounced, J-O-R-M. And there are several other authors. 10 The title of this is "Cohort Differences" -- I'm 11 sorry. "Cohort Difference in Sexual Orientation: Results From 12 13 a Large Age-Stratified Population Sample." This appears to have been published in the journal 14 15 Gerontology in 2003, and these authors are located in Australia. 16 17 Q. All right. Thank you. Now, this study found that women in recent birth 18 cohorts in the United States, Britain and Australia were more 19 likely to report having a female sexual partner during 2.0 21 adulthood. 22 And you'll see that on 392 and 393, if you look at the introduction. 23 24 And on page 393 --25 Excuse me. I'm sorry. Again, this is not a paper I have

reviewed. When it says, "They were more likely to report

having a female sexual partner during adulthood," more likely

than what or whom.

Q. Older-age cohorts.

2.0

2.1

- $5 | \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{I}$ I see, okay. Okay.
 - Q. All right. And if you look at the third -- well, the second full paragraph on 393, in the -- the authors conclude:

"These findings suggest a major cohort affect in same-gender sexual behavior and perhaps also in sexual orientation. If a cohort effect in sexual orientation exists, it has implications for purely biological theories of sexual orientation, because there must be historical changes in environmental factors that account for such an effect."

Do you believe that's an unreasonable conclusion?

A. I believe that's a hypothesis one could make.

I would offer an alternative hypothesis just -- if I were sitting down to read this oral article, the first thing that would come to my mind is that people who grew up in the 1920's and 1930's and the early part of twentieth century would have been growing up in a time where there was very strong repression against people who were lesbian or gay and, in fact, when there wasn't much open discussion of sexuality at all.

And so I would say that it would be a reasonable

hypothesis to say that these age cohort effects might be due to the differing levels of stigma experienced by people in 2 3 different age cohorts, such that older people might be extremely reluctant to disclose a same-sex attraction or 5 homosexual behavior or being lesbian, gay or bisexual than 6 would people in newer cohorts, more recent cohorts. 7 Okay. So that's an alternative hypothesis. Do you believe that the hypothesis suggested by the 8 9 authors is unreasonable? Well, I assume that they are going to test it. Although, 10 again, I haven't read this article. 11 It's not an up reasonable hypothesis to pose and for 12 all I know, again not having read this article, sometimes 13 authors pose a hypothesis that they actually expect to disprove 14 15 in the course of the study. That may be the case here. I just don't know because I haven't read the paper. 16 17 MR. NIELSON: Your Honor, I would like to offer DIX-642. 18 19 MR. DETTMER: No objection, your Honor. THE COURT: 642 is in. 2.0 (Defendants' Exhibit 642 received in evidence.) 21 22 MR. NIELSON: All right. Thank you. 23 BY MR. NIELSON: 24 Now, you mentioned in your direct testimony the Williams

Institute study about a survey of same-sex couples in

1 | Massachusetts, correct?

4

6

7

8

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

2 A. Well, the Williams Institute -- I believe, researchers
3 from there wrote the report. I believe it was carried out by

the Massachusetts Department of Public Health.

5 Q. All right. Thank you.

Now, apart from that, all of the studies that you relied on in finding that marriage had physical and mental health benefits, in all of those studies "marriage" referred to legal marriage recognized by the state, correct?

- 10 A. Yeah. I -- I'm just pausing because I -- I believe that
 11 in many cases they simply asked people if they were married.
- 12 I'm not sure that they provided that sort of definition to the 13 respondents. They simply asked them their marital status, but 14 that would be implicit in it, yes.
- 15 **Q.** All right. And in all the studies on which you relied, 16 this has always been heterosexual marriage, correct?
- 17 | A. | In those studies, yes.
- 18 $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$ Thank you.

And with respect to the physical and mental health outcomes that you say are associated with marriage, you do not believe there have been studies published that compared heterosexual married couples with same-sex married couples, correct?

- $\|\mathbf{A}$. That is correct, to the best of my knowledge.
- 25 $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$ And can you name any tangible benefits that matter that

would be available to married couples that are not available for domestic partnership couples in California? Tangible.

MR. DETTMER: Objection, your Honor -- I'm sorry.

THE COURT: I beg your pardon?

MR. DETTMER: I'm sorry. I didn't want to interrupt.

 $$\operatorname{I'm}$$ going to object to the extent the question calls for a legal conclusion.

THE COURT: Have you finished the question?

MR. NIELSON: The question is whether the witness can identify any tangible benefits that would be available to a married couple that are not available for a domestic partnership couple in California.

THE COURT: Objection overruled.

A. Well, I would say that I -- I'm not a legal scholar, so I don't know about the law. I am vaguely familiar with the fact that there are some differences between domestic partnerships and marital relationships in California.

I would say that in terms of tangible benefits -meaning money, inheritance rights, that sort of thing -- that
you don't see the difference there. You see the difference
more in the intangible and symbolic meaning of being married
and the -- what may be intangible and, yet, real consequences
of perhaps having a more stable, long-enduring relationship
that might be associated with that label of marriage.

Q. All right.

2.0

- **A.** For tangible benefits, I would not be able to name them.
- Q. Okay. Thank you.

1

2

5

7

8

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- And you talked a little bit about hate crimes. Are hate crimes illegal in California?
 - A. I think crime is illegal in California.

6 (Laughter.)

- Q. Correct. And are crimes -- and are crimes committed on the basis of sexual orientation illegal in California?
- 9 A. Yes, they are illegal in California. And, in fact, they
 10 still continue to occur.
- 11 **Q.** And do you believe there is a link between denying -- or 12 between defining marriage as a union of a man and a woman in
- 13 | hate crimes?
- A. Well, I think that it's -- as I said earlier, when we look at structural stigma related to sexual orientation, it provides a context in which all sorts of things happen, all sorts of behaviors toward people in the stigmatized group.
 - And so I would say that a direct relationship between those two is not empirically established, to my knowledge, but that structural stigma, as basically creating the atmosphere in which individual enactments of stigma occur, that there is potentially a relationship there, yes.
 - MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, I believe I'm concluded, but I just want to quickly consult, if I may, for just a moment?

1 THE COURT: Very well. I hope we get good news. 2 (Laughter.) (Discussion held off the record 3 4 amongst defense counsel.) 5 MR. NIELSON: Your Honor, I'm happy to report that I 6 have no further questions. 7 THE COURT: Very well. Mr. Dettmer, redirect? MR. DETTMER: Thank you, your Honor. I will try to 8 9 be brief. 10 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. DETTMER: Good evening, Professor Herek. 12 13 (Laughter.) There were a series of questions this morning about the 14 15 definition of sexual orientation that researchers such as yourself might use; do you research those questions? 16 17 Yes. Α. Now, I believe you explained that the different aspects, 18 the three different aspects of sexual orientation will depend 19 upon what the researcher is -- is researching, is that correct? 2.0 Correct. Using the appropriate operational definition 21 22 would depend on the goals of the research. 23 MR. DETTMER: If I could ask for 17, please? 24 (Document displayed) 25 Professor Herek, if you could turn to tab 12 in the large

binder? If you look at page 24?

A. Which tab?

2

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

3 I'm sorry. Tab 12.

4 (Brief pause.)

- I'm sorry. This is the large binder that Mr. Nielson 5 6 showed you.
- 7 Oh, oh. I'm very sorry. I was looking in the wrong thing. 8
 - Actually, if you want to just look at the screen, that Q. would be super. I have put up the tax there as well. This is from page 24 of tab 12.

It says:

"So what is the correct definition of the LGB population? The answer depends on the purpose of the study. A researcher who is interested in risks for HIV/Aids among men who have sex with men, MSM's, might focus on behavioral definitions because behavior affects risk exposure regardless of personal identity.

"A researcher who is interested in developmental milestones of gay youth might focus on identity definitions, because development of a gay identity is a core task facing the youth.

"Thus, there is not one answer to the 1 2 question. It is the researcher's 3 intellectual responsibility to answer this 4 question with reasoned justification. 5 researcher must define the population on the 6 basis of the study's objectives and its 7 underlying conceptual framework." Is that consistent with your understanding of the 8 technical definition that you've given of "sexual orientation"? 10 It's very consistent with that. A. Yes. 11 Are there any other examples you can give of how those three different aspects might be applied? 12 13 Yeah. Okay. He's got HIV and Aids in there, Α. developmental milestones. 14 15 Now he's was talking about, for example, if you want to look at discrimination and its impact, you might look at 16 17 identity definitions. At this hour of the day, I would be hard-pressed to 18 come up with very many more examples. 19 2.0 Fair enough. Q. MR. DETTMER: Now, if I could ask for demonstrative 21 22 18, please. 23 (Document displayed) BY MR. DETTMER: 24

And the question is, Professor Herek, that that -- that

definitional issue that researchers, social science researchers
come up with isn't limited to sexual orientation, is it? It
also comes up in other contexts?

THE COURT: Stop there before it becomes more compound.

MR. DETTMER: I was just going to refer Professor Herek to the demonstrative, your Honor.

A. Okay. And I'm sorry, could you repeat the question?

BY MR. DETTMER:

2.0

Q. Maybe I'll rephrase the question at His Honor's suggestion.

Do social science researchers who are researching issues other than sexual orientation have the same definitional issues?

A. Well, yes. I believe I stated earlier, and I see it here in this, what I guess is a quote from the Dean and Meyer paper.

You know, when we talk about race, for example, this is something that can be difficult to define. I mean, some people -- for some people it's very clear, but for other people they may come from a mixed racial background and it's a question of which part of their family or which part of their ancestry they most identify with and label themselves. Their skin color may not be very revealing of them belonging to one race or another. They might -- they may develop an identity as a member of one race or the other race or as a mixed race

1 | individual.

5

6

7

So, no, sexual orientation is certainly not the only area in which things get pretty messy when we are trying to study them.

- Q. Okay. And just for the record's sake, the last part of the quotation that I have put up from -- its tab 13, pages 134 and 135. It says:
- "Not surprisingly, these difficulties mirror
 similar problems that have been recognized
 and examined relating to the classification
 of people based on race and ethnicity."

 Is that what you are referring to, Professor Herek?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- 14 Q. That same problem?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And, in fact, talking -- we spent a lot of time this
 morning talking about labels that people apply and whether
 certain labels apply to different groups of sexual minorities,
 listhat right?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 **Q.** Would the same label issue come up with respect to racial 22 or ethnic minorities?
- 23 A. Well, certainly. If we just look back even in our
 24 relatively brief history of just, say, the past 100 years, we
 25 see that many different terms have been used or have come into

- favor and gone out of favor for describing particular racial or ethnic groups. So, again, there has been change and evolution
- 3 | in that area as well.
- $4 \parallel \mathbf{Q}$. And similarly, we talked about the identity that gay men
- 5 | and lesbians may have with the gay and lesbian community. Do
- 6 you remember that, that discussion this morning?
- 7 $\|$ **A.** It seems like a long time ago, yes.
- 8 Q. Would those same issues of strength of identification with
- 9 a community be present when you're talking about racial groups
- 10 | as well?
- 11 **A.** Yes. And, in fact, I think that some of the research on
- 12 | lesbian and gay identity has borrowed from previous research on
- 13 | racial and ethnic minority identities as a starting point, a
- 14 way to try to think about the phenomenon.
- 15 **Q.** Now, back to sexual orientation.
- 16 There was a lot of discussion this morning about the
- 17 circumstances in which the three different aspects that you
- 18 mentioned, behavior, attraction and identity, a lot of
- 19 discussion about when those three are not in -- when they don't
- 20 | overlap. Do you remember that testimony?
- 21 **A.** Yeah. When they don't match up, yes.
- 22 Q. Is it your understanding or is it your opinion that those
- 23 three definitions overlap for the vast majority of people?
- 24 $\|$ **A.** As I think I've said several times today, for the vast
- 25 | majority of people, we do see consistency across those three

- l $\|$ different aspects of sexual orientation.
- 2 Q. And how do you know that?
- 3 A. Well, I will go back again to the Laumann and Gagnon
- 4 study, which is a very good study. And, again, they found that
- 5 | roughly 90 percent of their participants matched heterosexual
- 6 on all three of those aspects of sexual orientation. And
- 7 | another, I believe it was roughly two percent of the sample,
- 8 | matched it on lesbian, gay and bisexual identity attraction and
- 9 behavior. And then the rest of the sample was where there --
- 10 | things weren't so neat and didn't all match up.
- 11 Q. Now, taking this out of the research context of what
- 12 | research -- how researchers defined sexual orientation, I think
- 13 you testified earlier that you have asked many people who are
- 14 | not social scientists what their sexual orientation is, is that
- 15 || correct?
- 16 A. Yes, in various surveys and questionnaire studies.
- 17 Q. Do you have any estimation of how many people you have
- 18 asked that question of, through surveys or otherwise?
- 19 **A.** Thousands.
- 20 $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$ Is it your opinion that most people can answer that
- 21 | question intelligently?
- 22 | A. It's my opinion that most people can answer that question
- 23 | based not only on my research, but on other research as well.
- 24 For example, in the Laumann and Gagnon study, they
- 25 pointed this out as well; that most people were able to answer

the question. They did have a problem. Some people didn't seem to understand the word "heterosexual," but they understood "straight."

So part of it is asking the question in the right way with the correct language, but, yes, most people can answer the question.

Q. Now, there were a long series of questions today about -- well, actually, let me ask you a different question first.

There were a series of questions about identifying the causes of sexual orientation; do you remember that?

A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

- 12 Q. Now, even if you don't know what causes sexual
 13 orientation, does that change your opinion that some people are
 14 straight, some people are gay, some people are lesbian?
- 15 || **A.** And some people are bisexual.

Yes, I don't see those two as being related, inherently related to each other.

- Q. Do you find that -- do you have an opinion about whether most people are consistent in their sexual orientation over time?
- 21 A. Well, again, I would go back to the Laumann and Gagnon 22 study and point out that it suggests that people tend to be.

And as I believe I have said, I think if you are a betting person, the best bet is to -- is that a person, if you don't know anything else about them, to assume that they

probably will be consistent in the future provided that, for example, they are going to actually engage in having sex; that 2 3 that -- having sex would be consistent with their current 4 identity.

For some people that's not how it works, obviously, but I would say that the pattern is that people tend to be consistent.

- Can you characterize the proportion of people who are consistent in their sexual orientation?
- Well, again, I go back to the Laumann and Gagnon and say 10 that there, it's somewhere in the neighborhood of 92 percent. 11
- Realizing that there have been instances in the past, 12
- especially for lesbian, gay and bisexual people, where they may 13
- have had a period in which they did engage in heterosexual 14
- 15 behavior.

5

6

7

8

9

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

But especially when we talk about identity and attractions, I think that we see a great deal of consistency there for many, many people.

- You mentioned a couple times that there is a continuum -that the concept of a continuum is a useful way to think about sexual orientation? Why do you say that?
- Well, because the concept of the continuum alerts us to the fact that we have to be careful about those categories; 23 24 that there are, in fact, these instances of individuals who don't fit neatly into the category of heterosexual or gay or

lesbian.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

And this is where Kinsey's work was useful, I think, in sensitizing us to the idea that people would have varying levels of sexual attraction and experience toward men or toward women and not to simply think in black and white terms. And I think that gets us closer to reality, so that's very useful.

- Okay. Does that concept that you have just described, does it change your opinion that people do not choose their sexual orientation?
- Well, some people in my study said that they did feel that they had some degree of choice about their sexual orientation, but they were certainly in the minority.

Among gay men, only -- in the national sample, only about five percent said that they felt that they had any significant degree of choice about their sexual orientation.

For lesbians, it was somewhere between 10 and 20 percent who said they felt they had some degree of choice. And it was somewhat more for bisexuals.

So there are some people who say that they perceive a degree of choice about their sexual orientation, but in my research the majority said they did not.

- Now, I want to ask you about some of the specific writings 23 that you were asked to look at earlier today.
- 24 Okay.
- Mr. Nielson referred to a number of studies authored by 25

Professor Diamond. Do you remember those studies?

A. Yes.

- 3 $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$ Do the portions of those studies that you read cast any
- 4 doubt on your opinion that for the vast majority of people, the
- 5 three different aspects of sexual orientation -- attraction,
- 6 | behavior and identity -- overlap?
- 7 | A. Well, I believe that what Lisa Diamond did in her research
- 8 was she looked at -- at a sample of people who had a high
- 9 likelihood of not necessarily overlapping over a period of
- 10 | time.
- 11 And so I don't -- I don't want to in any way sound
- 12 like I'm denigrating her research. I think it's very useful,
- 13 but she also made it very clear in her book and in her various
- 14 | articles that this was not a representative sample; that you
- 15 | couldn't use these data to generalize about the entire
- 16 population; but what she was trying to do was to document that
- 17 | this plasticity does occur, especially for some women, and I
- 18 | think to try to help us to better understand for those women in
- 19 whom this occurs exactly how it happens.
- 20 Q. There are also a number of studies that you looked at from
- 21 Professor Peplau, specifically, I think, in tab 14 of the large
- 22 | binder.
- 23 I'm not going to ask you to look at it again,
- 24 | although I do want to bring up one portion of that document.
- 25 MR. DETTMER: If I could ask 19, please?

1 (Document displayed) 2 BY MR. DETTMER: And let me just read this into the record. This is from 3 4 page 333 of tab 14, which is defendants 1235. 5 It says: 6 "Claims about the potential erotic plasticity 7 of women do not mean that most women will actually exhibit change over time. At a 8 9 young age, many women adopt patterns of heterosexuality that are stable across their 10 11 lifetime. Some women adopt enduring patterns 12 of same-sex attractions and relationships." 13 Is that consistent with your understanding of Professor Peplau's theory regarding plasticity? 14 15 Yes. Α. Is it also consistent with your own understanding? 16 17 Α. Yes. Now, are you familiar with a person named Daniel Robinson, 18 19 a college professor? I became familiar with his name in the course of this 2.0 21 case, but I otherwise was not aware of him. MR. DETTMER: If I could ask for exhibit -- sorry, 22 demonstrative number 20? 23 (Document displayed) 24 25

BY MR. DETTMER:

2.0

Q. This is three lines from Professor Robinson's 's deposition in this case.

And just so you know, Professor Robinson was an expert hired by the defendant-intervenors, the proponents of Proposition 8.

MR. NIELSON: Your Honor, I am going to object to that, at least to preserve our objection. We withdrew him before the start of trial.

THE COURT: I cannot hear you.

MR. NIELSON: I am going to object to this. We withdrew Professor Robinson before the start of trial. So I want to state my objection.

MR. DETTMER: Your Honor, I believe Professor
Robinson lives in either England or Maryland and is, thus,
farther than 100 miles from the courthouse. And I believe
under FRCP 32 we may use his deposition for any purpose.

THE COURT: Very well, proceed.

19 BY MR. DETTMER:

Q. The testimony I'm asking you to look at, Professor Herek, says -- the question is:

"QUESTION: Now, do you believe that sexual orientation is readily subject to change?"

The answer from Professor Robinson is:

"ANSWER: No."

1 Is that consistent with your understanding of whether sexual orientation is readily subject to change? 2 3 I would give the same response. 4 Now, there were a series of questions that you were asked 5 about sexual orientation change therapy or reparative therapy; 6 do you remember those? 7 Α. Yes. And I would like to, again, put up testimony from 8 Professor Robinson. 10 MR. DETTMER: This is number 21, please. (Document displayed) 11 BY MR. DETTMER: 12 13 And I will read it into the record. "QUESTION: Were you aware at the time you 14 15 did your report that the APA reached that conclusion? 16 17 "ANSWER: Yes. In fact, I have noted often the refractory nature of homosexuality to any 18 kind of therapeutic intervention and, 19 therefore, it wouldn't be at all surprising 2.0 that enduring changes would not be common." 2.1 22 MR. NIELSON: Again, just a continuing objection. 23 THE COURT: Very well. This deposition was taken in 24 the course of the litigation. 25 MR. NIELSON: I guess my understanding is they are

```
offering him as their own witness.
 2
              MR. DETTMER: No, your Honor. We are simply reading
 3
   his deposition into the record, a portion of it.
   BY MR. DETTMER:
 4
 5
   ο.
         (As read)
 6
              "QUESTION: And you have not found enduring
 7
              change as a result of therapy to be common?"
              Professor Robinson's response:
 8
 9
              "ANSWER: No, it's not common. It's not
10
              reported to be common."
11
              Is that consistent with your understanding of the
   state of the research on sexual orientation change therapy?
12
13
        Yes. It is consistent with my understanding.
        Now, you were also asked several questions about Professor
14
15
   Spitzer's work having to do with this type of therapy; do you
   remember that?
16
17
        Yes.
   Α.
        So let me put up another excerpt from Professor Robinson
18
19
   deposition.
2.0
              MR. DETTMER: This is 22, please.
   BY MR. DETTMER:
21
22
   Q.
        The question:
23
              "QUESTION: Okay. So when you make a
24
              statement, homosexuality is no more immutable
              than those identities one takes on in various
25
```

walks and works of life, and you don't limit 1 2 that to a group where there's 93 percent of 3 people deeply religious and 78 percent of 4 people who are on speaking engagements often 5 at churches, is it appropriate in your view 6 to take a finding in that one limited type of 7 sample and apply it generally as you do in 8 your report?

> "ANSWER: If my statement about the immutability of homosexuality were tied exclusively to Spitzer's research or anything like it, then, indeed, it would be an implausible inference."

Do you see that?

Yes, I see that.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

25

- Do you know what is referred in the question about the 93 percent of people deeply religious and 78 percent of people who are on speaking engagements, often at churches?
- Well, I'm not sure that I would recall the exact percentages, but I believe that those are the characteristics of the sample that Dr. Spitzer used in his study, whom he recruited mainly through groups that are supportive of and promoting reparative therapy.

24 And he did comment, either in the paper or in his -he wrote a later response to some of the criticisms, or perhaps

```
even in the press, that he was aware of the -- that the members
 2
   of this sample, it was a very, very religious group of people
 3
   and that they were also very strongly involved in these
 4
   organizations that promote reparative therapy. And he thought
 5
   that that was an important qualification on his findings,
 6
   suggesting that these same findings would not be observed,
 7
   perhaps, for a group of people who didn't match his sample in
    terms of their religious beliefs and their activities related
 8
   to reparative therapy.
10
        Turning to a slightly different topic, Mr. Nielson had you
11
    looked at Exhibit PX-912, which is the study by John Gonsiorek
   and James Weinrich, "The Definition and Scope Of Sexual
12
13
   Orientation."
              And he asked you questions about a line in that study
14
15
   saying:
              "There is little unanimity about the use of
16
              the words lesbian and gay as opposed to
17
              homosexual."
18
              Do you remember that questioning?
19
2.0
        Sort of, vaguely.
        Okay. If I could ask for 23, please?
21
   Q.
22
              (Document displayed)
23
   Q.
         This is another excerpt from that same document.
                                                            It says:
24
              "We suggested the term sexual preference is
25
              misleading, as it assumes conscious or
```

deliberate choice and may trivialize the 1 2 depth of the psychological processes 3 involved. We recommend the term sexual 4 orientation because most of research findings 5 indicate that homosexual feelings are a basic 6 part of an individual's psyche and are 7 established much earlier than conscious choice would indicate." 8 9 Do you agree with that? Yes. Yes, I do. 10 A. 11 Now, you may recall that some of the deposition testimony from two of the plaintiffs in this case was read to you. 12 13 you remember that? 14 Yes. 15 MR. DETTMER: I want to put up 30, please. 16 (Document displayed) 17 BY MR. DETTMER: And this is trial testimony from our plaintiff, Kris 18 Perry, in this case. She says in this trial -- she was asked: 19 2.0 "QUESTION: And tell my what that means in your own words. What does it mean to be a 21 lesbian?" 22 23 The answer that Ms. Perry gave was: 24 "ANSWER: Well, for me, what it means is, I 25 have always felt strong attraction and

1 interest in women and formed really close 2 relationships with women, and I have only ever fallen in love with women. And the 3 4 happiest I feel is in my relationship with 5 Sandy, and -- because I'm in love with her." 6 Is that testimony consistent with your understanding 7 of what it means to be a lesbian? Well, it seems to have all of those elements of attraction 8 and desire, as well as, apparently, behavior and the relationship and the self-labeling. 10 11 So, yes, I would say that this is consistent with what I was saying about what constitutes sexual orientation in 12 13 general and in this case lesbianism. And I'm going to put up some more of Ms. Perry's trial 14 15 testimony. MR. DETTMER: 31, please. 16 17 (Document displayed) BY MR. DETTMER: 18 She was asked in this trial: 19 "QUESTION: Do you feel that in the past you 20 could have developed that same kind of bond 21 with a man?" 22 23 Ms. Perry's answer was: 24 "ANSWER: I was unable to do that. I, as I 25 said, grew up in Bakersfield, California and

2.0

it was in the 70's and 80's. And all of my friends, as we were getting older and they were beginning to date, became more and more interested in boys. And I recognized that that was something that would have -- would have been the best thing for me to do if I could. And I did data few boys because it was -- it did make life easier, you know. Then I would have a date to go to the prom, too, or I could go to a party, too. But as I got a little bit older, it became clear to me that I didn't feel the same way my friends did about boys and that there was something different about me."

Is that consistent with your understanding of the coming-out process?

A. Yeah. And I think it's consistent with some of what I said earlier about the idea that many lesbians and gay men at some point this their lives, especially during adolescence or young adulthood, often will try to have a relationship with someone who's of the other sex, and that this may include sexual contact as well.

But I think that what this quote suggests is that she may have tried to do that, but it just didn't work for her and she recognized that her attractions were to women.

```
I have one more excerpt from Ms. Perry's testimony in this
 2
   trial.
 3
              MR. DETTMER: 32, please.
 4
              (Document displayed)
 5
   BY MR. DETTMER:
 6
   Q.
        Ms. Perry was asked:
 7
              "QUESTION: Do you feel that you were born
              with those feelings, with that kind of sexual
 8
 9
              orientation."
              Her answer was:
10
11
              "ANSWER: Yes, I do.
12
              "QUESTION: Do you feel it could change in
13
              the future? Do you have a sense that it
              might somehow change?"
14
15
              Her answer was:
16
              "ANSWER: I'm 45 years old. I don't think
17
              so."
              Is that testimony consistent with your understanding
18
   of the -- of the constancy of sexual orientation?
19
        Yeah, with -- in terms of the constancy. I would say
2.0
   that, you know, the question about whether one is born with
21
   feelings, this is something we don't know. One can have that
22
   subjective sense.
23
24
              This doesn't address the question of, really, what
   are the origins of sexual orientation, but the idea of
25
```

believing that this is a constant in one's life.

And I think it's reasonable to expect that by the age of 45, when one is in a committed relationship, one probably does have a pretty good sense of the constancy of where their life is likely to go in the future.

Q. Just a couple more questions. And this goes to defining gay men and lesbians.

If two women want to marry each other, is it a reasonable assumption that they are lesbians?

- **A.** I think it's a reasonable assumption, yes.
- 11 **Q.** And if two men want to marry each other, is it a 12 reasonable assumption that they are gay?
- 13 | A. Yes.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

14 MR. DETTMER: I have no more questions, your Honor?

15 THE COURT: Very well. Thank you.

And thank you, Professor Herek. I think you of win the long distance award.

(Laughter.)

(Witness excused.)

THE COURT: Very well. With respect to the Robinson deposition, Mr. Nielson, you can, of course, offer excerpts under 32(a)(6), if you wish to do so. You can get those in on Monday. That would be fine.

And, let's see. Mr. Boutrous, you said you had about 90 minutes.

```
1
             MR. BOUTROUS: Yes, your Honor.
 2
              THE COURT: I gather that you're prepared to do that
 3
   on Monday morning?
 4
             MR. BOUTROUS: We'll be prepared first thing Monday
 5
   morning, your Honor.
 6
              THE COURT: That means -- and then with the exception
 7
   of, perhaps, organizing exhibits and so forth, the plaintiffs
   will rest.
 8
 9
             MR. BOUTROUS: Exactly.
              THE COURT: All right. Then who is the first witness
10
11
   we are going to hear on the other side?
12
             MR. COOPER: Your Honor, we are not sure who will be
13
   first, but it will either be Dr. Ken Miller or Mr. David
14
   Blankenhorn.
15
              THE COURT: Very well. And it might be helpful if
   you could give the other side an idea. Usually that's -- are
16
17
    they both going to testify on Monday, and we will get all their
    testimony completed.
18
             MR. COOPER: We will identify 48 fours beforehand
19
2.0
   which one it will be. We honestly just haven't decided yet,
   but we will do so tomorrow morning.
21
22
             THE COURT: Have a nice weekend, I guess is the
23
   comment.
24
              (Laughter.)
25
              THE COURT: Mr. Boies, you are gesturing.
```

```
1
             MR. BOIES: I was just trying to count when 48 hours
   was, your Honor.
 2
 3
              I actually thought that the agreement was that for
 4
   the first witnesses we would have more time, which is what we
 5
   gave them. So I thought actually we were going to get more
 6
    than 48 hours notice of their first witness, but it will be
 7
   what it will be.
              THE COURT: Do I understand you are planning
 8
9
   presently only on two witnesses?
10
             MR. COOPER: Two witnesses, your Honor, two expert
11
   witnesses.
12
              We may well call another witness primarily for the
13
   purpose of authenticating documents.
              THE COURT: Okay. Is that one of the proponents?
14
             MR. COOPER: No, not one of the proponents.
15
              THE COURT: Well, I guess on the plaintiffs' team you
16
   better be ready for both of those witnesses; Young and -- no,
17
   not Young. Miller and --
18
             MR. COOPER: And Mr. Blankenhorn.
19
20
              THE COURT: Blankenhorn, yes.
21
             MR. COOPER: As I say, I think we will resolve that
22
    this evening and let our friends for the plaintiffs know
23
    tomorrow morning.
24
              THE COURT: All right. Well, have a pleasant weekend
25
   everybody.
```

Ī					
1	Anything further?				
2	(No response.)				
3	THE COURT: All right. Good.				
4	(Whereupon at 4:53 p.m. further proceedings				
5	in the above-entitled cause was adjourned				
6	until Monday, January 25, 2010 at 8:30 a.m.)				
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

-			
1	INDEX		
2	PLAINTIFFS' WITNESSES	PAGE	VOL.
3	HEREK, GREGORY M. (SWORN)	2017	9
4	Direct Examination by Mr. Dettmer Cross Examination by Mr. Nielson	2017 2059	9 9
5	Cross Examination Resumed by Mr. Nielson Redirect Examination by Mr. Dettmer		9
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	EXHIBIT INDEX					
2	PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBITS		IDEN	VOL.	EVID	VOL.
3	764 884				2024 2024	9 9
4	885 886				2024 2024	9
5	887				2024	9
6	888				2024 2024	9
7	894 898				2024 2024	9 9 9
8	901 902 903				2024 2024 2024	9 9 9
9	906 907				2024 2024 2024	9
10	911 912				2024 2024 2024	9
11	915 916				2024 2024 2024	9
12	917 918				2024 2024 2024	9 9 9
13	919 920				2024 2024 2024	9
14	924 925				2024 2024 2024	9 9 9
15	928 929				2024 2024 2024	9
16	930 931				2024 2024	9
17	932 933				2024 2024	9
18	936 940				2024 2024	9
19	945 946				2024 2024	9
20	947 949				2024 2024	9
21	953 954				2024 2024	9
22	956 957				2024 2024	9
23	958				2024	9
24	(EXHIBITS CO	NTINUED ON	NEXT PAG	E)		
25						

1	EXHIBIT INDEX (CONTINUED):				
2	PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBITS	IDEN	VOL.	EVID	VOL.
3	959 963			2024 2024	9 9
4	970 971			2024 2024	9
5	1503 2265			2257 2024	9
6	2326 2326			2021 2024	9
7	2338 2350			2024 2024	9
8	2542 2543			2014 2014	9
9	2563 2564			2024 2024	9
10	2565 2567			2024 2024	9
11					-
12	DEFENDANTS' EXHIBITS	<u>IDEN</u>	VOL.	EVID	VOL.
13	626			2249	9
14	642 658			2299 2207	9 9
1 4	666			2251	9
15	856			2246	9
	935			2188	9
16	950, Pages 4-7			2105	9
-	950, Pages 29-30			2105	9
17				2105	9
	1229			2231	9
18	1235			2128	9
	1235			2133	9
19	1237			2293	9
	1239			2138	9
20	1254			2295	9
	1265			2169	9
21	1266			2181	9
	1268			2198	9
22	1270			2235	9
0.0	1272			2163	9
23	1278			2297	9
24	2292			2227	9
24	2654, Pages 20-23 2682			2090 2186	9 9
25				2100	,

1 2 3 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTERS 4 We, KATHERINE POWELL SULLIVAN and DEBRA L. PAS, 5 Official Reporters for the United States Court, Northern District of California, hereby certify that the foregoing 6 7 proceedings in C 09-2292 VRW, Kristin M. Perry, et al. vs. Arnold Schwarzenegger, in his official capacity as Governor of 8 California, et al., were reported by us, certified shorthand 10 reporters, and were thereafter transcribed under our direction 11 into typewriting; that the foregoing is a full, complete and 12 true record of said proceedings at the time of filing. 13 14 /s/ Katherine Powell Sullivan 15 Katherine Powell Sullivan, CSR #5812, RPR, CRR 16 U.S. Court Reporter 17 18 19 /s/ Debra L. Pas 2.0 Debra L. Pas, CSR #11916, RMR CRR U.S. Court Reporter 21 22 Friday, January 22, 2010 23 24 25