# COLORADO COURT OF APPEALS, STATE OF COLORADO 2 East 14<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Suite 300 Denver, CO 80203 COLORADO CIVIL RIGHTS COMMISSION DEPARTMENT OF REGULATORY AGENCIES 1560 Broadway, Suite 1050 Denver, CO 80202 2013-0008 **APPELLANTS:** MASTERPIECE CAKESHOP, INC., and any COURT USE ONLY successor entity, and JACK C. PHILLIPS, **APPELLEES:** CHARLIE CRAIG and DAVID MULLINS. **Attorneys**: Case Number: Nicolle H. Martin, No. 28737 7175 W. Jefferson Avenue, Suite 4000 Lakewood, Colorado 80235 (O) 303-332-4547 nicolle@centurylink.net Michael J. Norton, No. 6430 Natalie L. Decker, No. 28596 Alliance Defending Freedom 7951 E. Maplewood Avenue, Suite 100 Greenwood Village, CO 80111 (O) 720-689-2410 minorton@alliancedefendingfreedom.org ndecker@alliancedefendingfreedom.org Jeremy D. Tedesco, AZ No. 023497 Alliance Defending Freedom 15100 N. 90<sup>th</sup> Street Scottsdale, AZ 85260 (O) 480-444-0020 jtedesco@alliancedefendingfreedom.org

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Appellants, Masterpiece Cakeshop, Inc. and Jack Phillips ("Jack"), by and through counsel, hereby submit this Notice of Appeal pursuant to C.A.R. 3.

#### I. DESCRIPTION OF THE NATURE OF THE CASE.

#### A. Nature of the Controversy.

Jack Phillips has owned and operated Masterpiece Cakeshop, Inc. (collectively Jack) since 1994. Jack's bakery specializes in elaborate cake creations that celebrate the important events of his customers' lives. Jack conducts his life and his business in accord with his religious beliefs. Because of this, Jack does not create cakes that conflict with those beliefs. Among these are cakes celebrating same-sex unions because he holds to the religious conviction that marriage is a union between one man and one woman. Nor does Jack create cakes or any baked goods for Halloween, because he does not wish to participate in the celebration of what he believes is a pagan holiday. In July of 2012, Appellees visited Jack's cake shop and requested a wedding cake for their same-sex wedding. Jack declined their request, explaining that he would be happy to provide any other baked goods, but he could not design and create a wedding cake for them because of his beliefs about marriage. Appellees then filed a complaint with the Colorado Division of Civil Rights alleging discrimination because of sexual orientation and the Colorado Civil Rights Commission (Commission) filed a formal complaint against Jack, alleging that he violated Colorado's public accommodation statute –

Colo. Rev. Stat. § 24-34-601 (2). Jack argued that (1) he did not violate the statute because he did not decline Appellees' request because of their sexual orientation; (2) the Free Speech Clause of the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution and the Colorado Constitution protects Jack from being compelled to use his artistic talents to create expression he disagrees with; and (3) Colo. Rev. Stat. § 24-34-601 (2) impermissibly burdens Jack's First Amendment Rights under the Free Exercise Clause of the U.S. Constitution and the Colorado Constitution. The Commission appointed an Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) and the case was decided on cross motions for summary judgment and oral argument on December 4, 2013. The ALJ concluded that Jack violated Colo. Rev. Stat. § 24-34-601 (2) and the Commission affirmed that decision on May 30, 2014.

# B. Order Being Appealed and Statement Indicating the Basis for the Appellate Court's Jurisdiction.

The Appellant seeks review of the Commission's Final Agency Order affirming the ALJ's Initial Decision; denying Appellants' cross-motion for summary judgment and granting Appellees' motion for summary judgment; granting Appellees' motion for protective order; and denying Appellants' motion to dismiss the complaint and motion to dismiss Jack Phillips entered on May 30, 2014. This Court has appellate jurisdiction over this appeal pursuant to Colo. Rev. Stat. § 24-34-307 (1) and (2) and Colo. Rev. Stat. § 24—4-106 (11).

# C. Whether the Order Resolved All Issues Pending before the Agency.

The Order dated May 30, 1014 resolved all issues pending before the Commission, except one. Appellants filed a Motion for Stay of Final Agency Order, seeking to stay the Commission's order pending this appeal. The Motion is pending before the Commission. Should the Commission deny the motion, Appellants will promptly file a stay request in this Court.

# D. Whether the order is final for purposes of appeal.

The Commission's order is final pursuant to 3 Colo. Code Regs. § 708-1, R. 10.13 (D).

### E. Date of Service of the Final Agency Order.

The date of service of the Commission's final order is June 2, 2014.

#### II. ADVISORY LISTING OF THE ISSUES TO BE RAISED ON APPEAL

- A. The ALJ erroneously denied Appellants' Motion to Dismiss Jack Phillips

  Pursuant to C.R.C.P. 12(b)(1),(2), and (5).
- B. The ALJ erroneously denied Appellants' Motion to Dismiss Pursuant to C.R.C.P. 12(b)(5).
- C. The ALJ erroneously granted Appellees' Motion for Protective Order and erroneously struck portions of Appellants' discovery requests thereby limiting Appellants' discovery.

- D. The ALJ erred in the Initial Decision by granting Appellees' Motion for Summary Judgment and denying Appellants' Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment. Contrary to the findings in the Initial Decision:
  - Appellants did not discriminate "because of" sexual orientation.
  - ii. Appellants acted in accordance with the provisions of Colo.Const. art. II, § 31 and Colo. Rev. Stat. § 14-2-104, and the public policy of Colorado.
  - iii. Appellants are protected by the Free Speech Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution and by Article II, Section 10 of the Colorado Constitution from being forced to use their artistic talents to design and create expression they disagree with, here in the form of a wedding cake celebrating a same-sex union.
  - iv. Appellants are protected by the Free Exercise Clause of the
    First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution and Article II,
    Section 4 of the Colorado Constitution from being forced to
    create a wedding cake celebrating a same-sex union in violation
    of their deeply held religious beliefs.

v. The ALJ's recommendation that Appellants "[c]ease and desist from discriminating against Complainants and other same-sex couples by refusing to sell them wedding cakes or any other product Appellants would provide to heterosexual couples" is overbroad and exceeds the scope of relief authorized pursuant to Colo. Rev. Stat. §§ 24-34-306 (9) and 24-34-605.

#### III. TRANSCRIPTS

Transcripts of the hearings on September 26, 2013 (approximately 29 pages in length) and May 30, 2014 (approximately 36 pages in length) are necessary to resolve the issues raised on appeal. The transcript of oral argument on December 4, 2013 (approximately 35 pages in length) is necessary to resolve the issues raised on appeal.

#### IV. COUNSEL FOR THE PARTIES

# **Counsel for Appellants:**

Nicolle H. Martin, No. 28737 7175 W. Jefferson Avenue, Suite 4000 Lakewood, Colorado 80235 303-332-4547

Michael J. Norton, No. 6430 Natalie L. Decker, No. 28596 Alliance Defending Freedom 7951 E. Maplewood Avenue, Suite 100 Greenwood Village, CO 80111 720-689-2410 Jeremy D. Tedesco, AZ No. 023497 Alliance Defending Freedom 15100 N. 90<sup>th</sup> Street Scottsdale, AZ 85260 480-444-0020

# **Counsel for Appellees:**

Sara J. Neel, No. 36904 Mark Silverstein, No. 26979 ACLU Foundation of Colorado 303 E. 17<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Suite 350 Denver, CO 80203 303-777-5482

Charmaine Rose, No. 39109 Assistant Attorney General Business and Licensing Section Office of the Attorney General 1300 Broadway, 10<sup>th</sup> Floor Denver, CO 80203 303-866-4500

Stacy L. Worthington, No. 16270 Civil Litigation & Employment Law Section Office of the Attorney General 1300 Broadway, 10<sup>th</sup> Floor Denver, CO 80203 720-508-6586

Amanda Goad, NY Bar No. 4362448 American Civil Liberties Union 125 Broad Street, 18<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10004 212-549-2627 Paula Greisen, No. 19784 Dana Menzel, No. 34008 King & Greisen 1670 York Street Denver, CO 80206 303-298-9879

#### V. APPENDICES TO THIS NOTICE OF APPEAL

- A. Final Agency Order dated May 30, 2014;
- B. Initial Decision of ALJ dated December 6, 2013;
- C. Order Granting Appellees' Motion for Protective Order dated October 9,2013; and
- D. Order Continuing Hearing and Order Regarding Pending Motions datedOctober 2, 2013.

Respectfully submitted this 16th day of July, 2014.

Attorney for Appellants Masterpiece Cakeshop, Inc. and Jack C. Phillips

/s/ Nicolle H. Martin

Nicolle H. Martin, No. 28737 7175 W. Jefferson Avenue, Suite 4000 Lakewood, Colorado 80235

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that on this 16th day of July, 2014, a true and correct copy of the foregoing **NOTICE OF APPEAL** was filed with the Colorado Court of Appeals via ICCES and served via ICCES, and/or electronic mail and U.S. Mail on the Colorado Civil Rights Commission and the parties and/or their counsel of record as follows:

Colorado Civil Rights Commission (Via e-mail & U.S. Mail)
Department of Regulatory Agencies
1560 Broadway, Suite 1050
Denver, CO 80202
Shayla.malone@state.co.us

David Mullins Charlie Craig c/o Sara J. Neel ACLU Foundation of Colorado 303 E. 17<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Suite 350 Denver, CO 80203 sneel@aclu-co.org

Charmaine Rose
Assistant Attorney General
Business and Licensing Section
Office of the Attorney General
1300 Broadway, 10<sup>th</sup> Floor
Denver, CO 80203
charmaine.rose@state.co.us

Stacy L. Worthington
Civil Litigation & Employment Law Section
Office of the Attorney General
1300 Broadway, 10<sup>th</sup> Floor
Denver, CO 80203
Stacy.Worthington@state.co.us

Amanda Goad American Civil Liberties Union 125 Broad Street, 18<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10004 agoad@aclu.org

Paula Greisen
Dana Menzel
King & Greisen
1670 York Street
Denver, CO 80206
greisen@kinggreisen.com
menzel@kinggreisen.com

/s/ Marilyn Kuipers
Marilyn Kuipers

**APPENDICES**